

Determining the porosity and water impregnation in irradiated graphite

1 Names of the authors: J. Comte¹, C. Guy¹, L. Gosmain², S. Parraud³

2 Title:

Affiliation(s) and address(es) of the author(s):

3 ¹ CEA, DEN, DEC, SA3E, LARC Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux
4 Énergies Alternatives (CEA), Direction de l'énergie Nucléaire, Département d'études
5 des combustibles, Service d'Analyses, d'Elaboration, d'Expérimentations et
6 d'Examens des combustibles, Laboratoire d'Analyses Radiochimiques et Chimiques,
7 Centre de Cadarache, Bâtiment 152, F-13108 Saint Paul lez Durance, France

8

9 ² CEA, DEN, DDCC, ISN Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux Énergies
10 Alternatives (CEA), Direction de l'énergie Nucléaire, Direction du Démantèlement
11 pour les Centres Civil, Installations de Service Nucléaire, centre de Saclay, Bâtiment
12 121, F-91191 Gif-sur -Yvette cedex, France

13

14

15 ³ CEA, DEN, DSN, SEEC, LECD Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux
16 Énergies Alternatives (CEA), Direction de l'énergie Nucléaire, Département de
17 Services Nucléaires, Service d'Exploitation, d'Expertise et de Caractérisation,
18 Laboratoire d'Expertise et de Caractérisation Destructive, Centre de Cadarache,
19 Bâtiment 326, F-13108 Saint Paul lez Durance, France

20

21

22

Abstract

23 Impurities in nuclear graphite can become neutron-activated during operation, generating
24 radionuclides and leading to modifications in the microstructure of the graphite due to fast
25 neutrons. The long-term disposal of nuclear graphite waste requires collecting data on the
26 behaviour of long-lived radionuclides in disposal conditions (water-saturated). The release of
27 radionuclides in solution depends on a number of physicochemical processes such as water
28 ingress into the graphite structure (radionuclides sites), as well as the solubilisation and
29 transport of radionuclides in solution through the graphite pores. Analysis of the impregnation
30 (impregnation speed, impregnation rate) of water in the porous graphite environment
31 represents one of the main parameters that will greatly influence the physicochemical
32 processes controlling the release of radionuclides in solution. For this reason, the
33 impregnation of irradiated samples from the G2 and St Laurent A2 (SLA2) gas-cooled
34 graphite-moderated reactors was studied, as was the distribution of the porosity. Results show
35 that the geometric density of the samples decreases after irradiation, which is expressed as an
36 increase in the total porosity or more precisely in the open porosity. This means that irradiated
37 graphite is mainly a macroporous solid. Nuclear graphite becomes a more hydrophobic
38 material with its open porosity filled by water in just a few days. This is due to the presence of
39 functional groups on the pore surface.

40 **Keywords**

41 Graphite, nuclear waste, water uptake, radionuclides, porosity, UNGG

42

43

Introduction

44

45 The decommissioning of graphite-moderated nuclear reactors will generate about 230,000
46 tonnes of graphite waste worldwide [1]. In France, the *Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et*
47 *aux Énergies Alternatives* (CEA) and *Electricité de France* (EDF) operated 9 gas-cooled
48 graphite-moderated reactors (UNGG) which have all been shut down for at least 20 years
49 now. They were graphite-moderated and fuelled with natural metallic uranium. The
50 decommissioning of these reactors will generate about 23,000 tonnes of irradiated-graphite (i-
51 graphite), representing around 81,000 m³ of conditioned waste in cementitious packages [2].
52 Some of the impurities contained in the nuclear graphite became neutron-activated during
53 operation, giving rise to numerous radionuclides such as ³⁶Cl, ¹⁴C, ³H, etc.

54 According to the French 2006 Act on the sustainable management of radioactive materials
55 and waste passed by the French parliament [3], a dedicated shallow disposal concept was
56 chosen as the reference solution for the long-term management of French graphite waste. In
57 this concept of disposal site, the waste will be at term in contact with underground water.
58 Thus, it is very important to know and understand underwater graphite behavior in terms of
59 water uptake and hence of radionuclide release. In order to design the best long-term
60 management option for irradiated -graphite, the producers (the CEA and EDF) carried out
61 experimental studies in collaboration with the French national waste management agency
62 (Andra) to determine the water uptake kinetic, the rate of water saturation and the
63 radionuclide source term of irradiated graphite.

64

65 Natural graphite is a crystalline allotrope of carbon, made up exclusively of sp² hybridised
66 carbons. The graphite crystal belongs to the hexagonal system and consists in a compact
67 stacking (AB stacks) of polycyclic aromatic layers (graphene layers). sp² graphitic materials
68 are most of the time considered as an hydrophobic materiel [4].

69 Nuclear graphite used in UNGG or other graphite-moderated reactors (GCR) is a synthetic
70 material very far from the crystalline structure of the natural graphite. For UNGG reactors, it
71 was manufactured from petroleum coke mixed with coal-based binder pitch heated and
72 extruded into bricks that were heat-treated up to about 2800/3000 °C for graphitization.
73 During this step, cleaning agents such as NaF or MgF₂ were used to obtain high-purity
74 nuclear graphite grade [5-6-7]. As a result of the mixing of several carbon compounds, this
75 material is structurally heterogeneous at a local scale (more or less anisotropic and not
76 completely graphitized, with internal porosity...). Local heterogeneities in density may be
77 caused either by blowholes or lack of impregnation of the open porosity during the
78 manufacture of the graphite (local drop in density), or by the opposite by the presence of areas
79 very poor in porosity, e.g. due to dense agglomerations of coke grains. Nuclear graphite is
80 more a “carbon-carbon composites” relatively highly graphitised (blend of petroleum coke
81 grains and less highly graphitisable coal-tar pitch) than a monocrystalline natural graphite.
82 The graphite blocks made with this material display good mechanical properties and chemical
83 inertness. Some impurities remain at trace level in the nuclear graphite. Nuclear graphite
84 contains small amounts of impurities like oxygen, hydrogen, metals and halogens, among
85 them chlorine.

