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ABSTRACT

The active galactic nucleus PKS 0301−243 (z = 0.266) is a high-synchrotron-peaked BL Lac object that is detected at high energies (HE, 100 MeV < E < 100 GeV) by Fermi/LAT. This paper reports on the discovery of PKS 0301−243 at very high energies (E > 100 GeV) by the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.) from observations between September 2009 and December 2011 for a total live time of 34.9 h. Gamma rays above 200 GeV are detected at a significance of 9.4σ. A hint of a variability at the 2.5σ level is found. An integral flux I(E > 200 GeV) = (3.3 ± 1.1(stat) ± 0.7(sys)) × 10−12 ph cm−2 s−1 and a photon index Γ = 4.6 ± 0.7(stat) ± 0.2(sys) are measured. Multi-wavelength light curves in HE, X-ray and optical bands show strong variability, and a minimal variability timescale of eight days is estimated from the optical light curve. A single-zone leptonic synchrotron self-Compton scenario satisfactorily reproduces the multi-wavelength data. In this model, the emitting region is out of equipartition and the jet is particle dominated. Because of its high redshift compared to other sources observed at TeV energies, the very high energy emission from PKS 0301−243 is attenuated by the extragalactic background light (EBL) and the measured spectrum is used to derive an upper limit on the opacity of the EBL.
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1. Introduction

Active galactic nuclei (AGN) detected at very high energies (VHE; $E > 100$ GeV) usually belong to the class of BL Lac objects; there are a few exceptions, radio galaxies (Aharonian et al. 2009b; Abramowski et al. 2012; Aleksic et al. 2012) or flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQ, Albert et al. 2008; Aleksic et al. 2011; Abramowski et al. 2013a), for example. While FSRQ have broad emission lines (Stocke et al. 1991), BL Lac objects are characterised by weak lines in the optical band, or even featureless spectra, with their emission dominated at all wavelengths by their relativistic jets; BL Lac objects and FSRQ form the class of blazars. Their spectral energy distribution (SED) presents two broad peaks, the first of which is understood as being due to synchrotron radiation at lower energies. The high energy peak is commonly explained in leptonic frameworks as inverse-Compton radiation (see e.g. Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1965; Sikora & Zbyszewska 1985; Ghisellini & Maraschi 1989), but hadronic models represent a viable alternative (see e.g. Mannheim et al. 1991; Aharonian 2000; Mücke & Protheroe 2001). The BL Lac objects are split into three additional categories, depending on the frequency of the peak of the synchrotron component. The synchrotron emission of the low-frequency-peaked BL Lac objects (LBL, see Padovani & Giommi 1995) typically peaks below 10$^{14}$ Hz, above 10$^{15}$ Hz (Padovani & Giommi 1996) for high-frequency-peaked BL Lac objects (HBL), and in between for intermediate-frequency-peaked BL Lac objects (IBL, see Laurent-Muehleisen et al. 1998, 1999).

While propagating to the Earth, VHE $\gamma$-rays experience absorption by the extragalactic background light (EBL, Hauser & Dwek 2001; Kashlinsky 2005; Stecker et al. 1992), which in turn makes TeV emitting AGN interesting probes to study the EBL independently from other measurements such as galaxy counts (see e.g. Dole et al. 2006). Imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (IACT) put strong constraints on the shape and the density level of the EBL, through studies of distant HBL objects (see e.g. Aharonian et al. 2006b; Albert et al. 2008; Abramowski et al. 2013b).

The object PKS 0301−243 was first identified as a blazar by Impey & Tapia (1988) with a high polarimetric fraction in the optical regime. It was first classified as an LBL by Lamer et al. (1996), whose classification was revised to intermediate-synchrotron-peaked blazar by Abdo et al. (2010c, see Sect. 4.1), but was then reclassified as a high-synchrotron-peaked blazar by Abdo et al. (2010a). Based on a spectroscopic measurement of the redshift of a close galaxy (named G2) taken in January 1994 on the New Technology Telescope (NTT) at La Silla, Pesce et al. (1995) suggested that PKS 0301−243 could lie at $z \sim 0.26$. This result was supported by further observations taken in January 1996 at the NTT, with the plausible identification of a single weak emission line with [O III] 5007 Å in the spectrum of PKS 0301−243 (Palomo & Ulrich 2000). The redshift was refined by Pita et al. (2012) to a value of 0.266 with an improved spectroscopy using XSHOOTER at the VLT.

At higher energies, PKS 0301−243 was previously detected in the X-rays using the ROSAT satellite (Lamer et al. 1996) and emerged as a bright source in the ROSAT All-Sky Survey (Voges et al. 1999). While no pointed observation with XMM exists for this source, PKS 0301−243 has been detected in the first catalogue of XMM slew sources (version 1.5, Saxton et al. 2008), on August 9, 2009, with a flux of $F_{0.2-12\text{keV}} = (1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

2. H.E.S.S. observations and results

The High Energy Stereoscopic System is an array of four IACTs (Aharonian et al. 2006a), located in the Khomas Highland of Namibia, that is used to observe VHE $\gamma$-rays above an energy threshold of $\sim 100$ GeV. Some of the main features are an angular resolution of $\sim 0.1^\circ$ and an energy resolution of $\sim 15\%$. More details about the H.E.S.S. experiment are given in Hinton (2004).

