A roadmap to high-resolution standard microcoil MAS NMR spectroscopy for metabolomics

Alan Wong

► To cite this version:

Alan Wong. A roadmap to high-resolution standard microcoil MAS NMR spectroscopy for metabolomics. NMR in Biomedicine, 2022, pp.e4683. 10.1002/nbm.4683 . cea-03509128

HAL Id: cea-03509128

<https://hal-cea.archives-ouvertes.fr/cea-03509128>

Submitted on 4 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3
4 **A roadmap to high-resolution standard microcoil MAS NMR spectroscopy**
5 **for metabolomics**
6
7
8

9 Alan Wong

10
11 NIMBE, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France;

12
13 corresponding author: alan.wong@cea.fr, +33 (0) 1 48 25 40 54
14
15

16 Word count: 8727
17
18
19

Abstract

The current microcoil probe technology has emerged as a significant advancement in NMR applications to biological research of biofluids and continued to excel as a hyphenated tool with other prominent microdevices opening many new possibilities in multiple omics fields. However, this is contrary to biological samples like intact tissue or organism. This is due to the considerable challenges of incorporating the microcoil in a magic-angle spinning (MAS) probe without relinquishing the acquisition of high-resolution spectral data. Not until 2012, a microcoil MAS probe had shown promises on profiling the metabolome in submilligram tissue biopsy with spectral resolution *on par* with the conventional high-resolution MAS (HR-MAS) NMR. This result had subsequently triggered a great interest in the possibility of NMR analysis with microgram tissues and strived toward the probe development of 'high-resolution' capable microcoil MAS NMR spectroscopy. This review gives an overview of the issues and challenges in the probe development and summarizes the advancements toward metabolomics.

Keywords: microcoil; magic-angle spinning; high-resolution; sensitivity; metabolomics;

Selected Abbreviations

χ	magnetic susceptibility factor
μ	micro
B	magnetic field
B_0	external applied magnetic field
B_1	transverse radiofrequency magnetic field
B_z	dipole field of a magnetic moment
DNP	dynamic nuclear polarization
FWHM	full-width at half-maximum
i	electrical current
HMQC	heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence
HR-MAS	high-resolution magic-angle spinning
rf	radiofrequency
SNR	signal-to-noise ratio

Introduction

Metabolomics is a significant omics field that studies the chemical fingerprints of small molecule metabolites that responds to specific cellular processes. It plays a vital role in untangling many complexities in life science. This is thanks to the vast advancement in bioanalytical technology. *Ex vivo* NMR spectroscopy has found tremendous success due to its simplicity and versatility in acquiring unbiased and rich metabolic information on diverse biospecimens from biofluids to biotissues. In particular, High-Resolution Magic-Angle Spinning (HR-MAS) – rapid sample spinning under a magnetic field – is an indispensable technique in NMR studies of animal and human tissue.¹⁻⁷ This is attributed to its ability to acquire superior spectral resolution data from heterogeneous specimens (*i.e.*, *on par* with the liquid state NMR). However, despite its significant applications to NMR-based metabolomics, the probe technology of HR-MAS has remained nearly the same in the past decades. The latest substantial advancement would be the fully automated HR-MAS probe (iProbeTM) in 2018.⁸ In contrast, other bioanalytical technologies like mass spectrometry (MS),⁹ magnetic resonance imaging,¹⁰ and positron emission tomography¹¹ continue advancing. There is a common conception that the current number of MS-based metabolomic studies has overtaken NMR.¹² A literature search in Web of ScienceTM shows a nearly 2:1 ratio between MS and NMR metabolomics. Therefore, for NMR spectroscopy to continue to be a frontline *ex vivo* analytic platform, new technologies and concepts should be explored entirely to address the limitations.

Presently, there is a general tendency to develop biotechnology toward microscopic specimens. The notion is to advance the underlining omics understanding at a single-cell (or even subcellular) level.¹³ One weakness in NMR spectroscopy is the inherent low detection sensitivity. The HR-MAS application generally requires 5–10 mg samples to compensate for the low sensitivity. This hinders the possibility of analyzing μg samples. Indeed, using a high magnetic field is an approach to enhance detection sensitivity. However, the running cost is exponential with the field. A cost-effective method is to utilize a miniature radiofrequency (rf) coil, so-called microcoil (μcoil), for optimizing the sample and coil volume ratio (*i.e.*, filling factor). The prime concern is maintaining high spectral resolution data to allow in-depth metabolic profiling. This is achieved in liquid-state NMR by surrounding the microcoil with magnetic susceptibility-matched material to facilitate the field shimming¹⁴ or using a

zero-susceptibility μ coil.¹⁵ These adaptations have subsequently led to significant advancements in microcoil applications to biofluid studies.¹⁶

The fact that nano-scale volume of tissue and cell can be optimally detected and analyzed with a cost-effective approach makes μ coil an appealing technology. However, the μ coil technology under MAS, or microcoil MAS, is lagging. This is due to the challenges of implementing a stable μ coil that can endure a rapid sample spinning. The early designs centered on implanting an independent microcoil onto a conventional MAS probe and utilizing the existing stator to propel the sample spinning. The first microcoil under MAS was introduced in 2006 with a piggyback design¹⁷ and was shortly followed by an inductive spinning μ coil approach.¹⁸ These results had subsequently sparked the interest in the commercial and academic groups and have resulted in multiple commercial probes with rotor diameters ranging from 1-mm down to 0.5-mm.¹⁹⁻²¹ These state-of-the-art probes are explicitly designed to spin mg-scale samples up to 100+ kHz to narrow the resonance linewidth that arises from the nuclear spin networks. As a result, they have an immediate impact on the MAS applications in many research fields of biosolids,²²⁻²⁸ including the study of membrane proteins²⁹ and amyloid fibers.³⁰ However, the ultra-fast sample spinning is not of concern in the metabolomic study of delicate samples like tissues and cells. It is considered an unfavorable condition because of the enormous centrifugal force exerted on the sample. A significant concern in designing a microcoil probe for metabolomics is its ability to acquire sufficient spectral resolution data to unfold the dense metabolic ^1H resonance pattern and permit thorough peak analyses for identification and quantification. Moreover, the ability to acquire reproducible data is also a crucial factor. This depends on the stability of the probe and the consistency of the sample preparation for the NMR measurements.

The possibility of ^1H NMR profiling μg biopsy tissue under MAS condition was first evaluated in 2012.³¹ It subsequently triggered the interest of striving for the possibility of 'high-resolution' standard microcoil MAS toward NMR-based metabolomics. Despite the vast number of excellent review literature on HR-MAS NMR spectroscopy,¹⁻³ microcoil NMR,¹⁶ and microcoil MAS,²²⁻³⁰ there are none on the 'high-resolution' standard microcoil MAS. Hence, this review aims to bridge the high-resolution microcoil technology under MAS conditions with metabolomics and provides the fundamental basis for future advancements. It

summarizes the current developments, emphasizing our efforts from a nonexistence state to a beacon of possibility.

NMR considerations

NMR is a spectroscopic technique that characterizes the net magnetization $\mathbf{M}$ induced in a sample exposed to an external field $\mathbf{H}_0$, which can be described by a magnetic susceptibility factor χ , $\mathbf{M} = \chi\mathbf{H}_0$. The net magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0$ in a sample exposed to $\mathbf{H}_0$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \mu_0(\mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{M}) = \mu_0\mu\mathbf{H}_0 \quad (1)$$

where μ_0 is a permeability of free space, and $\mu = 1 + \chi$ is the permeability of the sample. χ is a dimensionless quantity that indicates the extent of the magnetization $\mathbf{M}$ in a sample under an external field. Several sources contribute to $\mathbf{M}$, which arise from the energy current within atoms, electrons, and nucleons. They are essentially responsible for an NMR resonance line intensity and shape. This review focuses only on the magnetizations that contribute to the resonance intensity and resolution. Note that in NMR and MRI, the external magnetic field is often denoted as B_0 rather than H_0 ; thereby, B_0 will be used hereafter.

Sensitivity. It is a hallmark problem for NMR and is attributed to the nuclear spin magnetization M_0 . At thermal equilibrium, M_0 can be expressed by the Boltzmann distribution, for 1/2-spin nuclei,

$$M_0 = \frac{\gamma\hbar N}{2} P, \text{ where } P = \frac{N_\alpha - N_\beta}{N} \equiv \tanh\left(\frac{\gamma\hbar B_0}{2k_B T_s}\right) \quad (2)$$

where γ is the gyromagnetic ratio, $\hbar$ ($h/2\pi$) is the Plank's constant, N is the total number of spins ($N_\alpha + N_\beta$), and P is the nuclear polarization, B_0 is the applied external magnetic field, k_B and T_s is the Boltzmann's constant and the sample temperature. The intensity of a detected signal is proportional to the polarization. For example, at a typical NMR field of 11.7 T at an ambient temperature of 300 K, only about 40 ppm of protons are polarized. Such small polarization is the very reason for the inherently low detection sensitivity in NMR.