86

87 Irradiation of nuclear graphite but also temperature or radiochemical corrosion during reactor
88 operating, have a major impact on the microstructure of the material at micrometric and
89 nanometric scales [7-8 -9 -10]


90 • The neutron flux induces displacement cascades of carbon atoms in the graphite
91 matrix leading to structural defects in the material. Indeed, if the neutrons energy is
92 sufficient ($E > 0,1$ MeV), the carbon atoms are ejected out of their initial position and
93 can be for instance displaced between graphite planes, leading to creation of defects
94 in the structure (interstitials, gaps). In the case of irradiation at low temperature, the
95 consequences of the occurrence of these defects are the accumulation of stored energy
96 (call Wigner energy).

97 • Irradiation temperature is also known to affect the graphite structure during irradiation.
98 A temperature between 200°C up to 500°C has been found to “heal” some defects,
99 especially the small ones [11 12]. Defects can migrate and interstitial atoms could
100 locally form new carbon layers.

101 • CO₂ radiolysis, mainly initiated through gamma irradiation, induces radiolytic
102 corrosion processes at the gas/graphite interfaces with as consequence a growth of the
103 pore size [6 – 7 - 13] and a decrease of the density.



106 Which taken together is equivalent to the Boudouard reaction:



108

109 • To avoid or limit the radiolytic corrosion, corrosion inhibitors, such as methane, have
110 been introduced into the coolant during operations, but their radiolytic decomposition
111 leads to the build-up of undesired carbonaceous deposits. Recent analysis of
112 irradiated graphite from two Magnox reactor cores highlighted the presence of a
113 carbonaceous deposit on the exposed surfaces of the graphite bricks [14].

114

115 On a chemical point of view the modification of the C bonding induced by irradiation,
116 radiolytic corrosion or by corrosion inhibitors on the surface lead to the creation of functional
117 group [15 - 16 - 17 - 18]. More precisely, series of carbon–oxygen functional groups have
118 been identified on the surface of irradiated graphite [16 - 18 - 19].

119

120 As a consequence the structure (porosity, microstructure and nanostructure) and the surface
121 properties (presence of functional group) of the irradiated graphite are modified and the
122 kinetic of water impregnation must be determined experimentally. That requires also an
123 accurate knowledge of the irradiated graphite property. To assure a phenomena interpretation
124 of water uptake the resulting porosity of the sample must be also determined. It must therefore
125 be emphasized that the graphites used in the context of the UNGG reactor type are materials

126 that must be more regarded as "carbon composite" (coke + binder) than as homogeneous
 127 materials.

128 The i-graphite of two different reactors – G2 and SLA2 – were investigated for this study.
 129 These reactors were chosen due to the different characteristics of their graphite stack and their
 130 operating conditions, particularly the type of coke used for the graphite manufacturing, the
 131 neutron and thermal power levels, the CO₂ pressure and the thermal history of the graphite
 132 during reactor operation). Irradiated and unirradiated samples from both reactors were
 133 available for comparative studies.

134

135 **Material and methods**

136

137 **Graphite samples**

138

139 *Graphite from the French UNGG G2 reactor*

140 G2 was the first gas-cooled graphite-moderated reactor designed to produce both electricity
 141 and plutonium. It was operated by the CEA from 1958 until 1980 at Marcoule in the south of
 142 France [20-21-22]. The graphite used in the G2 reactor was manufactured by Pechiney SA in
 143 France. Purified and impregnated, this special coke graphite was used to build the active core
 144 of G2. This graphite can be considered as similar to “grade A” graphite previously used in the
 145 UK Magnox reactors. During operation, the graphite temperature ranged from 140°C to
 146 380°C.

147

148 Within the framework of this study, the irradiated samples chosen were cored in the middle of
 149 the pile, as shown in Figure 1. Three of them were taken from the moderating part of the core
 150 (numbers 27, 32 & 42 in Figure 1). Unirradiated samples were also studied.

151 The main characteristics of the irradiated samples from G2 are shown in **Table 1**.

152

153

TABLE 1: IRRADIATED SAMPLES FROM THE G2 MODERATOR

Sample No.	Sampling height in the reactor (m)	Thermal history (°C)	Fast fluence E>0.1 MeV (n.cm ⁻²)	Initial mass (g)	Dimensions (mm)
G2-27	13.60-13.80	327	4.7.10 ²¹	942	H=160 ø=63
G2-32	14.60-14.80	320	4.7.10 ²¹	863	H=150 ø=63
G2-42	16.60-16.80	309	4.0.10 ²¹	845	H=150 ø=63

154




FIGURE 1: G2 REACTOR WITH VERTICAL CORING AND LOCATION OF CORE SAMPLES

155

156

157

- Graphite from the French UNGG St Laurent (SLA2) reactor

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

The Saint-Laurent A2 (SLA2) reactor was commissioned in August 1971 and shut down in 1992. Its thermal power was 1700 MW. The gross electrical power was 530 MWe [23]. The graphite stack of the SLA2 reactor was cylindrical in shape with a vertical axis, a diameter of 15.73 metres and a height of 10.2 metres. The total mass of graphite was 2,440 tonnes. The graphite in the SLA2 stack (moderator or reflector) was made from LIMA coke, which underwent one impregnation and was purified using MgF_2 . All the graphite was manufactured by the Pechiney/SERS between May 1966 and November 1967. The operating temperature ranged between 240°C (top) and 470°C (bottom).