Object PKS 0301−243 was observed between August 2009 and December 2011 for a total observation time of 58.5 h. After data quality selection and dead-time correction, a total of 34.9 h of high quality data remains to be used in the analysis. Data are taken at zenith angles ranging from 0$^\circ$ to 20$^\circ$ and using the so-called wobble mode where a pointing offset from PKS 0301−243 of 0.5$^\circ$ is maintained in order to simultaneously evaluate the signal and the background from the same field of view. These data are analysed with the model analysis (de Naurois & Rolland 2009). The analysis is cross-checked with a multivariate method (Becherini et al. 2011), which yields consistent results.

The analysis is performed with Standard cuts for an efficient background rejection (de Naurois & Rolland 2009). An excess of 264 $\gamma$-ray candidates (900 ON events, 7638 OFF events, background normalization 0.083) in a circular region of radius 0.1$^\circ$ centred on PKS 0301−243 is measured. This excess corresponds to a significance of 9.4$\sigma$, using Eq. (17) from Li & Ma (1983). The smoothed excess map is shown in Fig. 1. The map is smoothed with a Gaussian with a width of 3.5$^\circ$. This width corresponds to the 68% confidence radius of the point spread function (PSF) for this analysis. The excess is found to be point-like within the statistical uncertainties. A fit of the uncorrelated excess map with the PSF of the instrument gives a position for the excess of $\alpha_{2000} = 03^h 03^m 23.49^s \pm 11''9\alpha_2 = 130^\circ 50\''$; $\delta_{2000} = 95^\circ 24' 73' 86'' \pm 15''3 \delta_2 = 19''50\'''$; consistent at the 1$\sigma$ level with the nominal position of PKS 0301−243 ($\alpha_{2000} = 03^h 03^m 26.49^s, \delta_{2000} = 95^\circ 24' 73' 86''$) reported by Cutri et al. (2003).

Figure 2 shows the differential VHE $\gamma$-ray spectrum of PKS 0301−243 above the energy threshold of 200 GeV, computed using a forward folding technique (Piron et al. 2001). In this technique, a likelihood estimator is built assuming that the number of counts in each reconstructed energy bin is Poissonian. The reconstructed energy bins show the energy of the events as it is measured by H.E.S.S. The most likely values of the parameters for the assumed spectral shape are retrieved by comparing the observed number of counts in the reconstructed energy bins to the number of counts in the same bins expected from

The high redshift (for VHE studies) together with the association of 0FGL J0303.7−2410 with PKS 0301−243 in the Fermi Bright Source List (Abdo et al. 2009) motivated observations of PKS 0301−243 with the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.) to study the imprint of the EBL on the VHE spectra of TeV blazars further. The results of H.E.S.S. observations between 2009 and 2011 are described in Sect. 2. Data analysis of multi-wavelength data from Fermi/LAT, Swift, and ATOM are presented in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, a single-zone lepton-synchrotron self-Compton model is proposed to account for the broadband SED of PKS 0301−243, and to constrain the radiative mechanisms at work in these sources. The high and very high energy data are used to constrain the opacity of the EBL. These results are summarized in Sect. 5. In the following, a CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$ is assumed.
the theoretical spectrum. The spectrum is well described by a power-law shape \( (dN/dE) \propto (E/E_0)^{-\Gamma} \). The equivalent \( \chi^2 \) per number of degree of freedom \( n_{\text{d.o.f.}} \) is \( \chi^2/n_{\text{d.o.f.}} = 35.2/29 \). The photon index is \( \Gamma = 4.6 \pm 0.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{syst}} \) and the decorrelation energy \( E_d \) is 290 GeV. The integral flux above 200 GeV is \( I(E > 200\text{GeV}) = (3.3 \pm 1.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.7_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-15} \text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \). This flux corresponds to 1.4\% of the Crab Nebula flux above the same energy threshold (Aharonian et al. 2006a). A log-parabola \( (dN/dE) \propto (E/E_0)^{-(\alpha+\beta \log(E/E_0))} \) or a power law with an exponential cut-off \( (dN/dE) \propto (E/E_d)^{-\gamma} e^{-E/E_d} \) do not significantly improve the fit of the spectrum.

The light curve of the integrated flux for \( E > 200\text{GeV} \) for the different periods of observation is shown in Fig. 3. The fit of a constant to the data yields a \( \chi^2 \) of 19.2 for 8 degrees of freedom, corresponding to a probability of 0.014. This probability corresponds to a hint for variability at the 2.5\sigma level. The amplitude of intrinsic variation of the flux can be estimated as in Vaughan et al. (2003) by calculating the fractional variance. The fractional variance is defined as the square root of the excess variance, thus accounting for the intrinsic scatter of fluxes that is not due to shot noise. For the VHE light curve of PKS 0301–243, \( F_{\text{var}} = (23 \pm 27)\% \) is found, where 27\% is the amplitude of variation induced by random Poisson processes.

