

Leaching of UNGG graphite Study of ^{36}Cl behaviour

J. Comte, L. Petit, S. Schumacher

▶ **To cite this version:**

J. Comte, L. Petit, S. Schumacher. Leaching of UNGG graphite Study of ^{36}Cl behaviour. MRS2015 - 39th symposium on the Scientific Basis for Nuclear Waste Management, E-MRS (EU); MRS (USA); ICSM - Institut de Chimie Séparative de Marcoule, Nov 2015, Montpellier, France. cea-02509734

HAL Id: cea-02509734

https://cea.hal.science/cea-02509734

Submitted on 17 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTRY

LEACHING OF UNGG GRAPHITE :

STUDY OF ^{36}CL BEHAVIOUR

J. COMTE [1],
L. PETIT [2],
S. SCHUMACHER, L.PETIT [3]

SCIENTIFIC BASIS FOR NUCLEAR WASTE MANAGEMENT XXXIX

2ND TO 6TH NOVEMBER 2015

1- Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux énergies alternatives (C.E.A.) – CEA/DEN/CAD/DEC/SA3C/LARC Laboratoire d'Analyses Radiochimiques et Chimiques (L.A.R.C.) CEA Cadarache bat 152 F-13108 Saint Paul lez Durance, France. Email : jerome.comte@cea.fr

2- Electricité De France (EDF) – R&D, Matériaux et Mécanique des Composants, EDF Lab Les Renardières, 77818 Moret Sur Loing cedex, France Email : laurent-der.petit@edf.fr

3- Agence Nationale pour la gestion des Déchets RAdioactifs (ANDRA) - Direction de la Recherche et Développement, Service Colis et Matériaux, ANDRA 1-7, rue Jean-Monnet - 92298 Châtenay-Malabry cedex Email stephan.schumacher@andra.fr

SOMMAIRE

Nuclear graphite waste	P.03
Origin of chlorine 36	P.04
Chlorine 36 leaching data	P.05
Interpretation	P.06
Conclusion	P.08

NUCLEAR GRAPHITE WASTE

Nuclear graphite waste in France

- 9 UNGG reactors (graphite moderated, fueled with natural uranium, CO_2 cooled)
- 23000 t of irradiated graphite => 100 000 m^3 of conditioned waste in cementitious packages
- Nuclear graphite are classified as "Long Life Waste – Low Level" (^{14}C, ^{36}Cl ..)
- 81% of the graphite still in reactor waiting for dismantling

The disposal behavior of the radionuclides is one of the main issues when considering graphite waste long-term management scenarios

Disposal studies

A "leaching test" program in accordance with EDF, ANDRA and CEA was initiated in 2008

- Objective : **characterize ^{36}Cl source term** (leaching rate) on irradiated graphite.

^{36}Cl is the main radionuclide which can contribute to the long term radiological impact of graphite in disposal due to his long lifetime, high mobility and solubility

- Methodologies : CEA develop leaching procedure optimized for ^{36}Cl measurement (similar to AIEA standards) with those followed specifications:


1. Sampling times are defined to focus on diffusion mechanism and water uptake
2. Analytical methodology was optimized to measure the ^{36}Cl

IRRADIATED GRAPHITE ORIGIN OF CHLORINE 36

Origin of natural chlorine in nuclear graphite

- As an impurity in the basic materials used for the manufacture (Coke, Coal tar pitch, cleaning agents(NaF, MgF_2..),…)
- Brought by air pollution (end of the manufacture, during storage)
- Present at low level in the coolant gas (Air, CO_2)

Cl in nuclear graphite are around 5 to 40 ppm




Origin of ^{36}Cl (long live radionuclide 3.10^5y, β^-)


- Production
  - Direct activation of ^{35}Cl (77,5%) 44 barns
  - Direct activation of ^{39}K (93,25%) 0,004 barns
  - Indirect activation of ^{34}S (4,2%) 0,34 barns