167

168

169

170

171


This study used twelve samples from two different fuel channels (F10M16-C20 and F7M15-C19) located at a radius of 5 m and 2.95 m respectively from the reactor centre with variable sampling heights (see **Table 2** and **Figure 2**). Three samples per channel were chosen for structural characterisations and three for water impregnation tests.

172

TABLE 2: IRRADIATED SAMPLES FROM THE SLA2 MODERATOR

Sample No.	Channel	Sampling height in the reactor (mm)	Thermal history (°C)	Fast fluence E>0.1 MeV (n.cm ⁻²)	Initial mass (g)	Approximate dimensions (mm)
SLA2-43	F10M16 – C20	1680	430	~ 2.0.10 ²¹	23	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-44	F10M16 – C20	2070	435	~ 2.3.10 ²¹	23	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-53	F10M16 – C20	6500	330	~ 3.0.10 ²¹	20	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-55	F10M16 – C20	7280	310	~ 2.8.10 ²¹	19	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-58	F10M16 – C20	8660	270	~ 1.9.10 ²¹	23	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-60	F10M16 – C20	9260	250	~ 1.4.10 ²¹	24	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-122	F7M15 – C19	1080	440	~ 1.5.10 ²¹	25	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-124	F7M15 – C19	2070	455	~ 2.3.10 ²¹	25	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-129	F7M15 – C19	4480	400	~ 3.3.10 ²¹	24	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-135	F7M15 – C19	7280	310	~ 2.8.10 ²¹	22	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-138	F7M15 – C19	8660	270	~ 1.9.10 ²¹	24	H=50 ø=19
SLA2-139	F7M15 – C19	9260	250	~ 1.4.10 ²¹	24	H=50 ø=19

173



174

175

176

FIGURE 2: SECTION THROUGH THE GRAPHITE STACK OF THE SAINT-LAURENT A2 REACTOR

177 The main characteristics of the G2/SLA2 reactor and graphite are given in **Table 3** and Table
 178 4
 179

180 **TABLE 3: OPERATING CONDITIONS OF THE G2 AND SLA2 REACTORS**

Reactor	G2	SLA2
First criticality	July 1958	June 1971
Shutdown	February 1980	May 1992
Thermal power (MW)	260	1700
CO ₂ pressure (MPa)	1.5	28.5
Mass of graphite stack (tonnes)	1,500	2,200
Graphite temperature during operation	140-380°C	240-470°C

181

182 **TABLE 4: CHARACTERISTICS OF THE G2 AND SLA2 REACTOR GRAPHITE (BEFORE**
 183 **IRRADIATION)**

Reactor	G2 Moderator	SLA2
Coke	Special A grade coke NaF cleaned	LIMA coke MgF ₂ cleaned
Graphite density	~1.71	~1.68
Capture section (mbarn)	3.95	3.75
Thermal expansion coefficient (25-525°C) $\alpha(//)$	$1.25 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$
Anisotropy ratio $\alpha(\perp)/\alpha(//)$	2.3	1.6
Compression (//)	27 MPa	42 MPa
Ash content (ppm)	106 to 125	98

184

185

186

187

Origin and preparation of samples

188

189

Graphite from the French UNGG G2 reactor

190

191 Each sample was considered as homogeneous from a neutronic and thermal point of view.

192 Successive slices of about 1 to 3 cm were cut using a wire saw in a glove box (**Figure 3**).

193 Some slices were re-cut to obtain smaller samples for porosity measurements. The dimensions

194 of unirradiated and irradiated samples used for the water uptake tests are given in Table 5.

195 Other samples were used for porosity measurements.

196

TABLE 5: GEOMETRICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLES FROM G2 FOR WATER

197

UPTAKE TESTS

198


Graphite	Reference			Mass	Average dimensions	Surface	Volume
	sample	Form	Water uptake test	(g)	(cm)	cm ²	cm ³
Unirradiated graphite	5-1	cube	A	7.2085	1.5*1.5*2.0	16.3±0.4	4.41±0.13
	5-2	cube	A	3.6199	1.5*1.4*1	10.5±0.2	2.25±0.06
	5-3	cube	B	10.477	1.5*1.4*2.9	21.5±0.8	6.33±0.21
	5-8	cube	B	10.9432	1.5*1.5*2.9	22.0±0.3	6.59±0.13
	5-9	cube	B	11.9068	3*2.9*0.85	27.2±0.5	7.30±0.22
	5-10	cube	A	15.526	3.01*1.07*2.88	29.8±0.4	9.22±0.22
	5-11	cube	A	10.7028	1.5*1.4*2.9	21.5±0.8	6.39±0.25
Irradiated graphite	G2-27	Cube	A	12.1629	1.53*1.53*3.02	23.2±0.4	7.07±0.10
		Cylinder	B	80.0304	6.32*1.53	93.1±1.3	48.0±0.7
	G2-32	Cube	A	10.5228	1.47*1.46*3.12	22.5±0.6	6.66±0.14
		Cylinder	B	72.0947	6.32*1.40	90.5±1.4	43.9±0.7
	G2-42	Cube	A	13.2756	1.61*1.52*3.12	24.4±0.4	7.64±0.11
		Cylinder	B	82.044	6.32*1.50	92.5±1.3	47.1±0.7

199

200

201

202



203

204

FIGURE 3: CUTS MADE ON THE CORE OF THE G2 GRAPHITE MODERATOR

- Graphite from the French UNGG SLA2 reactor

Initially irradiated samples were in the form of cylindrical cores (approximate dimensions: diameter 19 mm / height 50 mm) with a bevelled end corresponding to the outer surface of the brick (Figure 4). In order to perform density and water uptake measurements, it was necessary to re-machine the samples. For density measurements, samples were cut into semi-circles of about 10 mm thick. For the six samples chosen for the impregnation tests, these semi-circles were used to measure porosity (helium pycnometry and mercury porosimetry). The rest of the core, i.e. about 40 mm long, was kept for continuous water impregnation tests. Figure 4 shows how the samples were divided up for the different characterisations.