3. Multi-wavelength observations

3.1. Fermi/LAT observations

The LAT (Atwood et al. 2009) is a pair conversion telescope onboard the Fermi satellite that was launched in June 2008. It is sensitive to \( \gamma \)-rays between 20 MeV and a few hundred GeV. The primary mission of the instrument is to make a \( \gamma \)-ray survey, the full sky being covered every three hours.

Previous analyses of LAT data of PKS 0301–243 showed evidence for a flaring episode of the source in April/May 2010 (Cannon 2010; Neronov et al. 2010) and a detection at VHE using LAT data above 100 GeV (Neronov et al. 2011). Moreover, PKS 0301–243 was associated in the Fermi Bright Source List (Abdo et al. 2009) with 0FGL J0303.7–2410, as well as with 1FGL J0303.5–2406 in the first source catalogue (Abdo et al. 2010b), and with 2FGL J0303.4–2407 in the second source catalogue (2FGL, Nolan et al. 2012). In the 2FGL, PKS 0301–243 is reported as being detected at 47.0\sigma with an energy flux of \( F = (7.66 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-11} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) and a photon index of \( \Gamma = 1.94 \pm 0.03 \) in the 100 MeV–100 GeV energy range.

Public LAT data\(^1\) from August 4, 2008 (MJD 54 682) to October 1, 2012 (MJD 56 201) are analysed here using the Fermi Science Tools\(^2\) v9r27p1, and the P7SOURCE_V6 instrumental response functions. Light curves and spectra are produced using a binned likelihood analysis by selecting SOURCE class events with energies between 200 MeV and 300 GeV, in a circular region of interest (RoI) of \( 10^5 \) of radius around the nominal position of PKS 0301–243. Cuts are applied on the zenith angle with respect to the Earth (<100°), and on the rocking angle of the spacecraft (<52°). All the objects included in the 2FGL (Nolan et al. 2012) within 15° of the RoI centre are included in the model construction of PKS 0301–243. The isotropic model isos_p7v6s0 is used to account for both the extragalactic diffuse emission and the residual instrumental background, while the spatial template gal2_2year7v6_v0 is used to account for the contribution from the Galactic diffuse emission.

A high state data set has been defined, lasting from MJD 55 312 (April 26, 2010) to MJD 55 323 (May 5, 2010), corresponding to the peak of the flare. Low state events are retained from MJD 54 683 (August 5, 2008) to MJD 55 251 (February 24, 2010) and from MJD 55 351 (June 4, 2010) to MJD 56 201 (October 1, 2012). The light curve analysis presented below shows that the flux after the flare is already low at MJD 55 351. Moreover, PKS 0301–243 was associated in the Fermi Bright Source List (Abdo et al. 2009) with 0FGL J0303.7–2410, as well as with 1FGL J0303.5–2406 in the first source catalogue (Abdo et al. 2010b), and with 2FGL J0303.4–2407 in the second source catalogue (2FGL, Nolan et al. 2012). In the 2FGL,

---

\(^1\) http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access

\(^2\) http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/software
Fig. 3. Multi-wavelength light curves for PKS 0301-243 for the period MJD 54 600 (May 14, 2008) to MJD 56 201 (October 1, 2012). From top to bottom: Panel 1: VHE light curve from H.E.S.S. data, the dashed line is the average integrated flux over all observations. The solid line corresponds to a null flux. Panel 2: LAT data with 10 days integration bins, the inset is a zoom on the high-state period with one-day integration bins. The dashed line is the best fit of the low state points to a constant. Panel 3: Swift/XRT observation light curve for integrated flux between 2 and 10 keV. The error bars for the Swift/XRT measurements are too small to be distinguishable in this plot. No data are shown around MJD 55 195 (December 30, 2009) because of insufficient statistics for a spectral fit. The dashed line is the best fit of these data to a constant. The light curves of UVOT data for all filters are also shown. For clarity, for each pointed observation (marked with grey solid lines), the UVOT results for the different filters are shifted along the increasing time axis, following the order V, B, U, UVW1, UVM2, UVW2. Panel 4: ATOM light curve in B and R bands, with one exposure per night contemporaneous with H.E.S.S. observations. The dashed horizontal lines are the best fit of a constant to the data.

Table 1. Spectral parameters from the Fermi/LAT likelihood analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>TS</th>
<th>First parameter</th>
<th>Second parameter</th>
<th>$F_{0.2-300\text{GeV}}$ (10$^{-9}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>LLRT / Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low state</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>2236.13</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BPL</td>
<td>2236.15</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>2236.91</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.017</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High state</td>
<td>BPL</td>
<td>1190.20</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34.3 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>1193.52</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.07</td>
<td>33.4 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. LLRT is the log-likelihood ratio test. The first parameter corresponds to the photon index $\Gamma$ for a power-law (PL) hypothesis or $\alpha$ for a log-parabola (LP) hypothesis, or to the first photon index $\Gamma_1$ for a broken power-law (BPL) hypothesis. The second parameter is either the second photon index $\Gamma_2$ in the case of a BPL hypothesis or the curvature parameter $\beta$ for a LP hypothesis. For the LP model $E_b$ is fixed at 1 GeV. The break energy for the BPL is, respectively, 0.60 ± 0.15 GeV and 0.47 ± 0.33 GeV in the low and high states.