=>$^{35}Cl(n,g)^{36}Cl$ is the predominant reaction for the formation of ^{36}Cl in irradiated graphite but with nuclear recoil ^{36}Cl location should be different from ^{35}Cl initial position (atoms are 'displaced', some maybe re-form covalent bonds =>different speciation of ^{36}Cl and ^{35}Cl)
=> ^{36}Cl activity in French i-graphite is very low but with a huge variability (1-10^3 Bq/g) and a specific analytical procedure must be used (with low detection limit)

CHLORINE 36 LEACHING DATA

- **Overall leaching tests (^{36}Cl)**
  - High variability of the leaching rate (from few % to 90%)
  - ^{36}Cl is release in liquid phase in two stages
    1. With a very quick release kinetics (called "labile fraction")
    2. Slow release kinetics (called "non labile fraction")
  - No release in the contact gas phase (Air, N_2, Ar)
- **Others common points**
  - Water uptake kinetics are fast in irradiated graphite samples (70 % of the open porosity is filled within a few days)







Chlorine 36 cumulative fractional release as a function of time for irradiated graphite samples. Tests performed with pure water or lime water under air or inert atmosphere


INTERPRETATION

Chlorine 36 labile fraction

- The release kinetics of labile ^{36}Cl can be described by a diffusion model of dissolved Chlorine (Cl^-) through the graphite porosity
- Chlorine 36 release is not limited by water up-take
- Low impact of leaching parameters (water chemistry, pH, temperature)


Comparison of ^{36}Cl release with a diffusion model

The overall release is normalized to 100% of ^{36}Cl labile fraction



Correlation between 36Cl labile fraction leach rate and water uptake in graphite open porosity

The overall release is normalized to 100% of ^{36}Cl labile fraction



Diffusion calculations are performed by adjusting the value of the diffusion coefficients to reproduce the experimental data of the leaching tests according to Equation

$$F = 2.\frac{S}{V}.\sqrt{\frac{D_a.t}{\pi}}$$


F: Leached fraction
S: Geometric area subject to leaching
V: Geometric volume of sample
t: Time
Da: Apparent diffusion coefficient

Coke	Mean Da ($m^2.s^{-1}$)	Mean De ($m^2.s^{-1}$)
« Spécial »	3.10^{-11}	6.10^{-12}
« Lockport »	9.10^{-12}	2.10^{-13}
« Lima »	3.10^{-12}	$6,5.10^{-13}$

INTERPRETATION


- **High variability of release rates**
  - Not correlated to the initial activity of graphite
  - Correlation between the sample temperature and position during reactor operation and the quantity of labile ^{36}Cl (confirmed on 3 reactors)


Low leaching rate => Irradiated at high temperature
High leaching rate => Irradiated at low temperature



Chlorine 36 leaching rates as a function of samples initial activity in Bq.g^{-1} (logarithmic scale)

Chlorine 36 cumulative fractional release as a function of core height and temperature





CONCLUSION

- Leaching tests demonstrate :
  - Two forms / localization of ^{36}Cl in irradiated graphite
    - One labile form, controlled by a diffusion process through graphite porosity
    - A second stage showing slow release kinetics (non-labile fraction)
  - ^{36}Cl leaching rate depends on i-graphite operating temperature
    - Low leaching rate => Irradiated at high temperature
    - High leaching rate => Irradiated at low temperature

- Perspectives of work
  - Study the correlation of the variability of leaching rate with graphite structural evolution
  - Influence of the coke/reactor /other graphite waste need to be clarified
  - Study the non labile fraction (speciation / localization)

- Perspectives for disposal
  - To get a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms which govern chlorine 36 release in order to increase confidence in the demonstration of long term safety
  - To assess long term chlorine 36 release rate to improve the source term model and therefore the safety assessment

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives
Centre de Cadarache | 13108 St Palu lez durance Cedex
T. +33 (0)4 42 50 42 25 |

DEN
DEC
SA3C

Etablissement public à caractère industriel et commercial | RCS Paris B 775 685 019