Aside from M_0 , the signal intensity – quantified by a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) – also depends on the instrumentations, which can be breakdown into a few distinct components:³²

$$SNR \propto [\gamma B_0]_{\text{oscillation frequency}} \times [M_0]_{\text{magnetization}} \times [B_1/i]_{\text{coil efficiency}} \times \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_c R_c}}\right]_{\text{thermal noise}} \quad (3)$$

The first two correspond to the oscillation and the polarized magnetization of the nuclear spin. The B_1/i term is defined as the transverse magnetic field B_1 per unit current associated

with the rf efficiency inside the coil volume. The last term is the thermal noise ascribed from the coil's temperature T_c and resistance R_c . Essentially, the strategy for increasing the SNR is by manipulating the individual components through physics and engineering. For example, a common conception is to raise the external magnetic field B_0 to enhance the spin oscillation and magnetization. Since the signal and noise increase as a square and a square root of the B_0 field, therefore the overall SNR increases as $B_0^{3/2}$. The current highest commercial NMR field is 28 T (1.2 GHz for ^1H).³³ Further increases are expected to be challenging and expensive to overcome the issues of field homogeneity and stability.

Other approaches include manipulating the spin magnetization M_0 by polarization transfer. It involves transferring the polarization from nuclei with high magnetic moment to lower magnetic moment nuclei, offering a gain in sensitivity corresponding to the ratio of their gyromagnetic ratios, $\gamma_{\text{high}}/\gamma_{\text{lower}}$. This is routinely applied in solid-state ^{13}C (or ^{29}Si) NMR pulse experiments by polarizing the ^{13}C spins with the neighboring ^1H and can gain up to a factor of ~ 4 ($\gamma_{^1\text{H}}/\gamma_{^{13}\text{C}}$). Similarly, the polarization transfer from the electron spin to nuclear spin can have a tremendous gain (up to 10^4 – 10^5) because of the large Zeeman splitting in the electron spin. Such transfer enters the regime of hyperpolarization, where the spin polarization deviates from thermal equilibrium. This phenomenon between the electron and nuclear is known as Dynamic Nuclear Polarization (DNP). Academic and commercial researchers have invested tremendous efforts in integrating DNP with high-field NMR and MRI research.^{34–36} In liquids, dissolution-DNP has already been widely integrated with advanced spectroscopy and imaging experiments and has opened many new opportunities including *in vivo* applications.³⁴

Other hyperpolarization schemes have also been considered and applied. For example, a brute force approach would be lowering the sample temperature to millikelvin in high magnetic fields.³⁷ This is, of course, not feasible for biological samples like tissues and organisms. Other schemes have found success in the bioapplications; these include optical pumping with noble gases (^{129}Xe) for sensing the biomolecule activities,³⁸ photochemically-induced DNP (photo-CIDNP) for investigating protein folding and biomolecular interactions,³⁹ and parahydrogen-induced-polarization (PHIP) for kinetic studies.⁴⁰ A common characteristic of these schemes is the inclusion of chemical additives or so-called polarizing agents.

An approach to gain SNR without polarizing agents is by reducing the electronic thermal noise with a cryogenically cooled rf detection coil. It requires a specific probe design, denoted as cryoprobe, allowing to reduce the operating temperature of the coil to around 20 K while keeping the sample at an ambient temperature. Cryoprobes have become routinely employed in liquid-state NMR application to biomolecular research.⁴¹ The coil is constructed with a superconducting wire, such as YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-d} (YBCO), with zero resistance under 20–30 K, reducing the electronic noise and can lead to an SNR gain up to 4–5 folds. The use of a cold coil is of particular interest in the microcoil probe because of the increased noise level as the coil size decreases; therefore, it is logical to integrate the cryoprobe technology with the microcoil. Brey and his team⁴² have designed and constructed a high-resolution capable cryoprobe with a 1-mm coil for liquid-state NMR spectroscopy. The probe has an active sampling volume of 6.3 μ L with superconducting YBCO coils cooled to about 20 K. The resultant mass sensitivity (SNR per unit mass) is more than 20 times higher than a standard 5-mm probe. One of the engineering challenges in cryoprobe is implanting a sharp thermal gradient of a vacuum barrier between the confined space of the cold coil and the ambient sample without relinquishing the filling factor. This indeed has dramatically hindered the development of cryoprobes under MAS conditions. The first probe, so-called cyrocoil MAS, was introduced in 2008 designed and constructed by Mizuno with his team at JEOL,⁴³ but only recently, a more accessible probe was released by Bruker (BioSolids CryoProbeTM) and has found succussed in the biosolids.⁴⁴ The notion of 'high-resolution' spectroscopy would hugely complicate the design and construction of a cyrocoil 'HR'-MAS probe. To this day, only one attempt has been made by Doty and his team.⁴⁵

As these enhancement schemes require substantial instrumentation and operation costs, it is of interest to explore cost-effective alternatives. One approach is optimizing the technology in the rf coil efficiency, the B_1/i term in Equation 3, by using rf microcoils.

Radiofrequency microcoil. Electronically, the rf coil – the closest probe component to the sample – functions as an inductive antenna that receives the voltage-induced rf transmitting by the precession of the spin magnetization of the sample. The transverse rf magnetic field efficiency, B_1/i , of an rf coil plays a role in SNR and has been discussed in detail by Hoult and Richards,³² and reviewed by Webb.⁴⁶ It implies that the SNR is inversely proportional to the diameter of the saddle and solenoid coil; thus, the gain in sensitivity can be achieved by

miniaturizing the coil around the small sample volume. Herein, we will focus on the solenoid coil. It has a cylindrical geometry by winding a long wire with multiple helical turns producing an effectively strong and uniform transverse field inside the cylindrical volume. The B_1/i term in Equation 3 can be expressed using Biot-Savart law,

$$\frac{B_1}{i} = \frac{n\mu_0}{2r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(l/2r)^2}} \quad (4)$$

where n is the number of helical turns, r and l are the radius and length of the solenoid coil, and μ_0 is the permeability of the vacuum. Evidently, with a fixed $l/2r$ factor, B_1/i increases with the inverse of the coil diameter. Minard and Wind have outlined a didactic guideline for designing μ -size solenoids ($2r \leq 1$ -mm) with optimal rf performance.^{47,48} Sweedler and Webb and their team have exploited the simplicity of the solenoid design and demonstrated the possibility of acquiring high-quality ^1H NMR signals of nano-volume samples with coils less than 1-mm in diameter.^{14,49} This result has set off a substantial interest in academic and commercial research on microcoil probe technology and made significant advancements in studying volume/mass limited samples. There are several review literature on microcoil NMR spectroscopy.^{46,50–56} Indeed, the capability of analyzing small volumes makes it a natural choice for coupling with chemical microseparation techniques⁵⁷ and with microfluidics. The latter includes an innovative hand-held NMR unit, referred to as diagnostic magnetic resonance (DMR), developed by Weissleder and his team.⁵⁸ It integrates a microcoil with a microfluidic system to rapidly screen biospecimens such as bacteria and tumor cells by discriminating their transverse relaxation rates. This technique has recently advanced in conjunction with DNP, denoted as hyperpolarized micromagnetic resonance spectrometer (HMRS).⁵⁹ It permits an ultra-high sensitivity detection for a real-time NMR analysis of the metabolic flux in living cells. The possibility of noninvasive monitoring with HMRS of tumor progression and treatment efficacy had been proposed to be tested in clinical trials.⁵⁹ In the commercial sectors, they have adapted a balance between sensitivity and sampling volume for a routine-based biomolecular study. For example, Bruker has incorporated a 1-mm diameter coil with an active sampling volume of about 2.5 μL .⁶⁰

Although the microcoil technology is well adapted in the NMR studies of biofluids and has continued to advance in novel applications, it is entirely different for samples under MAS (or microcoil MAS). This is due to the engineering challenges of implementing a microcoil inside a MAS stator that can endure a rapid sample spinning. The early developments (with coil diameter ≤ 1) are explicitly targeted on solid-state experiments. This is because of the

considerable advantage of fast sample spinning experiments with small rotors and the strong B_1 field strength from the small coil. The first successful experiment was carried out in 2006 by Kentgens and his team using a so-called piggyback design.¹⁷ To overcome the challenge of implementing a microcoil, a standard 4-mm stator was used to spin a standard rotor piggybacking an μ -size sampling capillary centered inside a microcoil coil cavity. This clever design permits a stable spinning of the sampling capillary driven by the conventional stator while enhancing the signal with a microcoil. The results had demonstrated the possibility of acquiring isotropic spectra on μ g of solids and the B_1 efficiency of producing a strong decoupling B_1 field for line narrowing experiments.

In 2012, JEOL introduced the first commercial microcoil MAS probe with a 0.75-mm stator,¹⁹ and shortly followed by Bruker countering with a 0.7-mm probe.²⁰ These probes are capable of sample spinning as high as 110 kHz, offering the possibility of suppressing the large nuclear spin magnetizations ascribed from the spin-interactions to yield narrow NMR lines for characterizing solids. Hence, these microcoil MAS probes are also known as ultrafast MAS. Recently, a research team has introduced a 0.5-mm MAS probe that offers a groundbreaking sample spinning at 170 kHz.²¹ These commercial ultrafast probes have now widened the NMR applications on biosolids, including ^1H NMR,²²⁻³⁰ an area that was first considered impossible because of the intrinsically broad ^1H signals in biosolids.