while it is detected with TS = 2236.13 (≈47σ) in the low state of activity. The spectra for both high and low states are well described by a power-law shape. Spectral parameters for the low state and the high state of activity are summarised in Table 1. The corresponding energy flux in the 200 MeV–300 GeV energy range for the low state is $F_{0.2-300\text{GeV}} = (5.71 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with $\Gamma = 1.94 \pm 0.03$, and $F_{0.2-300\text{GeV}} = (1.22 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-9}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with $\Gamma = 1.86 \pm 0.05$ for the flaring state. Table 1 shows that the photon index is slightly different between the flaring state and the quiescent state. However, no significant correlation over all the bins of the light curve between the photon index and the integral flux is observed. In this table, log-likelihood ratio test (LLRT) values are also reported, comparing a broken power law

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = N_0 \times \begin{cases} \frac{(E/E_b)\Gamma_1}{(E/E_b)\Gamma_1} & \text{if } E < E_b \\ \frac{(E/E_b)\Gamma_2}{(E/E_b)\Gamma_2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{MeV}^{-1}$$

or a log-parabola spectral hypothesis with respect to the simple power-law hypothesis. The equivalent $\chi^2$ probabilities for the null hypothesis$^3$, following Wilks’ theorem (Wilks 1938), are also reported, and show that neither the log-parabola nor the

$^3$ I.e. that the power-law hypothesis describes the spectrum of PKS 0301–243 better.
broken power-law hypothesis gives a significantly improved fit to the data, compared to a power-law spectrum, both in quiescent and flaring state of PKS 0301–243 in the HE range.

The light curve computed using 10-days integration bins is displayed in Fig. 3. An integration time of ten days for the time binning is chosen to ensure minimum statistics in each bin. The light curve shows a pronounced flaring episode between MJD 55 314 (April 28, 2010, the average flux is lowered by the two additional years of light curve reveals only one important active event, in April-May 2011 and 2012. Since the long-term integration time used in the analysis presented here. Since the long-term

difference in the flux can be understood by the fact that more data was used in the analysis presented here. Since the long-term light curve reveals only one important active event, in April-May 2010, the average flux is lowered by the two additional years of low-state integration in 2011 and 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation date</th>
<th>Observation ID</th>
<th>Exposure time (s)</th>
<th>$F_{2-10\text{keV}}$ ($10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24/03/2009</td>
<td>00038098001</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>$0.81_{-0.26}^{+0.28}$</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.32</td>
<td>1.00/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/03/2009</td>
<td>00038368001</td>
<td>4912</td>
<td>$1.09_{-0.12}^{+0.17}$</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.14</td>
<td>9.72/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/12/2009</td>
<td>00038098002</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/06/2010</td>
<td>00038098003</td>
<td>4715</td>
<td>$8.27_{-0.43}^{+0.42}$</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.05</td>
<td>113.4/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2011</td>
<td>00038098004</td>
<td>3751</td>
<td>$1.98_{-0.17}^{+0.19}$</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.11</td>
<td>21.7/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/12/2011</td>
<td>00038098005</td>
<td>3938</td>
<td>$2.67_{-0.28}^{+0.20}$</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.09</td>
<td>17.5/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/02/2012</td>
<td>00038098006</td>
<td>5022</td>
<td>$3.30_{-0.23}^{+0.15}$</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.07</td>
<td>40.0/41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All observations</td>
<td>25133</td>
<td>3.11_{-0.13}^{+0.16}</td>
<td>2.44 ± 0.03</td>
<td>81.8/82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (a) Not corrected for Galactic absorption. (b) Not enough data to allow a spectral fit.

### 3.2. Swift/XRT and UVOT observations

The object PKS 0301–243 was observed with Swift (Gehrels et al. 2004) between 2009 and 2012 in seven pointed observations, for a total exposure of 25.1 ks. These data were analysed using the package HEASOFT 6.12.

The XRT is a focusing X-ray telescope with grazing incidence mirrors using a CCD imaging spectrometer for detection. It is sensitive to X-rays between 0.2 and 10 keV with a PSF of 18′ at 1.5 keV. Only data taken in photon counting mode are considered here. Data are recalibrated using the standard procedure xrtpipeline. Source events are selected within a circle with a radius of 20 pixels (0.79′) centred on the nominal position of PKS 0301–243 and background events are extracted from an annular region of 50 pixels (1.97′) to 120 pixels (4.72′) centred on the source. The observations are individually checked for pile-up effects, which is found to be negligible.

The spectral analysis above 0.3 keV is performed using the package XSPEC 12.7.1. Spectra are binned to ensure a minimum of 30 counts per bin so that the number of counts in each bin follows a Gaussian distribution. The Galactic absorption is accounted for with a hydrogen column density fixed to $N_H = 1.70 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005). Data from each observation are well fitted by an absorbed power-law spectrum. Broken power-law and log-parabola spectral shapes do not improve the fit of the Swift/XRT data, for any exposure. Spectral parameters for each fit are given in Table 2. The spectrum for the total exposure is also well fitted by an absorbed power law spectrum ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 81.8/82), with parameters shown in the same table. A fit by an absorbed power-law with free hydrogen column density on the sum of all observations yields a value of $N_H = (1.64 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, compatible with the fixed value used throughout the analysis.