FIGURE 4: SLA2 GRAPHITE SAMPLES AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION FOR THE DIFFERENT ANALYSES

The dimensions of the entire sample used for water impregnation tests after cutting are indicated in Table 6.

TABLE 6: GEOMETRICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLES FROM SLA2

Graphite	Reference			Mass (g)	Average dimensions Diam.*L. (mm*mm)	Surface cm ²	Volume cm ³
	sample	form	Water uptake test				
Unirradiated graphite	Z1	cylinder	A	4.0085	12*21	10.1±0.3	2.35±0.03
	Z2	cylinder	A	7.7454	12*41	17.7±0.4	4.63±0.02
	XY1	cylinder	A	7.5475	12*39	17.1±0.4	4.44±0.02
Irradiated graphite	SLA2-44	cylinder	A	21.9988	19*48	34±1	13.6±0.4
	SLA2-55	cylinder	A	17.9422	19*39	29±1	11.1±0.3
	SLA2-58	cylinder	A	22.1746	19*47	33.5±0.9	13.2±0.3
	SLA2-124	cylinder	A	22.0483	19*50	35.7±1.1	14.2±0.4
	SLA2-135	cylinder	A	23.1589	19*51	36.1±1.9	14.5±0.8
	SLA2-138	cylinder	A	22.0355	19*50	35.4±2.7	14.1±1.1

227 **Materials and methods**

228

229 *Water uptake methodology*

230

231 The study involved monitoring the change in the mass of samples immersed in water over
232 time. Two techniques were used: continuous measurements for immersed samples suspended
233 from a precision electronic balance (Test A), and intermittent measurements of the mass
234 variations in immersed samples placed in experimental vessels (Test B).

235 In the first case, the sample was hung from a Sartorius balance beam and fully immersed in a
236 container filled with ultrapure water. This configuration made it possible to continuously
237 measure any changes in the apparent mass of the samples in water. As the water impregnates
238 the sample, the buoyant force decreases and the apparent mass increases, which therefore
239 makes it possible to determine the water mass having impregnated the sample. Measurements
240 were taken over periods of about 10 to 40 days depending on the sample.

241 In order to determine the long-term impregnation kinetics, the samples were placed in
242 reaction vessels filled with ultrapure water while making sure the S/V remained the same. The
243 sample masses were measured regularly as follows: the sample was removed from the
244 reaction vessel, gently wiped with paper towelling to remove the surface layer of water,
245 weighed, and then put back in the reaction vessel.

246

247 *Density measurements*

248 Geometric densities were obtained by measuring the dimensions of the samples with a sliding
249 calliper (uncertainty of 0.1 mm) by recording several readings per level, and weighing
250 samples on a precision balance. The propagation of uncertainties, notably on average values,
251 was calculated in accordance with standard engineering techniques.

252

253 *Helium pycnometry*

254

255 The non-destructive technique known as pycnometry by helium displacement is used to
256 measure the internal volume of a porous material and to deduce its density from its mass. The
257 measurement principle relies on the properties of helium, which behaves like an ideal gas. It
258 is first pressurised within a reference chamber of a known volume before opening the
259 pressurised chamber (sample) of a known volume. Pressure changes are associated with the
260 porosity of the sample. Gas fills up the open porosities of the sample, while only the dense
261 fraction and the sealed porosities of the sample remain inaccessible. The cells being used
262 allow measurements on samples ranging from 1 to 10 g.

263

264

265 *Mercury porosimetry*

266

267 The destructive technique known as porosimetry by mercury intrusion is designed to
268 determine the distribution of the pore sizes within a porous material (solid or powder). The
269 measurement principle relies on the properties of a non-wetting liquid at ambient temperature,
270 such as mercury. Mercury needs to be forced to penetrate the pores of a porous material. Any
271 intrusion pressure, P , which is necessary for mercury to penetrate a pore with a diameter, d , is
272 provided by the Laplace relation, as follows:

$$273 \quad P = \frac{4\gamma \cos \theta}{d} \quad (1)$$

274

275 where P is the intrusion pressure of mercury (Pa)276 γ is the surface tension of mercury (Pa/m)277 θ is the wetting angle of mercury on pore surface (°)278 d is the pore diameter (m)

279 The pressure applied to the mercury is increased by increments and the volumes of mercury
280 penetrating the porous solid are recorded at each increment. The cumulative pore volume is
281 then obtained as a function of the intrusion pressure or the diameter of the pores. The
282 derivative gives the pore distribution of the solid. Knowing the density of the solid, the total
283 quantity of mercury introduced into the solid can be used to determine the total porosity. This
284 method of study has some drawbacks. In particular, the high pressure (about 400 MPa)
285 applied to the mercury for it to penetrate the finest pores (a few nanometres in diameter) may
286 alter the material studied. For this reason, the main advantage of this technique is its ability to
287 determine the pore size distribution. The use of Laplace's law amounts to considering all the
288 pores as cylinders, which is far removed from reality. Moreover, it must be assumed that the
289 only sensitive factor is the diameter of the pore entrances. But significant hysteresis
290 phenomena may conceal the actual pore structure. However, this technique is very useful for
291 comparing different materials and provides a conventional representation of the pore
292 structure.

293 During this study, a Micromeritics porosimeter (Model 9420 in a glove box,) was used to
294 record measurements in a radioactive environment on inactive or irradiated samples.