The extensive developmental works in the ultrafast MAS probe have been primarily focused on increasing the sample spinning and the rf field efficiency and reducing the sample heating ascribed to the rotor friction and rf. There is little attention on the line broadening caused by the static probe materials, which sample rotation cannot suppress. Consequently, the optimal line resolution from these probes is insufficient for ^1H metabolic investigation on samples like tissue biopsy. Most ultrafast MAS probes offer 0.01–0.02 ppm linewidth at best and often with a distorted lineshape that field shimming cannot correct.

Line resolution. To comprehend the source of the line-width and -shape of an NMR resonance, one must understand the origins of the effective field $\mathbf{B}$ experienced by the sample (Equation 1), which depends on the susceptibility factor χ arising from the local dipole magnetic moment m . For example, when a metabolite is immersed in an isotropic solution, its susceptibility induces an additional field upon the metabolite and results in a resonance shift.

However, metabolites in a complex biological medium are usually distributed in different cellular compartments and morphologies, each with a different susceptibility field. Consequently, this creates a heterogenous field for the metabolic protons to resonate at a series of varying resonance shifts resulting in line broadening. In NMR, this is known as magnetic susceptibility broadening.

Several convenient approaches to numerically determining the susceptibility fields inside and outside volumes of different shapes.^{61,62} One approach divides the local medium into finite volume elements and the sum of all the different magnetic dipole field contributions. In a simple case of small $\chi \leq 1$ (as in most samples), the dipole field B_z can be expressed by an angular dependence function,

$$B_z(\theta, r) = \frac{\mu_0 m (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)}{r^3} \quad (5)$$

where m is the individual magnetic moment, θ is the angle of the dipole vector to B_0 , and r is the distance apart. Note that B_z determines the resonance shift, which leads to line broadening, in the nuclear Larmor frequency induced by m , but it vanishes in the far distant field or along the surface of a magic-angle cone, $\cos^{-1}(1/\sqrt{3}) = 54.74^\circ$ to the external field. This angle is significant for line-narrowing, which will be covered in a later section. A simple numerical estimation of the B_z field can be deduced using an ideal spherical model (Figure 1a), where a magnetized sphere with a radius of a and a permeability $\mu_{\text{sphere}} = 1 + \chi_{\text{sphere}}$ submerged in a sample with $\mu_{\text{sample}} = 1 + \chi_{\text{sample}}$. The different dipole fields dispersed outside the magnetized sphere can be simplified as,

$$B_z(\theta, r) \approx -\frac{\Delta\chi}{3} \frac{a^3}{r^3} B_0 (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta\chi = \chi_{\text{sample}} - \chi_{\text{sphere}}$. For example, the resonance frequency of the water molecules ($\chi = -9 \times 10^{-6}$ SI unit, the negative value indicates diamagnetism) in a water bath containing a spherical air bubble ($\chi \approx 0$) can shift as high as 6 ppm when $r = a$. This shift decreases as r increases, say to $3a$; the shift is about 1.3 ppm, in-line with a typical linewidth of the water resonance. A similar effect can be found in the metabolite protons residing around the different cellular compartments, and each corresponds to a different magnetized sphere.

Another source of the B_z field is from the nearest probe components, which can be significant in the μ -size probe, where the entire sample volume is only a submillimeter apart from the magnetized materials such as the microcoil. For example, Webb and Grant⁶³ have shown a

threefold increase in the linewidth when a nano-volume sample is centered inside the solenoid with only 50- μm apart from the microcoil.

There are several approaches to suppress B_z ascribed to the coil. These include the use of a zero-susceptibility wire. It is a copper-alloy with a positive susceptibility metal, such as aluminum ($\chi = +20.9 \times 10^{-6}$)⁶⁴ or rhodium ($\chi = +168 \times 10^{-6}$),⁶⁵ to cancel the negative susceptibility contribution of the copper. However, the cost of manufacturing zero-susceptibility wire is high because of the difficulty in producing precise and reproducible doping content with small diameter wires. An academic group has proposed a laboratory method of constructing a zero-susceptibility coil using a hollow copper capillary filled with a specific concentration of a paramagnetic solution NiSO_4 neutralizing the negative susceptibility of copper.⁶⁶

Another approach to minimize the B_z effects is to surround the microcoil with a cylindrical medium of matching susceptibility creating an infinite long uniform field around the sample to facilitate the field shimming. The medium can be a perfluorinated fluid such as FC-43 ($\chi = -8.8 \times 10^{-6}$),¹⁴ or an epoxy solid doped with a specific content of positive susceptibility paramagnetic ions.^{67,68} The simplicity of these methods has accelerated the academic research on microcoil in liquid-state NMR for producing high-resolution spectral data.

Magic-angle spinning. As stated in Equation 5, the angular dependence B_z field vanishes when the dipole vector from the magnetic moment aligns with an axis at an angular distance of 54.74° to the external field. The angle is known as the magic-angle. This, in turn, suggests that spinning a sample at a magic-angle would eliminate the B_z field, suppressing the susceptibility broadening. This was first demonstrated in the early work of Garroway⁶⁹ with liquid samples and later discussed by Barbara⁷⁰ in detail of the demagnetization fields inside the small volume cylindrical samples (40 μL) at magic-angle, so-called the magic-angle cylinder. This essentially marked the beginning of the high-resolution standard magic-angle spinning technique, widely known as 'HR'-MAS. An extensive description of the theoretical and hardware of HR-MAS NMR can be found in ref 71 and 72. As illustrated in Figure 1b, when the sample rotates along the axis of magic-angle θ_{MA} , the angle δ and the azimuth angle ϕ become a time-dependent component given as:

$$\cos\delta(t) = \cos\beta\cos\theta_{MA} + \sin\beta\sin\theta_{MA}\cos(\phi(t)) \quad (7)$$

where β is the angular separation between the sample rotation axis and the directional dipole D_r , and ϕ is the azimuth angle on the plane perpendicular to the rotation axis. Integrating the above Equation 7 with 5, the resultant B_z field of a rotating sample becomes

$$B_z = \frac{\mu_0 m}{2r^3} \left\{ (3\cos^2\beta - 1)(3\cos^2\theta_{MA} - 1) + 3\sin 2\beta \sin 2\theta_{MA} \cos(\omega_r t + \phi) + 3\sin^2\beta \sin^2\theta_{MA} \cos(2(\omega_r t + \phi)) \right\} \quad (8)$$

where ω_r is the sample rotation frequency. When $\theta_{MA} = 54.74^\circ$, the first term vanishes, leaving the second and third terms as the spinning-sideband signals that appear at multiple amplitude periods depending on the static linewidth of $\omega_r = 0$. Subsequently, MAS eliminates the susceptibility broadening B_z at rapid spinning and results in isotropic resonances at chemical shifts depending on the induced field driven by the chemical shielding. MAS also eliminates – partially in some cases – other local susceptibility fields ascribe from the spin interactions, such as spin-spin dipole, anisotropic chemical shift, and quadrupole. These interactions can contribute up to kHz–MHz in linewidth for solids. Therefore, fast sample rotation is imperative to characterize the local spin environments in solid materials.

In the context of 'HR'-MAS, it is essential to note that MAS does not eliminate the susceptibility B_z fields ascribed from the probe materials outside the rotating sample. For a standard solid-state 4-mm MAS probe, the coil and stator (the closest components to the sample) are about 1–2 mm apart from the sample located at the wall of the rotor. This contributes to some degree of unsuppressed line broadening with the MAS. This is evident by the skew baseline for each resonance acquired from a conventional 4-mm MAS probe (Figure 2). For microcoil MAS, the entire sample volume is merely a few hundredth microns apart from the coil, which renders a significant B_z field and gives substantial broadening. An example has been illustrated by an early attempt to profile a 150 μg muscle tissue using a sub-microcoil 1.6-mm MAS at 21 T.⁷³ Despite the excellent sensitivity, it is obtained at the cost of susceptibility broadening from the probe materials and obscured the J-splitting for metabolic identifications. As a result, only the lipid signals could be confidently identified. Unquestionably, the first of many challenges in the microcoil MAS development toward metabolomic applications is to achieve sufficient high spectral resolution without sacrificing the sensitivity B_1/i .

Toward high-resolution standard microcoil MAS

Since the first demonstration of high-resolution standard MAS on liquid samples,^{69,70} academic and commercial groups have invested extensive resources in developing HR-MAS probes to advance its application with high data reproducibility, user feasibility, and accessibility. As a result, HR-MAS has become the principal technique in *ex vivo* NMR studies of specimens like pathological biopsies.^{1–7} On the contrary, the development of high-resolution capable microcoil MAS is scarce. The first attempt on the submilligram sample was with a bovine tissue (~300µg) using a spinning microcoil in 2007.¹⁸ But, it was not until 2012 that an evaluation was made on profiling the metabolome on human tissue (<500 µg).³¹ The results demonstrated a gain in sensitivity *via* the microcoil, but the ¹H line resolution was insufficient for metabolic profiling. Regardless, the results rendered a realization of an NMR application on µg-scale tissues with microcoil MAS.

The technological issues and challenges for developing a microcoil MAS probe with a 'high-resolution' capability are different than those for a microcoil (or ultrafast) MAS probe. While faster sample spinning, stronger rf field, and reducing the frictional and electrical heating are the prime interests in the ultrafast probe developments, the high-resolution probe focuses on the high spectral resolution (down to ppb) capability with good feasibility and data reproductivity and reliability. As expected, the complication in the microcoil probe development is the complexity of handling the small size materials. A slight deviation or error from the ideal condition – whether in the probe materials, microcoil, or NMR sample preparation – will magnify its effect on the NMR performance and, therefore, on the spectral resolution. To this day, the development of high-resolution standard microcoil MAS is still in its infancy, and only proof-of-concept studies have been reported (Table 1).