The light curve of the integral flux between 2 and 10 keV, represented in Fig. 3, shows significant variability, with a fractional variability induced by random Poisson processes. A minimal variability timescale can be estimated by using the method of doubling-time (see e.g. Edelson 1992; Zhang et al. 1999). As in Zhang et al. (1999), a linear interpolation between each group of two points is used to estimate the time corresponding to a doubling of the flux. This quantity depends, however, on the sampling of the light curve and on the signal to noise ratio. Conservatively, the shortest timescale of variability is defined as the average over the five lowest values having an uncertainty less than 30% (Fossati et al. 2000a). Here, variability down to a timescale of ten days is found, which corresponds to the integration time used in the light curve. While the source may exhibit faster variability, this cannot be probed in the present data set, since the use of smaller time bins in the time series analysis would increase the uncertainties of the measurements. If present in the VHE light curve, this small amplitude of variation cannot be detected by H.E.S.S. given the weakness of the source and the sparse sampling of the VHE light curve.

A dedicated analysis of the whole period in the 100 MeV–100 GeV band, for a better comparison with results from the 2FGL catalogue, yields an energy flux of $F_{0.1-100\text{GeV}} = (6.41 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with $\Gamma = 1.93 \pm 0.02$. These results, compared to those reported in the 2FGL catalogue, show a fully compatible photon index but a slightly lower flux. This slight difference in the flux can be understood by the fact that more data were used in the analysis presented here. Since the long-term light curve reveals only one important active event, in April-May 2010, the average flux is lowered by the two additional years of low-state integration in 2011 and 2012.
fluxes (see Poole et al. 2008 for details on the calibration procedure) are calculated with uvotsource taking into account all photons from a circular region with a radius of 5\(\sigma\) (standard aperture for all filters). It is assumed that the count rate to flux conversion factors, computed for a mean GRB spectrum, are applicable in the case of PKS 0301-243. An appropriate background is determined from a circular region near the source region without contamination from other sources. The magnitudes measured at each epoch and for all filters used are shown in Table 3. The light curves for all filters is displayed in Fig. 3. Just as the X-ray band light curve did, these curves show pronounced variability with, however, a smaller amplitude of variation. In the \(U\) band, a fit with a constant has a \(\chi^2/\nu_{\text{dof}} = 89/6\) and a fractional variance \(F_{\text{var}} = (17 \pm 1\%)\) is measured. X-ray and optical bands show a hint of correlation with a linear Pearson correlation coefficient of \(r = 0.85 \pm 0.14\) between the X-ray and the \(U\) band, for 4 degrees of freedom. This corresponds to a probability of non-correlation of 0.03.

### 3.3. ATOM observations

The Automatic Telescope for Optical Monitoring (ATOM) is a 75 cm optical telescope operated at the H.E.S.S. site in Namibia (Hauser et al. 2004) and has been monitoring PKS 0301−243 since 2009, mainly in the \(R\) and \(B\) bands with 600 s exposures in the \(R\) band and 800 s or 900 s in the \(B\) band. Observations are taken at a cadence of one frame per night contemporaneous with H.E.S.S. observations. Fluxes are calculated using a 4\(\sigma\) radius aperture, and the light curve in the \(R\) and \(B\) bands is shown in Fig. 3. The flux is highly variable on a timescale of a dozen days with a variability of \(F_{\text{var}} = (18.6 \pm 0.4\%)\) in the \(B\) band and \(F_{\text{var}} = (17.9 \pm 0.3\%)\) in the \(R\) band. Given the good time-sampling of the light curve, a minimal variability timescale can be computed using the same doubling-time method used for the HE light curve. In both \(B\) and \(R\) bands, the shortest variability timescale found is 8 days, of the same order as the variability timescale found in the LAT light curve. To reduce the bias on the variability timescale arising from the uneven sampling of the ATOM light curve, the shortest variability timescale is conservatively defined as in the LAT analysis.

One of the missions of ATOM is to monitor sources simultaneously with H.E.S.S. Here, ATOM exposures have been taken during all the periods of H.E.S.S. observations of PKS 0301−243. The correlation between simultaneous ATOM and H.E.S.S. observations is probed by averaging all ATOM exposures that were made in the corresponding H.E.S.S. observation periods. The linear Pearson correlation coefficient found is 0.84 ± 0.18 between H.E.S.S. and \(B\)-band data and 0.85 ± 0.17 between H.E.S.S. and \(R\)-band data. This corresponds to a probability of non-correlation of 0.005 and 0.004 respectively, indicating that the optical and the VHE bands may be correlated. Figure 4 shows the averaged energy flux in the \(B\) band measured by ATOM during the nine H.E.S.S. observation periods as a function of the corresponding integrated flux above 200 GeV. These data are well fitted by a linear law \(\chi^2/\nu_{\text{dof}} = 5.7/7\) with a finite slope of 4.7 erg. The inset in Fig. 4 shows the \(\chi^2\) profile when varying the slope of the linear law.

