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Context of the study

• Disposal of radioactive wastes in deep geological repositories and multi-barriers concept

• Role of clays:
  – limiting water fluxes in the repository
  – swelling and filling up technical gaps
  – adsorbing RNs (in the interlayer space and onto surfaces)
Outline plan

• Objectives and experimental approach

• Multi-scale structure of clays

• Thermoporometry results for Montmorillonites samples saturated by alkaline cations: pore size distribution

• Consequences for the hydration sequence in clays as a function of the interlayer cation nature

• Diffusion of the interlayer cation as a function of the hydration state

• Conclusions
Objectives and experimental approach

• Study the “clay-water” system by looking at the modifications of water properties
  → “water in clays” is different from liquid water (or free water)!

• **Thermoporometry** = calorimetric technique sensitive to phase transitions of fluid confined in the porosity → 2 nm < Pore radius < 50 nm (mesoporosity)
  → Hypothesis: Pore size is the major parameter which influences the properties of the confined fluid

• Originality of these experiments: swelling material (homoionic Wyoming montmorillonite saturated by Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Cs⁺ and Ca²⁺ cations & different RH investigated
  → Common practice : DSC on saturated non-swelling samples
    = all pores are filled
  → Saturation of studied porosity is necessary

• **Quantify the evolution of the mesopore size as a function of RH**
• **Discuss these results in terms of the sequence of clay hydration**
• Pore size distribution obtained with Brun equations (parameters result from fit with various materials):

\[ R_p = \frac{A}{\Delta T} + B \]

- 2 peaks = 2 well-defined families of pore size
Multi-scale structure of clays

- Multi-scale aspect
- Focus on mesoporosity
Results for RH ≤ 54%

- Na-mont (purified and exchanged MX80 Wyoming) → powder

- RH < 54% → no interpretable signal (pores not filled with water? not enough water?)

- Results for RH = 54%:
  - Thermoporometry not conclusive alone but same results as BJH: pores filled at 54%
  - We verify that the effect of Rp is dominant
Results for RH > 54%

- Results for RH ranging from 75% to saturation

HR = 75%
- No free water

HR = 90%
- FREE WATER

HR sat
- Osmotic swelling

- No free water at RH < 90%
Interpretation(1): evidence for osmotic swelling in mesopores

- pore size in mesopores (for the 2 families)

➢ Osmotic swelling in the mesopores occurs starting at RH ~ 54%
Interpretation (2)

- Comparison with interlayer space (d001) measurements with XRD (Ferrage, 2005)

Osmotic swelling occurs at RH > 80% in interlayer space compared to RH ~ 54% in mesopores

Salles et al., Appl. Clay Sci., 2008
Interpretation (3): hydration sequence

RH~0%

... towards a step-by-step model for the hydration

20<RH<80%

Thermoporometry + XRD

RH>80%

XRD

Adsorption isotherms

RH<10%

RH<20%

Thermoporometry
Interpretation (4): hydration sequence

... towards a step-by-step model for the hydration

Water adsorption isotherms

Thermoporometry

RH≈0%

RH<10%

RH<20%

RH>90% + XRD

20<RH<60%

Salles et al., Langmuir, 2010
Coherence with the driving forces of hydration

- For Li and Na-samples: Cation Hydration is the driving force
- For K, Rb and Cs-samples: Surface Hydration is the driving force
- Changes of leading driving forces in agreement with the experimental behavior varying with the interlayer cation

Towards the distinction between interlayer or mesopore water

• From experimental data: it is possible to estimate
  – $m_{\text{water in clay}} \rightarrow$ from water adsorption isotherm
  – $m_{\text{water in mesopore}} \rightarrow$ from thermoporometry data

• It follows:
  
  
  $$m_{\text{interlayer water}} = m_{\text{water in clay}} - m_{\text{water in mesopore}}$$

• The theoretical quantity of water (=maximal amount) present in interlayer space can be determined from the following equation:

  
  $$m_{\text{theoretical interlayer water}} = d_{001} \times (S_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} - S_{\text{N}_2})$$

  where $S_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ and $S_{\text{N}_2}$ are the specific surface area as a function of RH* and $d_{001}$ is related to the interlayer space opening

*Salles et al., J. Colloid Interf. Sci., 2009*
Distinction of interlayer and mesopore water

The interlayer spaces are never completely filled in montmorillonites, except for Cs-sample

Salles et al., Langmuir, 2010
For Li and Na-samples: Cation diffusion reaches values for bulk water
For K, Rb and Cs-samples: Slow diffusion
Diffusion behavior is varying with the interlayer cation → osmotic swelling

Case of Montmorillonites with mixture Na/Ca

- For Na/Ca-samples: Na\(^+\) diffusion reaches values lower than bulk water
- Influence of Ca\(^{2+}\) and repartition of cations?
Conclusions

• Summary:
  – Osmotic swelling in mesopores evidenced by original use of thermoporometry
  – Free water is observed in mesopores only starting at RH > 90%
  – Osmotic swelling occurs in mesopores before crystalline swelling is finished in the interlayer space (2nd layer of water)
  – Sequence of hydration is depending on the interlayer cation nature
  – Interlayer space water > mesopore water for all cations
  – Interlayer space is never completely filled by water at RH<97% for all samples except Cs⁺-montmorillonite
  – Impact of Na⁺ in the Na/Ca-sample
Thank you for your attention!
Thermoporometry equations

• Theoretical equation

\[ \frac{1}{(R_p - t)} = -\frac{1}{2\gamma_{sl}} \int_{T_o}^{T} \Delta S_f \frac{dT}{v} \]

• Simplified equation (Brun et al. 1977)

\[ R_p = A + \frac{B}{\Delta T} \]
Material and method

- Na-mont (purified and exchanged MX80 Wyoming) → powder

- Thermoporometry:
  - fusion-solidification-fusion cycles (2°C/min for a range of temperatures between -80°C and 0°C)
  - RH conditions: 11%, 33%, 54%, 75%, 90% (for each RH sample: equilibration for 1 month with saline solutions), saturated material (97% < RH < 99%)
  - Study of hysteresis between adsorption-desorption
  - Hydration with liquid water or with water vapour for saturated samples

- Experiments: samples mass ≈ 10mg
Influence of hydration method

- Liquid water vs. vapour hydration process

  - 2 fusion cycles are identical
  - 2 solidification cycles slightly different = no significant modification of pore structure
  - No influence between the two modes of hydration
PSD: hysteresis between adsorption and desorption

- No notable differences for the first peak < 0.05 nm (experimental error)
- Difference for the second peak: hysteresis (observed also in water adsorption isotherms)