Table 1. A chronological list of the significant developments that have led to 'HR' standard microcoil MAS NMR

Year	Microcoil condition (active coil volume) ^a	Highlight	Result ^b
2006 ^[17]	Static (vary; sample volume down to 10 nL)	Introduced the first microcoil MAS probe: piggyback design	–A µg sample capillary is piggybacked on a standard rotor –Enhanced B_1/i <i>via</i> the µcoil –Demonstrated the possibility of µg sample analysis
2007 ^[18]	Spinning (vary <900 nL)	Introduced an inductive spinning resonator: MACS	–An inductive resonator is placed inside a standard MAS rotor –Enhanced B_1/i <i>via</i> the inductive resonator; a mass-sensitivity of 46 mg ⁻¹ is found for 750-µm MACS

2012 ^[31]	Spinning (570 nL)	Evaluated the ¹ H profiling with μg biopsy using MACS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> –Insufficient ¹H line resolution for metabolic analysis –Carried out on an 870-μm diameter resonator with <500 μg tissue –A 17-fold mass sensitivity enhancement compared to HR-MAS –Insufficient ¹H resolution (0.01 ppm) –Demonstrated the high versatility –Difficult in coil fabrication with no reproductivity
2012 ^[75]	Spinning (500 nL)	Introduced an automated fabrication of MACS: on-chip MACS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> –Carried out on a 1000-μm diameter resonator –Eased the fabrication with a robotic wire-binding technology; ~100 resonators per production –Poor line resolution (1 ppm) ascribed to the large susceptibility gradient from the gold wire solenoid –Demonstrated the possibility of mass-manufactured resonators
2013 ^[73]	Static (19300 nL)	Attempt on ¹ H profiling of μg-tissue using a standard MAS probe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> –Carried out on a 1.6-mm MAS with a 150 μg tissue at 21 T –Good sensitivity but with poor line resolution due to the strong magnetic susceptibility gradients from the stator and coil
2013 ^[76]	Spinning (200 nL)	Refined the MACS design (First 'HR'-capable microcoil MAS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> –Carried out on a 550-μm diameter resonator –Offered excellent ¹H resolution (0.004 ppm) while retaining the sensitivity
2014 ^[77]	Spinning (vary: 100–420 nL)	A demonstrative metabolomic study on submillimeter organisms using HR-MACS (First metabolomic NMR study with nL volume under MAS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> –First metabolomic NMR study with microcoil MAS –The model study was carried out with an 840-μm diameter resonator with samples of <100 <i>C. elegans</i> individual –Introduced the use of a single fixed resonator with a sample-exchange capillary for easing the sample preparation –Successfully carried out the ¹H metabolic profiling and discrimination analysis of <i>C. elegans</i> –Limited to small scale study due to coil fragility –Single worm detection was carried out with a 400-μm resonator
2015 ^[78]	Static (<1500 nL)	Introduced a 'high-resolution' capable 1-mm microcoil MAS probe: HR-μMAS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> –Modified from an ultrafast 1-mm MAS probe by replacing with a specific design stator –Despite the new stator, strong rf shimming fields are needed to acquire a good line resolution (0.002 ppm),

			suggesting the presence of magnetic susceptibility gradients
			–Demonstrated the feasibility of 2D $^1\text{H}\{^{13}\text{C}\}$ HMQC experiment
2018 ^[79]	Static (<1500 nL)	–A demonstrative study on μg food tissues using HR- μMAS	–First metabolomic NMR study with HR- μMAS with a model study of $n>30$ with $\sim 300\ \mu\text{g}$ tissue
			–Demonstrated a reliable metabolomic study with multivariate analysis for localizing the metabolic variances in different anatomical tissues
2019 ^[80]	Spinning (500 nL)	–Refined the on-chip MACS (Voxalytic TM MACS insert)	–Replaced the original gold wire with copper, resulting in a significant improvement in line-resolution (from 1 to 0.01 ppm); but still inferior to HR-MACS and HR- μMAS
2021 ^[81]	Static (<1500 nL)	–A demonstrative study on μg rodent tissues using HR- μMAS with a model study of $n>100$ (First metabolomic study on μg tissue biopsy)	–Spatial metabolic mapping on tumoral brain slices –The large-scale study with $n>100$ of $\sim 300\ \mu\text{g}$ tissue illustrated the capability of acquiring good reliability and reproducibility spectral data –Delimited the tumor lesion using multivariate analysis

(a) Estimated value from the solenoidal dimension; (b) line resolution were deduced from a sucrose resonance

The first high-resolution capable microcoil MAS probe⁷⁶ was a modification of an early success ultrafast microcoil probe, which used an inductively coupled spinning μ -resonator, so-called magic-angle coil spinning (MACS).¹⁸ It is essentially a self-resonant solenoid coil wrapped closely around a nano-volume capillary and is secured inside a standard large MAS rotor. The setup renders an ensemble spinning to suppress the susceptibility B_z fields from the rotating microcoil and the sample and gain sensitivity *via* the inductively coupled microcoil. One advantage of MACS is the resonator's dimensions versatility. It enables tailoring the active and sample volume to accommodate the optimum filling factor. However, the spinning of a coil under a magnetic field inherently generates an eddy current within the conductive wire, producing heat *via* the dissipated power. The power can be estimated by modeling a single rotating loop of a conductive wire,⁸²

$$P = \omega_r^2 B^2 \left(\frac{r h^3 w}{\rho} \right) \quad (9)$$

It depends on various factors: the effective magnetic field (B), the rotating frequency (ω_r), the loop radius (r), and the wire cross-section (w), and its resistivity (ρ). Any increase of these factors would lead to a rise in power and thus increase sample heating. This was evident with a microcoil constructed with a $62\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ cross-section of a Cu wire, spinning at 6000 Hz

inside an 11.7 T magnet;⁷⁶ it heated the sample by 37 °C. In contrast, the heating decreased to 1.6 °C with a thinner 30-µm Cu wire; and it further reduced to 0.02 °C with a reduced spinning frequency to 500 Hz. Indeed sample heating is of concern, not only to the integrity of the specimens, but it generates a temperature gradient throughout the sample volume and subsequently gives rise to line broadening caused by a series of frequency shifts in the resonance.⁸³ The consequences can be severe for a water (HDO) sample since the ¹H chemical shift is temperature-dependence −11.9 ppb/°C.⁸⁴ Figure 3 shows a dramatic loss of the sucrose anomeric proton line resolution as the spinning frequency increases. This is mainly attributed to the heating originating from the eddy current arising in the spinning Cu wire. For example, at a routine 5000 Hz spinning, it generates about 2 °C, efficiently concealing a J-splitting of 4 Hz. In contrast, a clear doublet is well resolved with low spinning (<500 Hz), but it gives rise to a dense spinning-sideband pattern in the spectrum (Equation 8), and requires suppression pulse experiments to disclose the isotropic resonances. The refined MACS design – thin wire coil with slow-spinning – to achieve high-resolution capable microcoil MAS is referred to as HR-MACS.

HR-MACS had shown an impressive spectral quality for profiling the metabolome with only 12-individuals of the submillimeter nematodes *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Figure 4).⁷⁷ The spectral similarity to the large volume HR-MAS with more than 1000 individuals is unprecedented. It rendered the identification of a total of 31 metabolites, representing the most NMR-identified metabolites in a MAS spectrum of a nano-volume biological sample. The sensitivity enhancement with HR-MACS is 5-fold (B_1^{HRMACS}/B_1^{HRMAS}) higher than that with HR-MAS. In other words, it would require an acquisition period of 25× longer for HR-MAS to obtain the same level of SNR as for HR-MACS with 12-individual worms. Pushing the sensitivity limit, HR-MACS identified a few metabolite signals from a single submillimeter worm at 18.8 T. However, the resultant spectral resolution was poor due to a field drift over the 10-h acquisition. Regardless, the result offered a glimpse of the possibility for a MAS study of a single tiny organism. This study also illustrated the beneficial factor of analyzing a few numbers of the organism. To avoid crowding in the cultivation progress, about 100 (or fewer) individuals are cultivated in a single culture plate; this would require 10 plates to cultivate 1000 individuals for a single NMR sample for HR-MAS. As a result, a single model study with HR-MAS would require a demanding cultivation procedure.⁸⁵ On the other hand, with the possibility of analyzing a few individuals, one plate would allow

multiple NMR samples, dramatically reducing the cultivation procedures. This was demonstrated by a discrimination analysis (OPLS-DA) of two finite *C. elegans* groups (wild-type vs slcf-1) with <100 individuals.⁷⁷

One issue with HR-MACS is the fabrication of the tiny and fragile LC circuitry of the μ -resonator. It demands high-precision procedures. The current effective method is manually winding, which is a labor-intensive process with zero reproducibility. To ensure the spectral reproducibility for multivariate analysis, our team introduced the use of a single μ -resonator permanently fixed inside a MAS rotor and permitting a sample screening with a smaller diameter sample capillary. Two metabolomic studies with multivariate statistics have been performed with this setup,^{77,86} illustrating the potential in metabolomic studies. However, the constant severe spinning stress exerted onto the resonator is limited to small model studies.