### Table 3. Magnitudes at different epochs from Swift/UVOT data in all bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation ID</th>
<th>(V)</th>
<th>(B)</th>
<th>(U)</th>
<th>(UW1)</th>
<th>(UVM2)</th>
<th>(UW2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00038098001</td>
<td>15.43 ± 0.07</td>
<td>15.77 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.95 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.83 ± 0.07</td>
<td>14.79 ± 0.07</td>
<td>14.92 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00038368001</td>
<td>15.43 ± 0.05</td>
<td>15.74 ± 0.05</td>
<td>14.83 ± 0.05</td>
<td>14.76 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.76 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.87 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00038098002</td>
<td>15.65 ± 0.06</td>
<td>15.96 ± 0.06</td>
<td>15.12 ± 0.06</td>
<td>15.03 ± 0.07</td>
<td>14.99 ± 0.07</td>
<td>15.10 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00038098003</td>
<td>15.18 ± 0.06</td>
<td>15.47 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.47 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.33 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.33 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.42 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00038098004</td>
<td>(^b)</td>
<td>(^b)</td>
<td>14.68 ± 0.05</td>
<td>14.58 ± 0.06</td>
<td>(^b)</td>
<td>14.71 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00038098005</td>
<td>(^b)</td>
<td>(^b)</td>
<td>14.76 ± 0.05</td>
<td>14.69 ± 0.06</td>
<td>(^b)</td>
<td>14.76 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00038098006</td>
<td>15.43 ± 0.05</td>
<td>15.66 ± 0.05</td>
<td>14.83 ± 0.05</td>
<td>14.61 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.55 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.67 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. \(^{(a)}\) Not corrected for Galactic extinction. \(^{(b)}\) No observations were taken in these filters for these observation IDs. For the other reported measurements, the exposure varies for the different filters and observations but is of the order of a few hundred seconds in these cases.
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flux $F_s = (1.56 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. For the high state, a peak frequency of $\log_{10}(\nu_s/1\text{Hz}) = 15.19 \pm 0.05$ is found, with an energy flux of $(2.34 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Between these two states, the peak is therefore significantly shifted to higher energies for higher fluxes.

The simplest and most common model used to account for the two peaks of the SEDs of BL Lac objects is the synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model (see e.g. Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1965). The SSC model used here (Katarzyński et al. 2001) describes a spherical emitting region of electrons filled with a magnetic field $B$ and propagating relativistically with a Doppler factor $\delta$. Here, the energy spectrum of the electrons is assumed to follow a broken power-law shape with a break energy $\gamma_b$. In the framework of this model, the SSC model predicts a timescale for the synchrotron radiation to come from synchrotron radiation of electrons in the magnetic field of the emitting region. The other component at higher energies results from inverse-Compton scattering of the synchrotron photons on the high energy electrons. The parameters of the SSC model can be constrained by observations (Ghisellini et al. 1996; Tavecchio et al. 1998). The location of the synchrotron and inverse-Compton (IC) peaks, that are here well sampled by multi-wavelength data, can be used to put a constraint on $\delta B$ through the relation (Tavecchio et al. 1998)

$$B\delta = (1+z) \frac{2.8 \times 10^9 (\nu_s/1\text{Hz})^2}{(\gamma_b / 10^5)} \text{[G]},$$

where $\nu_s$ is the frequency of the synchrotron peak, taken here from the low state data, $\gamma_b$ is the frequency of the IC peak, and $z = 0.266$ is the redshift of the source. This relation holds as long as the IC emission at the peak lies in the Thomson regime. This asymptotic regime is verified for energies of the backscattered photon smaller than $m_e c^2/4 \pi (1+z) \nu_s$ (Tavecchio et al. 1998). To ensure that the IC emission lies in the Thomson regime up to 5 GeV, corresponding to the energy of the IC peak, $\delta$ should be larger than 1.3.

The position of the synchrotron peak has been determined above. In a similar fashion, the position of the IC peak can be estimated by fitting a log-parabola on the HE points from H.E.S.S. and Fermi/LAT. Absorption on the EBL is taken into account for the H.E.S.S. points using the model of Franceschini et al. (2008). This results in a position of the IC peak of $\log_{10}(\nu_{IC}/1\text{Hz}) = 23.93 \pm 0.15$ for an energy flux $F_{IC} = (7.91 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This position is fully compatible with the empirical relation between the IC peak position and the photon index measured by Fermi/LAT that was deduced on the basis of a sample of 48 blazars, not including PKS 0301-243 (see Eq. (5) of Abdo et al. 2010a): the peak position from that relation, taking a photon index of 1.94, is $\log_{10}(\nu_{IC}/1\text{Hz}) = 23.84 \pm 0.70$. The value of $\delta B$ for the SSC model should be in the range $\log_{10}(\delta B/1\text{G}) = -0.37 \pm 0.16$.