295

296

Results and discussion

Part 1 - Density and porosity

Density

The results are shown in Table 7 for the G2 samples and in the Table 8 for the SLA2 samples. As mentioned earlier, the G2 graphite cores were recut to obtain specific samples for water impregnation tests and specific samples were used for porosity measurements. The geometric density readings from prismatic and cylindrical lamellae proved to be consistent for all the irradiated samples (Table 7).

In case of the SLA samples, only one semi-circular disc was used for helium and mercury porosity measurements. Due to the lack of irradiated samples and the relatively small dimensions, it was not possible to perform reproducibility studies for the density and porosity measurements.

TABLE 7: DENSITY AND POROSITY OF THE SAMPLES FROM G2

	Average density (ρ_G)	ρ_s Helium	Total porosity Ref $d=2.266$	Open porosity ($1-\rho_G/\rho_s$)	Sealed porosity
UNIRRADIATED Coke special (average n=8)	1.69 ± 0.06	2.15 ± 0.05	25.9 ± 0.9	21.7 ± 1.2	4.9 ± 1.4
G2-27-1	1.67 ± 0.05	2.17 ± 0.09	26.3 ± 0.6	22.9 ± 1.1	3.4 ± 1.2
G2-27-3	1.62 ± 0.04	2.18 ± 0.05	28.5 ± 0.4	25.7 ± 1.3	2.8 ± 0.8
G2-27-7	1.65 ± 0.05	2.27 ± 0.09	27.2 ± 0.7	27.3 ± 1.3	-0.1 ± 1.4
G2-27-8	1.68 ± 0.05	2.22 ± 0.09	25.9 ± 0.6	24.3 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 1.3
G2-27 MEAN	1.66 ± 0.06	2.21 ± 0.09	27.0 ± 0.6	25.0 ± 1.1	1.9 ± 1.3
G2-32-1	1.67 ± 0.05	2.16 ± 0.09	26.5 ± 0.7	22.91 ± 1.1	3.5 ± 1.3
G2-32-3	1.62 ± 0.05	2.19 ± 0.05	28.5 ± 0.7	26.0 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 0.8
G2-32-6	1.65 ± 0.05	2.18 ± 0.09	27.1 ± 0.7	24.3 ± 1.1	2.8 ± 1.3
G2-32-7	1.67 ± 0.05	2.17 ± 0.09	26.4 ± 0.7	23.0 ± 1.1	3.4 ± 1.3
G2-32 MEAN	1.65 ± 0.05	2.18 ± 0.09	27.1 ± 0.7	24.0 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 1.5
G2-42-1	1.71 ± 0.05	2.11 ± 0.09	24.5 ± 0.7	19.1 ± 0.9	5.4 ± 1.2
G2-42-3	1.71 ± 0.05	2.18 ± 0.09	24.5 ± 0.6	21.6 ± 0.9	3.0 ± 0.6
G2-42-6	1.69 ± 0.05	2.17 ± 0.09	24.3 ± 0.7	20.8 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 1.1
G2-42-7	1.70 ± 0.05	2.14 ± 0.09	24.8 ± 0.7	20.5 ± 1.0	5.8 ± 1.1
G2-42 MEAN	1.70 ± 0.06	2.15 ± 0.09	24.5 ± 0.7	20.5 ± 1.0	4.8 ± 1.1

313
314

315

316

TABLE 8: DENSITY AND POROSITY OF THE SAMPLES FROM SLA2

	AVERAGE DENSITY (ρ_G)	ρ_s Helium	Total porosity Ref $d=2.266$	Open porosity ($1-\rho_G/\rho_s$)	Sealed porosity
UNIRRADIATED (average n=8)	1.69 ± 0.03	2.12 ± 0.05	25.7 ± 0.9	20.7 ± 0.7	5.0 ± 1.0
SLA2-43	1.58 ± 0.04	2.11 ± 0.05	30.2 ± 0.9	25.1 ± 1.1	5.2 ± 1.3
SLA2-44	1.61 ± 0.05	2.04 ± 0.05	29.1 ± 0.9	21.3 ± 1.2	7.8 ± 1.3
SLA2-53	1.61 ± 0.04	2.12 ± 0.05	28.9 ± 0.9	24.0 ± 1.2	4.9 ± 1.2
SLA2-55	1.62 ± 0.04	2.13 ± 0.07	28.6 ± 0.8	24.2 ± 1.0	4.4 ± 1.4
SLA2-58	1.68 ± 0.04	2.05 ± 0.15	26.0 ± 0.7	18.3 ± 1.4	7.7 ± 1.6
SLA2-60	1.73 ± 0.04	2.09 ± 0.05	23.7 ± 0.8	17.2 ± 1.2	6.4 ± 1.6
SLA2-122	1.67 ± 0.04	2.12 ± 0.05	26.3 ± 0.9	21.2 ± 1.2	5.1 ± 1.6
SLA2-124	1.55 ± 0.05	2.05 ± 0.15	31.5 ± 1.1	24.3 ± 1.9	7.2 ± 2.1
SLA2-129	1.59 ± 0.04	2.13 ± 0.05	29.8 ± 0.9	25.4 ± 1.4	4.5 ± 1.2
SLA2-135	1.60 ± 0.09	2.02 ± 0.15	29.2 ± 1.7	20.8 ± 1.7	8.5 ± 1.9
SLA2-138	1.56 ± 0.12	2.15 ± 0.18	31.0 ± 2.4	27.3 ± 2.4	3.7 ± 2.6
SLA2-139	1.63 ± 0.04	2.12 ± 0.05	28.1 ± 0.9	23.1 ± 1.2	4.6 ± 1.7

317

318

319

320

321

It can be seen that the irradiated graphite densities are mostly slightly lower than those of the unirradiated graphite. This decrease in density is associated with an increase in open porosity, and is certainly related to the wear of graphite under irradiation (radiolytic corrosion by the CO₂ coolant).