Several reports have acknowledged the difficulty in assembling and reproducing the μ -resonators and have attempted to apply different manufacturing approaches to ease the fabrications. Korvink and his team exploited the automation of a robotic wire-bonding technology to wind an μ -solenoid and bind to a capacitor chip. They denoted the resonator as on-chip MACS.⁷⁵ The fabrication process is carried out entirely by a robotic wire-bonding apparatus, enabling to produce 100 on-chip MACS resonators in a single procedure. However, the reported NMR linewidth was highly inadequate, with about 1 ppm. This was due to the significant susceptibility mismatched from the gold wire ($\chi = -34 \times 10^{-6}$) used in the wire-bonding. Later, a significant improvement was made on the line-resolution, from 1 ppm to about 0.01 ppm, by replacing the gold wire with copper,⁸⁶ and thereafter, it was commercialized as VoxalyticTM MACS insert. Another MACS design, the so-called monolithic MACS, was later introduced.⁸⁷ The design is based on a planar transmission-line resonator, which is self-resonant and does not require lumped-element capacitors, permitting the use of 2D MEMS printing technology. Although the manufacturing was less efficient than the wire-bonding, it offered a line resolution of about 0.1 ppm. Regardless, these studies demonstrated the possibility of mass-production of μ -resonators for MACS. It is now a matter of minimizing the susceptibility mismatched with the samples to improve line resolution.

In 2015, a new high-resolution standard microcoil probe was introduced,⁷⁸ denoted as HR- μ MAS. Unlike the spinning microcoil in HR-MACS, the new probe consists of a solenoid with 1-mm in diameter incorporated inside the MAS stator with a 490 nL sampling volume. A few probe components near the sampling volume were of significant concern in the probe design to retain the high-resolution spectra. For example, to reduce the induced B_z fields, the microcoil is wound with a zero-susceptibility wire (Cu/Al), the air-bearings are made of a susceptibility-matched Vespel ($\chi = -9.2 \times 10^{-6}$), similarly the entire stator structure is made with Kel-F ($\chi = -11.6 \times 10^{-6}$). As shown in Figure 5, these modifications have significantly improved the linewidth at 50% height (FWHM), but it also exhibits a significant skew line at 1% height. Based on a numerical field map simulation, the skew line is ascribed from the two Vespel air-bearings inside the Kel-F stator generating a strong unwanted quadratic field to the sample volume.⁸⁸ To overcome this, a pair of passive ferro-shim was strategically integrated inside the probehead (Figure 5) to offset the unwanted field and supplement additional shim field equivalent to the active shim field of $B_{x^2-y^2}$, which in turn produces a quadratic field at magic-angle B_z^{MAS}

$$B_z^{MAS} = B_{x^2-y^2} - 2\sqrt{2}B_{zy} \quad (10)$$

where $B_{x^2-y^2}$ and B_{zy} are the harmonic field functions in the active NMR shims. This implementation has suppressed the unwanted perturbed fields and yielded high-resolution spectra.

In contrast to HR-MACS, HR- μ MAS is a highly versatile and robust probe with the feasibility of heteronuclear experiments. ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC experiment has been performed to profile the lactate and its brain physiological metabolism from a $\sim 300 \mu\text{g}$ brain tissue extracted from a rat model previously infused with $[3\text{-}^{13}\text{C}]\text{lactate}$ during a brain simulation. Despite the long acquisition (27 hr), a few metabolites (alanine, lactate, glutamate, glutamine, and γ -aminobutyric acid) were identified as the relevant brain lactate metabolism accordant with those found in a previous HR-MAS study.⁸⁹

The first complete study of NMR-based metabolomics with the HR- μ MAS probe was carried out on a $\sim 300 \mu\text{g}$ tissue sampling of *Allium sativum* (garlic, Figure 6).⁷⁹ This study highlights a significant ex vivo NMR application with small size sampling. It enables the investigation of small anatomical regions that would be impractical with mg-scale sampling using HR-MAS. Specifically, a total of 14 metabolic variances, including the organosulfur compound

cycloallicin, has been identified in the two small sub-tissue anatomies (sprout and inner epidermis) inside the garlic core.

A subsequent study explored the potential of rodent tissue biopsy by spatially profiling across a coronal brain slice of C6 gliomas bearing.⁸¹ Figure 7 shows that with the same acquisition time, 13-min, the resultant line resolution of HR- μ MAS is *on par* with that of HR-MAS; but with nearly 30-fold less in the tissue mass. In addition, the high-resolution spectra data permit unambiguous identification of the NMR markers of C6 gliomas in the rat models. The small sampling mass permitted a systematic metabolic mapping across the brain slice with a volume voxel of $1 \times 1 \times 3 \text{ mm}^3$, rendering a delineation of the tumor lesion using a linear PLS regression and a semi-quantification analysis. Thus, the study presents a unique *ex vivo* NMR possibility for analyzing pathological tissue. Another aspect worth noting is that it applied a high number of sampling data (nearly a 100-sample) in the model study, illustrating the capability to acquire reliable and consistent data with the HR- μ MAS probe for advanced multivariate statistics. Indeed, this is imperative for metabolomic studies. Note that this large sampling model would not be possible with the previously discussed spinning μ -resonator probe.

Future prospects

Although advancements have been made on the high-resolution standard microcoil MAS probe, it has yet to be widely applied in metabolomics. This is mainly due to the lack of feasibility. The main challenge lies mainly in the minuscule sample, which limits detection sensitivity and sample preparation. Further developments are necessary to continue striving forward to a level of application *on par* with the conventional HR-MAS NMR spectroscopy.

Technical developments. It should be noted that the current state of the microcoil is close to achieving the limit for detection sensitivity. Further improvement by orders of magnitude is not expected through B_1/i . Therefore, higher sensitivity would be achieved by incorporating other enhancement schemes. As discussed previously, high magnetic fields would enhance the sensitivity, but at the expense of the equipment and its running cost; moreover, it would also increase the susceptibility broadening ascribed to the microcoil. Increasing the signal-averaging would also increase the sensitivity by a factor of $\sqrt{\text{scan}}$, but it could demand an unrealistic acquisition period. Hyperpolarization techniques could be a possible route, but

how to incorporate the respective strategies is not apparent. Cryoprobe technology is probably the most viable; the main issue is implanting a thermal barrier between the cold μ coil and the ambient sample, complicated by the MAS stator. The piggyback concept¹⁷ could offer a possible solution where the stator is segregated from both the microcoil and the sample and could ease the implementation of an isolated cold coil. Moreover, the sample is no longer constrained inside a rotor, allowing an elongated sampling volume that renders a long ellipsoid field to facilitate the field shimming. The segregation of the sample from the stator could also offer a more feasible solution to the NMR-sample preparation.

Sample preparation is a critical component in all bioanalytical methods. Standardized protocols are necessary and have been well-established in most *ex vivo* NMR-based applications.⁹⁰⁻⁹² This is not the case for microcoil MAS. Sample preparation for the NMR analysis may seem trivial at first glance, but it is, in fact, a strenuous task for handling specimens at a minuscule level. One must consider the entire procedure clean and quick for preserving the sample integrity. The necessity of using a μ -size sample-holder requires a filling procedure that can be strenuous. The current most efficient methods are simply using a micro-pipette for the solution and sampling punch for tissue with a centrifuge,⁹³ but these procedures are slow and demanding. Acknowledging the difficulty, the use of sampling glass capillary has been proposed for the spinning resonator HR-MACS⁷⁷ and the HR- μ MAS probe.⁹⁴ The advantage is that it permits the NMR-sample preparation outside the rotor, simplifying the sample filling procedure. The trade-off is the loss of sensitivity with a reduced coil filling factor that can be down to 50% depending on the capillary thickness. Disposable Kel-F rotor (similar concept to the Bruker Kel-F bioinsert) has also been considered for HR- μ MAS probe,^{78,94} but the fragility of the small plastic rotor has hindered a rapid preparation. Indeed, further improvement is essential for a more feasible analysis with the microcoil probe. This may require new devices, such as microfluidic, for simplifying the sample filling or even an entirely new design of the microcoil probe that can facilitate the entire preparation. For example, one could consider the piggyback design,¹⁷ in which the sample tube is segregated from the rotor and could be manipulated or coupled with external sample-filling apparatus.