A second and independent constraint on $B$ and $\delta$ can be constructed from the minimal variability timescale $t_{var}$ that is measured. In the following, the value of 8 days found in the ATOM light curve will be used as an upper limit on $t_{var}$. For causality reasons, the emitting region radius $R_b$ cannot be larger than $c t_{var} \delta/(1+z)$. This condition translates into a lower limit on $B \delta^3$ as (Finke et al. 2008)

$$B \delta^3 \geq \frac{24 \pi d_L (1+z)}{c^3 t_{var} \sqrt{F_s}} F_s,$$

where $d_L = 1.34$ Gpc is the luminosity distance to PKS 0301-243. From the values of $F_s$ and $F_{IC}$ previously estimated for the energy fluxes of the peaks, this gives the constraint $\log_{10}(B \delta^3/1\text{G}) \geq 1.78$.

The SSC model used here is a set of parameters in agreement with the constraints derived above. The parameters are shown in Table 4 where $K$ is the normalisation factor of the electron spectrum, and $n_1$ and $n_2$ are the two spectral indices. The break energy $\gamma_b$ and the energy range for the electrons $[\gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\max}]$ are in units of $m_ec^2$. A Doppler factor of 27 is found. This value is large enough to ensure that the IC emission fully takes place in the Thomson regime. The SSC parameters are in agreement with the observed variability timescale of 8 days found in the ATOM light curve that constrains the emitting region radius to $R_b < 4.3 \times 10^{17}$ cm. With these parameters, variability down to a timescale of 2 days can be theoretically produced. Although the emitting region radius of the model is small enough to be compatible with the minimal variability timescale measured, its value is one order of magnitude larger than what is commonly derived from one-zone SSC modelling of blazar SEDs (see e.g. Lenain 2010; Tavecchio et al. 2010b).

Regarding the electron spectrum, the primary slope is hard compared to the canonical case $n = 2$ of standard Fermi-type acceleration mechanisms. Such a hard slope can theoretically be attained in some models of relativistic diffusive shock acceleration (see e.g. Summerlin & Baring 2012). Another limitation of the model is the large spectral break in the electron spectrum which cannot be associated to an equilibrium between the cooling and the escape of the electrons. In this scenario, a break value of one is expected at the electron Lorentz factor $\left( \frac{\gamma_{\min}}{\gamma_{\max}} - R_b U_B \right)^{-1/2} \sim 10^5$ (Tavecchio et al. 1998) which is far beyond the value found for PKS 0301-243. Similarly to other HBL (see e.g. Albert et al. 2007; Aharonian et al. 2010; Acciari et al. 2010), the model is far out of equipartition with a ratio of electron kinetic energy over magnetic energy of $U_e/U_B \sim 51$ (but see also Cerruti et al. 2013).

Comparing the photon index of $\Gamma = 1.94$ in the quiescent state at HE and $\Gamma = 4.6$ for the H.E.S.S. spectrum, the high energy part of the SED of PKS 0301-243 suggests the presence of a break between the HE and VHE regimes with a $\Delta\Gamma = 2.7 \pm 0.7$. Correcting the H.E.S.S. spectrum for EBL absorption using the model of Franceschini et al. (2008) leads to an index of 3.1 $\pm 0.7$. Hence, the break stems from both a curvature of the intrinsic emitted spectrum and the absorption of the VHE spectrum from the EBL.

The synchrotron component can fully account for the optical and X-ray band emission. However, the model cannot explain infrared data and radio data, that are not contemporaneous to the low state. To account for the low infrared data, a primary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B$ ($\text{mG}$)</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$R_b$ ($\text{10^{16} cm}$)</th>
<th>$K$ ($\text{cm}^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\min}$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\max}$</th>
<th>$U_e/U_B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4 \times 10^4</td>
<td>6.9 \times 10^3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
slope for the electron spectrum as hard as 1 is needed. However, such a hard slope is very difficult to achieve in standard particle acceleration models. These data may therefore indicate that the source has been measured in a lower state. For radio data, the emission from the compact emitting region is self-absorbed and the interpretation requires a more extended emission zone in which the density of particles would be low enough to prevent self-absorption (Sol et al. 1989). Indeed, radio observations of PKS 0301–243 at the kilo-parsec scale exhibit an extended diffuse halo-like component resolved around a bright core (Kapahi et al. 1998).

As demonstrated in Sect. 3.3, a lack of significant correlation between the HE range and the ATOM data on the one hand, but an indication for correlated behaviour between the VHE and ATOM data on the other hand, were found. This would point to the fact that, in the framework of an SSC interpretation of the SED of PKS 0301–243, the electrons radiating in optical and VHE would stem from the same underlying population, whereas a different population would be responsible for the HE emission. This behaviour was also detected in PKS 2155–304 in a low state of activity (Aharonian et al. 2009a). The lack of optical/HE correlation suggests that a simple one-zone SSC model is too simplistic to fully account for the time-dependent behaviour of PKS 0301–243, since such a correlation is actually expected in these models. Multi-zone SSC models can account for extreme behaviour such as the so-called orphan γ-ray flare observed in 1ES 1959+650 in 2002 (Krawczynski et al. 2004), and can give an alternative explanation for this lack of optical/HE correlation.