322

323

324

325

326

327

There are some exceptions: sample No. 42 from G2, whose density can be considered as identical as before irradiation, was the first moderator core sample taken at the limit of the reflector. The porosity values are almost identical to those determined for the inactive brick. It was not as irradiated and was exposed to a lower temperature than the two others. This leads us to believe that radiolytic corrosion may be considered as negligible in this area (at the boundary between the reflector and the moderator).

328

329

330

Two SLA2 samples (SLA2-58 and 60) revealed an equivalent or higher density than those determined for unirradiated graphite, together with a very low open porosity. These samples must have come from a batch manufactured with a higher level of impregnation.

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

In the other case of the G2 or SLA moderator samples, it could be seen that the increase in the total porosity was linked to an increase in the open porosity. More precisely, it appears that the open porosity level generally correlates with the neutron fluence received by the samples, and therefore with the position in the graphite stack. The level of closed porosity remains constant or slightly higher than the reference in the case of SLA2 samples, whereas the sealed porosity decreased in the case of G2. It is more complex to assess the variation in closed porosity according to the position of the samples in the core. In light of these results, the decrease in sample density definitely results from the radiolytic corrosion of the graphite.

339

Pore size distribution

340

The variation in pore volume according to the diameter of the pores and the pore distribution of the samples are shown in Figure 5 for unirradiated samples, in Figure 6 for the G2 sample, and in Figure 7 for SLA2 samples **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**

342

343

It can be seen that the pore size distribution for unirradiated samples differs between the two types of graphite. For G2 graphite (special coke graphite), around 75% of the total porous volume of the sample lies within a pore diameter range between 1 and 30 μm with a maximum distribution oscillating towards 3.5 μm .

344

345

346

347

In the case of the SLA2 samples manufactured with LIMA coke, it appears that unirradiated graphite has two types of distribution: around 35% of the pores in the mesoporous domain (pore diameter between 1 and 300 nm), and 40% of the total porosity in the macroporous zone between 1 to 4 μm with a peak at around 2.2 μm . These results are in accordance with some characterisations performed on other types of nuclear graphite [24].


348

349

350

351

352



353

354


FIGURE 5: COMPARISON OF PORE DISTRIBUTIONS FOR UNIRRADIATED GRAPHITE SAMPLES FROM THE SLA2 REACTOR MODERATOR (LIMA COKE) AND THE G2 REACTOR MODERATOR (SPECIAL COKE)

355

356

357


358



359

360 FIGURE 6: PORE DISTRIBUTIONS FOR IRRADIATED AND UNIRRADIATED GRAPHITE
361 SAMPLES FROM THE G2 REACTOR MODERATOR (SPECIAL COKE)

362



363

364 FIGURE 7: PORE DISTRIBUTIONS FOR IRRADIATED AND UNIRRADIATED GRAPHITE
365 SAMPLES FROM THE SLA2 REACTOR MODERATOR (LIMA COKE)

366

367

368 A general increase in the macroporosity could be seen in the G2 graphite after irradiation
369 (pore diameters ranging between 1 and 30 μm), including a wider pore size distribution
370 mostly for the G2-27 (68% porosity) and G2-32 (72% porosity) samples with a modification
371 in the average pore radius in the distribution. The average radius increased from 3.5 μm on
372 inactive samples to 4.3 μm for sample G2-42, then to 5.4 μm for sample G2-32, before
373 reaching 5.7 μm for sample G2-27.

374 Overall, it appears that the fraction of pores in the mesoporosity domain (pore diameter
375 between 1 and 300 nm) is not affected in the case of SLA2 irradiated samples. The intensity
376 of the peak in porosity at about 1-4 μm decreases. Finally, there is a third porosity zone
377 around 10 μm , but with greater scatter (varying from 5 to 20 μm) according to the samples.

378

379 Irradiated samples from two reactors and with different neutron flux or temperatures were
380 characterised, as were unirradiated samples. Generally speaking, irradiation leads to a
381 relatively minor change in the characteristics of the graphite, the average wear being less than
382 5%, although it reaches close to 8% locally. Overall, a decrease in the density could be
383 observed, associated with an increase in open porosity mainly in the macroporous domain.
384 The results are not significant enough to distinguish any general variations in closed porosity.
385 These changes in the characteristics are associated with the radiolytic corrosion of graphite,
386 corrosion which is all the greater when the samples are subjected to high fluences and
387 irradiation temperatures.

388

389

Part 2 - Water up-take

390

391

Unirradiated samples

392

393 The water uptake kinetics for unirradiated samples are presented in Figure 8. It can be seen
394 that the water uptake kinetics are slower for the G2 samples than for the SLA2 samples.
395 Moreover, only less than half of the total open porosity, even after more than 700 days of
396 impregnation, was filled for the G2 samples. Unirradiated SLA2 graphite presents a slightly
397 different behaviour. The water impregnation rate is faster but, at the end, water uptake seems
398 to be limited to around 70% of the total porosity. The water impregnation kinetics for the two
399 types of graphite were relatively high during the first phase lasting about 150 days and then
400 they slowed down dramatically.

401 A connection can be established between these two steps of the impregnation process and the
402 pore distribution of nuclear graphite using mercury intrusion porometry. The first rapid-filling
403 step relates to the filling of the macroporosity that represents 65% of the total open porosity in
404 the case of the SLA2 graphite samples (35% of the pores are in the mesoporosity domain pore
405 diameter between 1 and 300 nm). For G2 graphite, the water does not fill all the
406 macroporosity of the sample. This difference cannot only be attributed to the diameter of the
407 pores but also to the hydrophobic phenomena. To check this assumption, some
408 complementary experiments were performed in an ethanol-water mixture. Adding ethanol (10
409 wt%) improves impregnation: the kinetics and saturation rate increased significantly. Adding
410 ethanol to water helps lower the surface tension (Table 9) of the mixture and therefore
411 promotes its impregnation in a hydrophobic solid.