Possible applications. The prime advantage of microcoil MAS probes is that it opens the possibility of analyzing samples with limited availabilities. These can be biospecimens such

as tissue biopsies, cells, organisms, or aggregated biomolecules, and they can also be non-biosamples such as polymeric resins. It offers the investigations of a specific phenotype in isogenic specimens that would be impractical with HR-MAS because of the difficulty of isolating a sufficient quantity of the target specimens. For example, in the cell biology field, 'HR' standard microcoil MAS would be able to explore the metabolomes in target cell-type, an emerging research area in mass spectrometry that can provide a fundamental prerequisite metabolic information for understanding the underlying functions and activities. Unlike cell culture, isolating the target cell type directly from an animal is a daunting task; it relies on specific cell sorting techniques, which often hinder the collection of large quantities necessary for the profiling with HR-MAS. For example, profiling the isolated neuron and astrocyte cells may help yield insights into the fundamental of intercellular metabolic cooperation between neurons and astrocytes to further understand brain metabolism.⁹⁵

Another advantage is the possibility of analyzing tiny spatial tissue regions, allowing a direct characterization of the metabolite in question that can be concealed in large sampling tissue mass. For example, a recent *ex vivo* HR-MAS study⁹⁶ of epilepsy with a kainate-injected epileptic mouse model reported an increased γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) level in the entire ipsilateral anterior region of the hippocampus, eliciting the relevancy of GABA as a biomarker of the epileptogenic zone. However, the extensive sampling tissue mass (5 mg) made it impossible to delineate further the epileptic zone within the region. Figure 8 shows an example of benefiting the small spatial analysis (with ~150 μ g sampling) using the HR- μ MAS probe; it reveals an increased level of GABA in a tiny subregion (dentate gyrus) of the ipsilateral anterior hippocampus, pinpointing the epileptic location. Although the result needs further confirmation, it illustrates the underlying benefit of μ g sampling over the mg scale.

In health science, small sample analysis could be used as a complementary approach to advanced imaging techniques for enhancing the recognition of the modified metabolic patterns of the disease in tissue. The potential of firsthand monitoring of the perturbations in metabolic responses of the diseases could offer a practical and immediate treatment, opening the possibility in clinical NMR spectroscopy, a concept proposed by Nicholson.⁹⁷ Moreover, the current gold standard in clinical tissue assessment is histopathology. When the tissue mass is limited in a single biopsy, the mg tissue analysis with HR-MAS can be complicated as it can compromise the histopathological assessments; but this would not be the case with

microcoil MAS. In addition, the excision of μg tissue from a human patient or animal could reduce surgical invasiveness and permit longitudinal studies.

In agricultural science, the analysis with μg samples could provide direct insights into the integration and regulation of plant metabolism: from a single seed to a grown plant. For example, the germination process in a seed is complex and of great importance for developing the plant seeds to form a new individual. To characterize the metabolic coordination of the distinct small regions in a seed could help the development of germination⁹⁸ and essentially the plant growth. This could benefit from a spatiotemporal analysis on a single seed.

Conclusion

The recent developments of high-resolution standard microcoil MAS probe technology have offered the opportunity for opening new applications in the *ex vivo* platform of NMR-based metabolomics. However, there is no question that further improvements in NMR sensitivity and sample preparation are necessary for routine analysis of μg specimens and venture into clinical NMR spectroscopy. We are convinced that 'HR'- μMAS NMR analysis could become an important component in the omics research, but this would take a collaborative effort among the different disciplines with complementary expertise to continue advancing and making high-resolution standard microcoil MAS a real possibility in metabolomics and omics in general.

Funding: The developments presented here are supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche in France (ANR-16-CE11-0023 and ANR-12-JSV5-0005)

Acknowledgments: I would like to acknowledge the undivided supports from all collaborators, including JEOL Resonance Inc. on the HR- μMAS probe. Dr Florence Fauvelle (GIN, France) is also acknowledged for the preliminary unpublished results on the epilepsy tissue in Figure 8.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability: All of our works present in the review are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. (a) Magnetic dipole field B_z at point P of the sample (μ_{sample}) region outside of a magnetized sphere (μ_{sphere}), of which it has a radius of 'a' and a dipolar magnetic moment m induced by the external NMR field B_0 . δ is the angle between B_0 and the dipolar vector D_r , which depends on a distance r between m and P. (b) The MAS effect, where δ becomes a time-dependent component $\delta(t)$ with a magic-angle $\theta_{\text{MA}} = 54.74^\circ$, and β is the angle between the direction of the rotation axis and D_r , and ϕ is the azimuth angle characterizing the position of a magnetic moment m in a plane perpendicular to the rotation axis.

Figure 2. ^1H spectral comparison of sucrose in D_2O at 11.7 T between solid-state MAS probes and high-resolution capable MAS probes. The arrow depicts the development toward high spectral resolution capable without forfeiting the detection sensitivity.

Figure 3. (a) ^1H doublet of an anomeric proton in sucrose, illustrating the loss of line resolution as the MAS frequency increases with a spinning resonator HR-MACS. (b) A summary of the FWHM in (a) and its estimated heat dissipation. The employed spinning resonator was constructed with a 30- μm cross-section Cu wire. Adapted with permission from ref 76. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society. Note that the temperature dependence on the chemical shift of the anomeric proton has also been observed in HR-MAS.⁸³

Figure 4. ^1H spectral comparison at 18.8 T between HR-MACS and HR-MAS of *C. elegans*. Despite a drastic reduction of the worm individuals in HR-MACS, the chemical shift expansion showcases the detailed similarity in the resonance profile. Adapted with permission from ref 77. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

Figure 5. (a) Improvement of the line resolution with a pair of passive ferro shims mounted near the MAS stator. The ^1H spectra of sucrose in D_2O were acquired at 11.7 T spinning at 4000 Hz. (b) The numerical field simulation results show the 1D magnetic flux profile within the active sample along the magic-angle axis ascribed by the cylindrical bearings inside the stator (B_{bearing}), a pair of ferro-shims (B_{passive}), and the combined effect (B_{sum}). The red highlight represents the sample region along the magic-angle axis Z' . Adapted with permission from ref 88. Copyright 2019 Springer Nature.

Figure 6. (a) ^1H spectral comparison of the different anatomical garlic regions between HR-MAS and HR- μMAS . (b) The resultant S-line plot was used to identify the metabolite variances between the garlic core's two sub-regions (sprout and inner epidermis). A result that could not be easily deduced by the large-volume sampling HR-MAS experiments. Adapted with permission from ref 79. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

Figure 7. (a) ^1H spectral comparison of the rat brain tissue between HR-MAS and HR- μMAS NMR at 11.7 T: black-line corresponds to HR-MAS of the tumoral (C6-glioma) lesion tissue, red and blue correspond to HR- μMAS of the tumoral and healthy tissue, respectively. The spectral amplitudes are normalized to the tCr resonance. Based on the total SNR (1.0–4.2 ppm), the mass-sensitivity per unit time for those acquired with HR- μMAS is about 3-fold higher than HR-MAS. The significant NMR-markers are annotated with arrows indicating the increased and decreased level: red corresponds to tumoral tissue and blue healthy. (b) A PCA score plot was obtained from a model study containing 90-sampling data on brain tissue, 34 from a single healthy brain slice, and 56 from two tumor-bearing slices. The excellent quality

parameters indicated the reliability of model data acquired by the HR- μ MAS probe. (c) Resultant analytical maps: [left] PLS-regression map showing the delineation of the tumor lesion. The level of the predicted values is coded with a color scheme: red being 1 corresponds to tumoral tissue and blue being 0 to healthy tissue; [right] metabolite distribution map of tCho/NAA across the slice. Each color square in both maps is relevant to a 1 $\times$ 1 sampling pixel. Adapted with permission from ref 81. Copyright 2021 John Wiley and Sons.

Figure 8. *Ex vivo* localized ^1H HR- μ MAS spectra of the three regions (dentate gyrus (DG) dispersion and cornu ammonis (CA1 & 3)) in an ipsilateral anterior of the hippocampus of a kainic acid-mesiotemporal lobe epilepsy mouse model, with a sampling mass of $\sim 150\ \mu\text{g}$. Similar to the result in Figure 7, the mass-sensitivity per unit time for HR- μ MAS is about 3-fold higher than HR-MAS. This is an unpublished preliminary result. The spectral result demonstrates the beneficiary of μg -sampling with HR- μ MAS over mg-sampling with HR-MAS that has concealed the spatial origin of the evaluated GABA level.

References

1. Kaebisch E, Fuss TL, Vandergrift LA, et al. Applications of high-resolution magic angle spinning MRS in biomedical studies I–cell line and animal models. *NMR Biomed* 2017;e3700.
2. Dietz C, Ehret F, Palmas F, et al. Applications of high-resolution magic angle spinning MRS in biomedical studies II–human diseases. *NMR Biomed* 2017;e3784.
3. Lindon JC, Beckonert OP, Holmes E, Nicholson JK. High-resolution magic angle spinning NMR spectroscopy: application to biomedical studies. *Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc* 2009;55:79–100.
4. Sitter B, Bathen TF, Tessem M-B, Gribbestad IS. High-resolution magic angle spinning (HR-MAS) MR spectroscopy in metabolic characterization of human cancer. *Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc* 2009;54:239–254.
5. Bathen TF, Sitter B, Sjøbakk TE, et al. Magnetic resonance metabolomics of intact tissue a biotechnological tool in cancer diagnostics and treatment evaluation. *Cancer Res* 2010;70:6692–6696.
6. Somashekar BS, Kamarajan P, Danciu T, et al. Magic angle spinning NMR-based metabolic profiling of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma tissues. *J Proteome Res* 2011;10:5232–5241.
7. Tripathi P, Somashekar BS, Ponnusamy M, et al. HR-MAS NMR tissue metabolomic signatures cross-validated by mass spectrometry distinguish bladder cancer from benign disease. *J Proteome Res* 2013;12:3519–3528.
8. Bruker. Released the iProbe™ HRMAS at European Magnetic Resonance Meeting (EUROMAR), Nantes, France, 2018.
9. Van de Plas R, Yang J, Spraggins J, Caprioli RM. Image fusion of mass spectrometry and microscopy: a multimodality paradigm for molecular tissue mapping. *Nat Methods* 2015;12:366–372.
10. Taylor L, Fuss BA, Cheng LL. Metabolic Imaging in Humans. *Topic in Magn Reson Imaging* 2016;25:223–235.
11. Zhu A, Lee D, Shim Hyunsuk. Metabolic Positron Emission Tomography Imaging in Cancer Detection and Therapy Response. *Semin Oncol* 2011;38:55–69.
12. Markley JL, Brüschweiler R, Edison AS, et al. The future of NMR-based metabolomics. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2017;43:34–40.
13. Comi TJ, Do TD, Rubakhin SS, Sweedler JV. Categorizing cells on the basis of their chemical profiles: progress in single-cell mass spectrometry. *J Am Chem Soc* 2017;139:3920–3929.
14. Olson DL, Peck TL, Webb AG, et al. High-resolution microcoil ¹H NMR for mass-limited, nanoliter-volume samples. *Science* 1995;270:1967–1970.
15. Kc R, Henry ID, Park GHJ, Raftery D. New solenoidal microcoil NMR probe using zero-susceptibility. *Concepts Magn Reson Part B Magn Reson Eng* 2010;17:13–19.