4.2. Constraints on the EBL

The EBL is a diffuse extragalactic background of photons in the IR–UV bands. It stems from the light that has been emitted by galaxies through the history of the Universe, and part of which has been absorbed by interstellar dust and re-emitted in the infrared. Direct measurements of this diffuse component are contaminated by the bright foreground component associated with zodiacal light (Hauser et al. 1998) and models remain subject to large uncertainties. Extragalactic γ-ray source spectra are affected by absorption on this background light through the leptonic pair creation process (see Gould & Schréder 1967 or Dwek & Kennrich 2013 for a recent review). The expected imprints of EBL absorption in blazar spectra can therefore be searched to put constraints on the level of EBL (Stecker et al. 1992). In this context, the advent of the Fermi/LAT instrument allows the intrinsic spectrum to be better constrained, which in turn improves the derived limit on the EBL (Georganopoulos et al. 2010; Orr et al. 2011; Meyer et al. 2012). Detecting second order effects in the brightest blazar spectra allows the level of the EBL to be measured at low redshifts z < 0.2 using IACTs like H.E.S.S. (Abramowski et al. 2013b) and at higher redshifts 0.5 < z < 1.6 with LAT observations (Ackermann et al. 2012). At first order, γ-ray absorption on the EBL in the 0.1–1 TeV range results in a steepening of the spectral index. One method to put constraints on the level of EBL is to assume a minimal slope for the intrinsic VHE spectrum and, by relating that to the measured slope, to estimate the maximum level of EBL allowed by this assumption. A reasonable and classical assumption for the minimal slope is 1.5 which is the hardest spectral index obtained for accelerated particles in non-relativistic shock acceleration models (see e.g. Malkov & O’C. Drury 2001). Nevertheless, as discussed above, the choice of the minimal slope can be improved by using the LAT spectrum. Under the assumption that the slope at VHE is steeper than the slope at HE, the minimal slope can be obtained from the Fermi/LAT spectrum taking into account its uncertainty. At the 3σ confidence level, the minimal slope for this study is thus 1.86.

To determine the upper limit on the level of EBL, the shape of the SED of the EBL is taken as in Franceschini et al. (2008). To ensure that the de-absorbed spectral slope is not less than the minimal slope assumed as 1.86, the level of EBL cannot be more than 2.7 times this EBL template at a confidence level (C.L.) of 99%. This upper limit can be more explicitly expressed by defining the γ-ray horizon (Fazio & Stecker 1970) as the redshift giving an optical depth τ = 1 to photons of a given energy. This way, considering a fixed redshift z, the higher the level of EBL, the lower the energy needed to reach τ = 1, so that an upper limit on the level of EBL translates to a lower limit on the energy of photons having a γ-ray horizon z. The constraint obtained from the spectrum of PKS 0301–243 thus yields a lower limit of 200 GeV on the energy for a γ-ray horizon at z = 0.266 at the 3σ level. Figure 6 shows the γ-ray horizon for two different EBL models (Franceschini et al. 2008; Domínguez et al. 2011) and one model considered to be a lower limit on the density of the EBL (Kneiske & Dole 2010), thus translated to an upper limit on the figure. Also shown is the lower limit derived in this work and some other lower limits from AGN spectral measurements (Aharonian et al. 2006b; Albert et al. 2008; Aharonian et al. 2005a,b). The H.E.S.S. measurement of the EBL density (Abramowski et al. 2013b) derived using a large sample of blazars is also shown. All the lower limits from AGN measurements are compatible with the models, but one can see that the space allowed for models in this plane between the constraints is rather small.

5. Conclusion

The HBL PKS 0301–243 has been discovered in the VHE band with H.E.S.S. The VHE emission shows a steep spectrum and no significant variability is detected. Pronounced variability is observed at HE with a strong Fermi/LAT flare during April–May 2010, and to a lesser extent in optical and X-rays. The minimal
variability timescale of about 8 days observed in the optical band puts a limit on the size of the emitting region well within the range of observations of other HBL objects (see e.g. Tavecchio et al. 2010a). An indication for a correlation between H.E.S.S. and the optical ATOM data is found while no correlation is observed between LAT and ATOM, suggesting that an SSC model with one single zone may be too simplistic to explain the observed variability.

The SED of PKS 0301–243 shows a peak of the synchrotron component located in the UV band, a rather low frequency for an HBL object. Assuming a simple one-zone SSC model to interpret the emission from the optical to the VHE bands, the properties of the emitting region and the underlying particle energy distribution are investigated to interpret the low state of activity observed with Swift, H.E.S.S., and Fermi/LAT. A good agreement is found for a rather large and low density emitting region. The IC emission takes place in the Thomson regime and the model is dominated by the electron kinetic energy. The applicability of the one-zone SSC model to this data set is, however, limited by the lack of correlation between the optical and the HE domain.

The combined LAT and H.E.S.S. data are also used to constrain the energy at which the Universe becomes opaque due to EBL absorption. It is found that the universe must become opaque ($\tau = 1$) to $\gamma$-rays from $z = 0.266$ at energies greater than 200 GeV in order to account for the spectral break observed between Fermi/LAT and H.E.S.S. data.
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