412

Solution	Surface tension (mN/m)
Water	72.8
Ethanol	22
Water+10% wt Ethanol	52

413

TABLE 9: SURFACE TENSION AT 20°C

414




415

416 FIGURE 8 : COMPARISON OF WATER AND WATER-ETHANOL IMPREGNATION
 417 RATES FOR UNIRRADIATED STACK GRAPHITE FROM THE G2/SLA2 REACTORS

418 *Considering the uncertainty on the measurement of the sample volumes, open porosities and mass gains, a relative uncertainty of*
 419 *10% was attributed*
 420

421 In the ethanol-water mixture, water uptake in the G2 samples is faster as illustrated in Figure
 422 9 versus the square root of time, and the saturation of the open porosity increases from 40% to
 423 60%.



424

425 FIGURE 9: COMPARISON OF WATER AND WATER-ETHANOL IMPREGNATION RATES
 426 FOR UNIRRADIATED STACK GRAPHITE FROM THE G2/SLA2 REACTORS


427 To conclude, the water uptake in unirradiated graphite not only depends on the pore size
 428 distribution, but also on the initial surface state.

429

Irradiated samples

430

431 The results obtained on irradiated graphite are presented in Figure 10 for G2 and Figure 11 for
 432 SLA2.



433

434 **FIGURE 10: SATURATION RATE OF IRRADIATED G2 SAMPLES FROM THE MODERATOR**


435

436 *Considering the uncertainty on the measurement of the sample volumes, open porosities and mass gains, a relative uncertainty of*
 437 *10% was attributed*

435

436

437



438

439 **FIGURE 11: SATURATION RATE OF IRRADIATED SLA2 SAMPLES FROM THE**
 440 **MODERATOR**

439

440

441 *Considering the uncertainty on the measurement of the sample volumes, open porosities and mass gains, a relative uncertainty of*
 442 *10% was attributed*

441

442

443 These differences between nuclear graphite from different origins are reduced when
444 considering irradiated samples. Irradiated graphite is a more hydrophilic material than the
445 unirradiated one. It is evidenced in Figure 10 that the porosity is rapidly filled in the first 30 to
446 50 days and then this slows down to reach 75% to around 100% of the open porosity.

447 At the same time, the curve in Figure 10 shows that the difference in size between the G2
448 samples does not seem to modify the total rate of open porosity, but only the water
449 impregnation kinetics.

450

451

452 These results show that irradiation increases the water impregnation kinetics and the
453 saturation rate of the graphite. The original behaviour of unirradiated graphite is completely
454 erased by the irradiation time in the reactor. Two reasons can explain this difference:

- 455 • First, irradiation modifies the macroporosity of the different types of graphite,
456 particularly by widening the mean pore diameter as shown in the mercury intrusion
457 porosimetry spectrums, which may facilitate water impregnation. Irradiated graphite
458 was mainly a macroporous solid (about 80% of the total porosity with an apparent
459 pore diameter ranging between 1 and 30 μm).
- 460 • Second, it is known that irradiation and radiolytic corrosion result in breaking the C-C
461 bonds in the graphite crystallites and/or grain boundaries to form more hydrophilic C-
462 H or C-O bonds at the surface.

463 These two phenomena lead to faster and higher impregnation.

464

465

466

467

468

469

Conclusion

470

471 In the framework of the studies on the disposal of graphite waste from UNGG reactors, it is
472 necessary to obtain reliable data on the behaviour of long-lived radionuclides when graphite
473 will be water-saturated in disposal conditions. Water impregnation in irradiated graphite is the
474 first step of a process that leads to the release of radionuclides contained in the graphite. If
475 this step is slow and low, it can control both the kinetics and the release rate of radionuclides
476 in solution. Impregnation tests were therefore performed on unirradiated and irradiated
477 graphite samples from the G2 and St Laurent A2 reactors which had been previously
478 characterised by geometric density measurements, He pycnometry and Hg porosimetry.

479 Characterisation of the structural (or microstructural) properties and the water uptake
480 experiments of unirradiated graphite made it possible to determine that they do not have the
481 same pore size distribution and most probably have a different surface effect depending on
482 their origin. The SLA2 graphite was seen to have two types of porous distribution, i.e. around
483 35% of pores in the mesoporous domain (pore diameter between 1 and 300 nm) and 40% of
484 the total porosity in the macroporous zone (pore diameter between 1-5 μm). G2 graphite
485 presents a quasi-single macroporous distribution. Moreover, SLA2 graphite appears to be
486 more hydrophilic than G2 graphite.

487 In general, irradiation induces a small modification in the characteristics of graphite. In both
488 cases, it appears that the geometric density of the samples decreases under irradiation, which
489 is expressed as an increase in total porosity, more precisely in the open porosity. The pore size
490 in the macroporous domain increases (total fraction and average diameter), whereas the
491 mesoporous fraction does not seem to be affected by irradiation. This variation appears to be
492 correlated with the neutron flux. This is a consequence of radiolytic corrosion of the graphite
493 under CO_2 irradiation. Results from water uptake experiments show that the graphite becomes
494 more hydrophilic after irradiation. The original behaviour of unirradiated graphite seems to be
495 completely erased by their time in the reactor. This is probably due to the combined effects of
496 temperature and irradiation, which lead to radiolytic corrosion of graphite and the presence of
497 functional groups on the surface, which seems to modify the surface tension. The
498 impregnation kinetics are faster and the saturation rate is close to 100% with regard to open
499 porosity.