- 758 16. Grimes JH, O'Connell TM. The application of micro-coil NMR probe technology to
759 metabolomics of urine and serum. *J Biomol NMR* 2011;49:297–305.
- 760 17. Janssen H, Brinkmann A, Van Eck ERH, et al. Microcoil high-resolution magic angle
761 spinning NMR spectroscopy. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:8722–8723.
- 762 18. Sakellariou D, Goff G Le, Jacquinet J-F. High-resolution, high-sensitivity NMR of
763 nanolitre anisotropic samples by coil spinning. *Nature* 2007;447:694–697.
- 764 19. JEOL Resonance Inc. Released a 0.75-mm μ MAS probe. 53rd Experimental Nuclear
765 Magnetic Resonance Conference (ENC), Miami, USA, 2012.
- 766 20. Bruker. Released Magic Angle spinning above 100 kHz. 56th Experimental Nuclear
767 Magnetic Resonance Conference (ENC), Pacific Grove, USA, 2015.
- 768 21. Samoson A. H-MAS. *J Magn Reson* 2019;306:16–172.
- 769 22. Struppe J, Quinn CM, Lu M. et al. Expanding the horizons for structural analysis of fully
770 protonated protein assemblies by NMR spectroscopy at MAS frequencies above 100
771 kHz. *Solid State Nucl Magn Reson* 2017;87:117–125.
- 772 23. Struppe J, Quinn CM, Sarkar S, et al. Ultrafast ¹H MAS NMR crystallography for
773 natural abundance pharmaceutical compounds. *Mol Pharmaceutics* 2020;17:674–682
- 774 24. Paepe D C-D, Stanek J, Jaudzems K, et al. Is protein deuteration beneficial for proton
775 detected solid-state NMR at and above 100 kHz magic-angle spinning? *Solid State Nucl*
776 *Magn Reson* 2017;87:124–136.
- 777 25. Zhang R, Pandey MK, Nishiyama Y, Ramamoorthy A. A novel high-resolution and
778 sensitivity-enhanced three-dimensional solid-state NMR experiment under ultrafast
779 magic angle spinning conditions. *Sci reports* 2015;5:1181.
- 780 26. Zhang R, Mroue KH, Ramamoorthy A. Proton-based ultrafast magic angle spinning
781 solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Acc Chem Res* 2017;4:1105–1113.
- 782 27. Andreas LB, Jaudzems K, Stanek J, et al. Structure of fully protonated proteins by
783 proton-detected magic-angle spinning NMR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016;113:9187–
784 9192.
- 785 28. Penzel S, Oss A, Org ML, et al. Spinning faster: protein NMR at MAS frequencies up to
786 126 kHz. *J Biomol NMR* 2019;73:19–29.
- 787 29. Schubeis T, Marchand TLe, Andreas L, Pintacuda G. ¹H magic-angle spinning NMR
788 evolves as a powerful new tool for membrane proteins. *J Magn Reson* 2018;287:140–152
- 789 30. Loquet A, Mammeri NE, Stanek J. 3D structure determination of amyloid fibrils using
790 solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Methods* 2018;138-139:26–38
- 791 31. Wong A, Jiménez B, Li X, et al. Evaluation of high resolution magic-angle coil spinning
792 NMR spectroscopy for metabolic profiling of nanoliter tissue biopsies. *Anal Chem*
793 2012;84:3843–3848.
- 794 32. Hoult DI, Richards RE. The signal-to-noise ratio of the nuclear magnetic resonance
795 experiment. *J Magn Reson* 1976;24:1969–1992.

- 796 33. Luchinat E, Barbieri L, Cremonini M, Banci L. Protein in-cell NMR spectroscopy at 1.2
797 GHz. *J Biomol NMR* 2021;75:97–107.
- 798 34. Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH. On the present and future of dissolution-DNP. *J Magn Reson.*
799 2016;264:2–12.
- 800 35. Thankamony ASL, Wittmann JJ, Kaushik M, Corzilius B. Dynamic nuclear polarization
801 for sensitivity enhancement in modern solid-state NMR. *Prog Nucl Magn Reson*
802 *Spectrosc* 2017;102/103:120–195.
- 803 36. Rossini AJ, Zagdoun A, Lelli M, et al. Dynamic nuclear polarization surface enhanced
804 NMR Spectroscopy. *Acc Chem Res* 2013;46:1942–1951
- 805 37. Owers-Bradley JR, Horsewill AJ, Peat DT, et al. High polarization of nuclear spins
806 mediated by nanoparticles at millikelvin temperatures. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*
807 2013;15:10413–10417,
- 808 38. Spence MM, Rubin SM, Dimitrov IE, et al. Functionalized xenon as a biosensor. *Proc*
809 *Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001;98:10654–10657.
- 810 39. Kuch LT. Photo-CIDNP NMR spectroscopy of amino acids and proteins. *Top Curr*
811 *Chem* 2013;338:229–300
- 812 40. Duckett SB, Mewis RE. Application of parahydrogen induced polarization techniques in
813 NMR spectroscopy and imaging. *Acc Chem Res* 2012;45:1247–1257.
- 814 41. Kovacs H, Moskau D, Spraul M. Cryogenically cooled probes – a leap in NMR
815 technology. *Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc* 2005;46:131–155.
- 816 42. Brey WW, Edison AS, Nast RE, et al. Design, construction, and validation of a 1-mm
817 triple-resonance high-temperature-superconducting probe for NMR. *J Magn Reson.*
818 2006;179:290–293.
- 819 43. Mizuno T, Hioka K, Fujioka K, Takegoshi K. Development of a magic-angle spinning
820 nuclear magnetic resonance with a cryogenic detection system for sensitivity
821 enhancement. *Rev Sci Instrum* 2008;79:044706.
- 822 44. Hassan A, Quinn CM, Struppe J, et al. Sensitivity Boosts by the CPMAS CryoProbe for
823 Challenging Biological Assemblies. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2020;311:106680.
- 824 45. Staab JP, Novak GJ, Kini S, Spitzmesser JB, Entzminger G, Holte LL, Doty FD.
825 Development of a cryo-coil HR-MAS-PFG NMR probe for high-field WB magnets. 44th
826 Experimental Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Conference (ENC), Savannah, 2003.
- 827 46. Webb AG. Radiofrequency microcoils in magnetic resonance. *Prog Nucl Magn Reson*
828 *Spectrosc* 1997;31:1–42.
- 829 47. Minard KR, Wind RA. Solenoidal microcoil design–Part I: optimizing rf homogeneity
830 and coil dimensions. *Concepts Magn Reson* 2001;13:128–142.
- 831 48. Minard KR, Wind RA. Solenoidal microcoil design–Part II: optimizing winding
832 parameters for maximum signal-to-noise performance. *Concepts Magn Reson*
833 2001;13:190–210.