500 Considering the leaching behaviour of radionuclides in nuclear graphite under disposal
501 conditions, these results show that the open porosity can be considered as being filled with
502 water in a hundred days. Water penetration can be considered as a limiting factor for the most
503 mobile radionuclides (leaching under <100 days), but not for the non-mobile radionuclides.
504 Moreover, the porosity of irradiated graphite in storage conditions will be saturated by the
505 water site which is alkaline water. A possible chemical degradation in the surface compounds
506 by this aggressive media is to be considered.

507

508

509

Acknowledgements

510 This work was carried out under the CARBOWASTE Programme: Treatment and Disposal of
511 Irradiated Graphite and Other Carbonaceous Waste (Grant Agreement number FP7-211333),
512 with partial funding from ANDRA, EDF and the CEA. The authors would also like to thank
513 those in charge of G2 or EDF reactor operations and dismantling for having provided these
514 samples and Mr Laurent Petit, EDF, for his assistance and follow-up of these studies.

515

516 References

517

1 Banford, A.W., Eccles, H., & Ross, D. Strategic Options for the Management of Waste Irradiated Graphite. 2009 Waste Management Symposium - WM2009/WM'09: HLW, TRU, LLW/ILW, Mixed, Hazardous Wastes and Environmental Management - Waste Management for the Nuclear Renaissance, United States

2 ANDRA, Inventaire national des matières et déchets radioactifs, http://www.andra.fr/inventaire2012/04_pdf_documents/03-Andra-2012-familles.pdf

3 Planning act of the French parliament No. 2006-739, 28 June 2006, <http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000000240700>

4 A Kozbial , F Zhou, Z Li, H Liu, Li L. Are Graphitic Surfaces Hydrophobic? *Acc Chem Res.* 2016 Dec 20;49 (12): 2765-2773.

5 J Bonal, J.P., Robin, J.C.,. Les réacteurs nucléaires à caloporteur gaz. CEA 2006 Monography 27–32.

6 P. Cornuault, Génie nucléaire, modérateurs, graphite, Société des Electrodes Réfractaires Savoie, first ed., 1981

7 Characterisation, Treatment and Conditioning of Radioactive Graphite from Decommissioning of Nuclear Reactors, first ed., IAEA TECDOC 1521, Austria, September 2006.

8 Irradiation damage in graphite due to fast neutron in fission and fusion systems IAEA TECDIC-1154, Vienna 2000

9 J Rappeneau, M Bocquet, G Micaud, A Fillatre. Effets de l'irradiation sur les propriétés physiques du graphite *Carbon* 1964, Vol. 1, pp. 97-109

10 B. J. Marsden, M. Haverty, W. Bodel, G. N. Hall, A. N. Jones, P. M. Mummery & M. Treifi, Dimensional change, irradiation creep and thermal/mechanical property changes in nuclear graphite, *International Materials Reviews*, 2016, 61:3, 155-182, DOI: 10.1080/09506608.2015.1136460

11 T.D. Burchell, P.J. Pappano, J.P. Strizak, A study of the annealing behavior of neutron irradiated graphite, *Carbon* 49, 2011, 3-10.

12 Kelly, B.T., Graphite - the most fascinating nuclear material.. *Carbon* 20, 1982, 3–11.

13 Wickham, A. J., J. V. Best, et al. Recent advances in the theories of carbon dioxide radiolysis and radiolytic graphite corrosion. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 1977, **10**(2): 107-117.

14 P.J. Heard, L. Payne, M.R. Wootton, P.E.J. Flewitt, Evaluation of surface deposits on the channel wall of trepanned reactor core graphite samples, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 445 (1-3) ,2014 pp91-97

15 International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna (Austria). International Working Group on Gas-Cooled Reactors; p. 125-131; May 1981; p. 125-131; Specialists meeting on coolant

chemistry, plate-out and decontamination in gas-cooled reactors; Juelich, Germany, F.R; 2 - 4 Dec 1980 <http://www.iaea.org/inis/collection/>

16 J.L. Figueiredo, M.F.R. Pereira, M.M.A. Freitas, J.J.M. Orfao, Modification of the surface chemistry of activated carbons, *Carbon*, 37, 1999, pp. 1379-1389.

17 J.V. Best, W.J. Stephen, A.J. Wickham, Radiolytic graphite oxidation, *Prog Nucl Energy*, 16 (2), 1985, , pp. 127-178

18 D. LaBrier, M.L. Dunzik-Gougar Characterization of C-14 in neutron irradiated NBG-25 nuclear graphite, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 448, 2014, pp. 113-120

19 T.E. Smith, S. Mccrory, M.L. Dunzik-Gougar Limited oxidation of irradiated graphite waste to remove surface carbon-14, *Nucl. Eng. Techn.*, 45, 2013, pp. 211-218

20 Horowitz P., Bussac, Joatton, De Lagge de Meux, Martin Caractéristiques générales et aspects originaux des réacteurs G2 et G3, 1958, Rapport CEA R-951, / <http://www.iaea.org/inis/collection/>

21 Herreng M, Ertaud et Pasquet Description des réacteurs G2 – G3, 1958 Rapport CEA R-952, <http://www.iaea.org/inis/collection/>

22 Leo M, et Maillard M Réacteurs nucléaires, 1960, Rapport CEA R-1659, <http://www.iaea.org/inis/collection/>

23 D. Bastien, Réacteurs à uranium naturel graphite-gaz (Uranium Natural Graphite-Gas reactors), *Techniques de l'Ingénieur B 3180*, 1993

24 Zhengcao Li, Dongyue Chen, Xiaogang Fu, Wei Miao, and Zhengjun Zhang, The Influence of Pores on Irradiation Property of Selected Nuclear Graphites *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 2012, Article ID 640462, 6 pages, 2012. doi:10.1155/2012/640462