- 834 49. Wu N, Peck TL, Webb AG, et al. ^1H -NMR spectroscopy on the nanoliter scale for static
835 and on-line measurements. *Anal Chem* 1994;66:3849–3857.
- 836 50. Webb AG. Microcoil nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*
837 2005;38:892–903.
- 838 51. Schroeder FC, Gronquist M. Extending the scope of NMR spectroscopy with microcoil
839 probes. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2006;45:7122–7131.
- 840 52. Kentgens AP, Bart J, van Bentum PJ, et al. High-resolution liquid- and solid-state
841 nuclear magnetic resonance of nanoliter sample volumes using microcoil detectors. *J*
842 *Chem Phys* 2008;128:052202.
- 843 53. Fratila RM, Velders AH. Small-volume nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, *Annu*
844 *Rev Anal Chem* 2011;4:227–249.
- 845 54. Webb AG. Radiofrequency microcoils for magnetic resonance imaging and
846 spectroscopy. *J Magn Reson* 2013;229:55–66.
- 847 55. Badilita V, Meier RCh, Spengler N, et al. Microscale nuclear magnetic resonance : a tool
848 for soft matter research. *Soft Matter* 2012;8:10583–10597
- 849 56. Korvink JG, MacKinnon N, Badilita V, Jouda M. "Small is beautiful" in NMR. *J Magn*
850 *Reson* 2019;306:112–177.
- 851 57. Webb AG. Nuclear magnetic resonance coupled microseparations. *Magn Reson Chem*
852 2005;43:688–696.
- 853 58. Lee, H, Yoon T–J, Figueiredo J–L, Swirski FK, Weissleder R. Rapid detection and
854 profiling of cancer cells in fine-needle aspirates, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
855 2009;106:12459–12464.
- 856 59. Jeong S, Eskandari R, Park SM, et al. Real-time quantitative analysis of metabolic flux in
857 live cells using a hyperpolarized micromagnetic resonance spectrometer. *Sci Adv*
858 2017;3:e1700341.
- 859 60. Schlotterbeck G, Ross A, Hochstrasser R, et al. High-resolution capillary tube NMR. A
860 miniaturized 5- μL high-sensitivity TXI probe for mass-limited samples, off-line LC
861 NMR, and HT NMR. *Anal Chem* 2002;74:4464–4471.
- 862 61. Edmond DT, Wormald MR. Theory of resonance in magnetically inhomogeneous
863 specimens and some useful calculations. *J Magn Reson* 1988;77:223–232.
- 864 62. Yablonsky DA, Haacke EM. Theory of NMR signal behavior in magnetically
865 inhomogeneous tissues: the static dephasing regime. *Magn Reson Med* 1994;32:749–763.
- 866 63. Webb AG, Grant SC. Signal-to-noise and magnetic susceptibility trade-offs in solenoidal
867 microcoils for NMR. *J Magn Reson B* 1996;113:83–87.
- 868 64. Soffe N, Boyd J, Leonard M. The construction of a high-resolution 750 MHz probehead.
869 *J Magn Reson A* 1995;116:117–121.
- 870 65. Zelaya FO, Crozier S, Dodd S, McKenna R, Doddrell DM. Measurement and
871 compensation of field inhomogeneities caused by differences in magnetic-
872 susceptibility. *J Magn Reson A* 1995;115:131–136.

- 873 66. Takeda K, Takasaki T, Takegoshi K. Susceptibility cancellation of a microcoil wound
874 with a paramagnetic-liquid-filled copper capillary. *J Magn Reson* 2015;258:1–5.
- 875 67. De Zanche N, Barmet C, Nordmeyer-Massner JA, Pruessmann KP. NMR probes for
876 measuring magnetic fields and field dynamics in MR systems. *Magn Reson Med*
877 2008;60:176–186.
- 878 68. Kc R, Gowda YN, Djukovic D, et al. Susceptibility-matched plugs for microcoil NMR
879 probes. *J Magn Reson* 2010;205:63–68.
- 880 69. Garroway AN. Magic-angle sample spinning of liquids. *J Magn Reson* 1982;49:168-171.
- 881 70. Barbara TM. Cylindrical demagnetization fields and microprobe design in high-
882 resolution NMR. *J Magn Reson A* 1994;109:265–269.
- 883 71. Doty FD, Entzminger G, Yang AY. Magnetism in high-resolution NMR probe design. II:
884 HR-MAS. *Concepts Magn Reson* 1998;10:239–260.
- 885 72. Lippens G, Bourdonneau M, Dhalluin C, et al. Study of compounds attached to solid
886 supports using high resolution magic angle spinning NMR. *Current Org Chem*
887 1999;3:147–169.
- 888 73. Feng J, Hu J, Burton SD, Hoyt DW. High resolution magic angle spinning ¹H NMR
889 metabolic profiling of nanoliter biological tissues at high magnetic field. *Chinese J Magn*
890 *Reson* 2013;30:1–11.
- 891 74. Wong A, Jiménez B, Li X, Holmes E, et al. Evaluation of high resolution magic-angle
892 coil spinning NMR spectroscopy for metabolic profiling of nanoliter tissue biopsies.
893 *Anal Chem* 2012;84:3843–3848.
- 894 75. Badilita V, Fassbender B, Kratt K, et al. Microfabricated inserts for magic angle coil
895 spinning (MACS) wireless NMR spectroscopy. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:1–8.
- 896 76. Wong A, Li X, Sakellariou D. Refined magic-angle coil spinning resonator for nanoliter
897 NMR spectroscopy: enhanced spectral resolution. *Anal Chem* 2013;85:2021–2026.
- 898 77. Wong A, Li X, Molin L, et al. μ HR-MAS spinning NMR spectroscopy for metabolic
899 phenotyping of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Anal Chem* 2014;86:6064–6070.
- 900 78. Nishiyama Y, Endo Y, Nemoto T, et al. High-resolution NMR-based metabolic detection
901 of microgram biopsies using a 1-mm HR μ MAS probe. *Analyst* 2015;140:8097–8100.
- 902 79. Lucas-Torres C, Huber G, Ichikawa A, et al. HR- μ MAS NMR-based metabolomics:
903 localized metabolic profiling of a garlic clove with μ g tissues. *Anal Chem*
904 2018;90:13736–13743.
- 905 80. Adhikari SS, Zhao L, Dickmeis T, et al. Inductively coupled magic angle spinning
906 microresonators benchmarked for high-resolution single embryo metabolomic profiling.
907 *Analyst* 2019;144:7192–7199.
- 908 81. Lucas-Torres C, Roumes H, Bouchaud V, Bouzier-Sore A-K, Wong A. Metabolic NMR
909 mapping with microgram tissue biopsy. *NMR Biomed* 2012;34:e4477.

- 910 82. Aguiar PM, Jacquinet J-F, Sakellariou D. Experimental and numerical examination of
911 eddy (Foucault) currents in rotating micro-coils: generation of heat and its impact on
912 sample temperatures. *J Magn Reson* 2009;200:6–14.
- 913 83. Piotto M, Elbayed K, Wieruszeshi J-M, Lippens G. Practical aspects of shimming a high
914 resolution magic angle spinning probe. *J Magn Reson* 2005;173:84–89.
- 915 84. Wishart DS, Bigam CG, Yao J, et al. ^1H , ^{13}C and ^{15}N chemical shift referencing in
916 biomolecular NMR. *J Biomol NMR* 1995;6:135–140.
- 917 85. Pontoizeau C, Mouchiroud L, Molin L, et al. Metabolomics analysis uncovers that
918 dietary restriction buffers metabolic changes associated with aging in *Caenorhabditis*
919 *elegans*. *J Proteome Res* 2014;13:2910–2919.
- 920 86. Wong A, Boutin C, Aguiar PM. ^1H high resolution magic-angle coil spinning (HR-
921 MACS) μNMR metabolic profiling of whole *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells: A
922 demonstrative study. *Front Chem* 2014;2:1–7.
- 923 87. Lehmann-Horn JA, Jacquinet J-F, Ginefri JC et al. Monolithic MACS micro resonators.
924 *J Magn Reson* 2016;271:46–51.
- 925 88. Nishiyama M, Lucas-Torres C, Piao R, et al. Supplemental shimming for HR- μMAS
926 NMR spectroscopy. *Appl Magn Reson* 2019;50:1305–1313.
- 927 89. Sampol D, Ostrofet E, Jobin M-L, et al. Glucose and lactate metabolism in the awake
928 and stimulated rat: a ^{13}C -NMR study. *Front Neuroenergetics* 2013;5:5.
- 929 90. Beckonert O, Coen M, Keun HC, et al. High-resolution magic-angle-spinning NMR
930 spectroscopy for metabolic profiling of intact tissues. *Nat Protoc* 2010;5:1019–1032.
- 931 91. Beckonert O, Keun HC, Ebbels TMD, et al. Metabolic profiling, metabolomic and
932 metabonomic procedures for NMR spectroscopy of urine, plasma, serum and tissue
933 extracts. *Nat Protoc* 2007;2:2692–2703.
- 934 92. Kim HK, Choi YH, Verpoorte R. NMR-based metabolomic analysis of plants. *Nat*
935 *Protoc* 2010;5:536–549.
- 936 93. Lucas-Torres C, Bernard T, Gaspard H, et al. General guidelines for sample preparation
937 strategies in HR- μMAS NMR-based metabolomics of microscopic specimens.
938 *Metabolites* 2020;10:54.
- 939 94. Duong NT, Yamato M, Nakano M, et al. Capillary-inserted rotor design for HR μMAS
940 NMR-based metabolomics on mass-limited neurospheres. *Molecules* 2017;22:1289.
- 941 95. Allen NJ, Eroglu C. Cell biology of astrocyte-synapse interactions. *Neuron*
942 2017;96:697–708.
- 943 96. Hamelin S, Stupar V, Mazière L, et al. In vivo γ -aminobutyric acid increase as a
944 biomarker of the epileptogenic zone: an unbiased metabolomics approach. *Epilepsia*
945 2021;62:163–175.
- 946 97. Kinross JM, Holmes E, Darzi AW, Nicholson, JK. Metabolic phenotyping for
947 monitoring surgical patients. *Lancet* 2011;377:1817–1819.

948 98. Feenstra AD, Alexander LE, Song Z, et al. Spatial mapping and profiling of metabolite
949 distributions during germination. *Plant Physiol* 2017;174:2532–2548.

950
951
952
953

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

978
979

980
981
982
983
984
985

Figure 4

Figure 5

997
998

999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005

Figure 6

1006
1007
1008

1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014

Figure 7

Figure 8