

Study of the tensile anisotropy of extruded ODS steel tubes using notched rings

Thibaud Jaumier, Sébastien Vincent, Ludovic Vincent, Rodrigue Desmorat

▶ **To cite this version:**

Thibaud Jaumier, Sébastien Vincent, Ludovic Vincent, Rodrigue Desmorat. Study of the tensile anisotropy of extruded ODS steel tubes using notched rings. EMMC16 - 16th European Mechanics of Materials Conference, Mar 2018, Nantes, France. cea-02339071

HAL Id: cea-02339071

https://cea.hal.science/cea-02339071

Submitted on 13 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

16th European Mechanics of Materials Conference

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

cea

école normale supérieure paris-saclay

LMT CACHAN

STUDY OF THE TENSILE ANISOTROPY OF EXTRUDED ODS STEEL TUBES USING NOTCHED RINGS

Thibaud JAUMIER — CEA, DEN/SRMA; ENS Paris-Saclay, LMT

Sébastien VINCENT — CEA, DEN/STCP
Ludovic VINCENT — CEA, DEN/SRMA
Rodrigue DESMORAT — ENS Paris-Saclay, LMT

GEN IV SODIUM FAST REACTORS

GEN IV Sodium Fast Reactors

- More sustainable fuel cycle
- Improved safety
- Reduced waste production

Higher burnup leading to more severe fuel cladding sollicitations


- Temperature: 400-650°C
- Internal pressure: 120 bars (max at life end)
- Irradiation: 100-200 dpa
- Sodium environment effects

➢ **Oxide Dispersion Strengthened (ODS) steel**

Good candidate material thanks to:

- high resistance to thermal creep
- Low irradiation swelling

[CLEFS CEA n°55, 2007]




Fuel assembly

Fuel rod

[Kim et. Al., 2013]

ODS STEEL TUBE MANUFACTURING

[MINOS 2012]





- Studied material **: tube**
- Process introducing **anisotropic microstrucure**

MATERIALS STUDIED

Ferritic **14%Cr** ODS steel Fe-14Cr-1W-0.3Ti-0.25Y_2O_3


Martensitic **9%Cr** ODS steel Fe-9Cr-1W-0.3Ti-0.25Y_2O_3






- **Elongated grains parallel to the rolling direction and strong texture**

L section pole figures








Cross section


Longitudinal section

MATERIALS STUDIED


Ferritic **14%Cr** ODS steel — Fe-14Cr-1W-0.3Ti-0.25Y_2O_3


Martensitic **9%Cr** ODS steel — Fe-9Cr-1W-0.3Ti-0.25Y_2O_3





L section pole figures









Cross section


Longitudinal section

- **Elongated grains parallel to the rolling direction and strong texture**





L section pole figures



- **Equiaxed grains with no specific texture**

CHARACTERIZING TUBES

- Main objectives
  - Testing the longitudinal and hoop properties of the tubes
  - Through tensile, uniaxial and internal pressure creep tests
  - In order to predict the lifetime of fuel cladding

TILE AND RING TENSILE SPECIMENS


- Main objectives
  - Testing the longitudinal and hoop properties of the tubes
  - Through tensile, uniaxial and internal pressure creep tests
  - In order to predict the lifetime of fuel cladding


- **Tensile** properties: **2 specimen geometries**
  - Tile specimens for the longitudinal properties
  - Notched ring specimens for the hoop properties

The ring test is non-standard [1-4], know to be dependent on :

- Load configuration
- Friction
- Length to width gauge ratio

Tile specimen







Ring specimen

TILE AND RING TENSILE SPECIMENS


- Main objectives
  - Testing the longitudinal and hoop properties of the tubes
  - Through tensile, uniaxial and internal pressure creep tests
  - In order to predict the lifetime of fuel cladding


- **Tensile** properties : **2 specimen geometries**
  - Tile specimens for the longitudinal properties
  - Notched ring specimens for the hoop properties

The ring test is non-standard [1-4], know to be dependent on :

- Load configuration
- Friction
- Length to width gauge ratio

Tile specimen








Ring specimen

- In order to properly study material anisotropies, the **effect of the specimen geometry** must be analysed

TENSILE TESTS




TEST RESULTS – ENGINEERING CURVES



Tested at 7.10^{-4} s^{-1}

- In the 400-750°C range, the 14%Cr tube is significantly more resistant in the L direction
- For the 9%Cr tube, both directions are almost equivalent

TEST RESULTS – ENGINEERING CURVES







Tested at 7.10^{-4} s^{-1}



- In the 400-750°C range, both 9%Cr and 14%Cr show anisotropic total elongation
- As the 9%Cr mechanical behaviour is considered to be isotropic, specimen geometry is a potential cause to investigate -> modelisation





CONSTITUTIVE MODELLING AND FE SIMULATION

Choices for mechanical behaviour and damage laws

Tensile and creep tests suggest the following:

- 9%Cr tube: isotropic (viscoplastic) behaviour, anisotropic damage (to account for varying crack orientations)
- 14%Cr tube: anisotropic (viscoplastic) behaviour, anisotropic damage


A continuum damage mechanics approach (Lemaitre-Chaboche) was used.

The following simulations work focus on the **tensile test** for the **9%Cr tube at 650°C**.

Understanding of the ring specimen influence on the overall behaviour will permit the proper analysis of the 14%Cr tube material anisotropies.

ESTIMATION OF THE FRICTION COEFFICIENT

- Friction plays an important role and has to be estimated, lacking experimental means to evaluate it
- Digital Image Correlation is used to obtain displacement/strain fields on the outer surface of the gauge section of the ring
- Using a simple elastoplastic model the strain fields are compared for different friction coefficients.
- A value is chosen according to the best similarities **(friction coefficient =0.1)**



Strain from DIC | **Simulated strain**

CONSTITUTIVE MODELLING – 9%CR

Elasticity coupled with anisotropic damage [Lemaitre et. al, 2000]	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^e = \frac{1}{2G}(\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\sigma}'\boldsymbol{H})' + \frac{1}{9K}(g(\boldsymbol{H})\langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_+ + \langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_-)\mathbb{1}$ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = [\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\sigma}'\boldsymbol{H}]' + \frac{1}{3}(g(\boldsymbol{H})\langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_+ + \langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_-)\,\mathbb{1}$ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \mathbb{E}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^e$

$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$: Effective stress
D: Damage 2nd order tensor
η: hydrostatic sensitivity parameter
K: bulk modulus
G: shear modulus

$$\boldsymbol{H} = (\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{D})^{\frac{-\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}}$$

$$g(\boldsymbol{H}) = (1-\eta) + \eta\left(\frac{1}{10}(tr(\boldsymbol{H}))^2 + \frac{1}{30}tr(\boldsymbol{H})^2\right)$$

[Desmorat et. al, 2017]

➢ **Anisotropic damage,** crack opening/closing phenomenon on hydrostatic stress $\tilde{\sigma}_H$

CONSTITUTIVE MODELLING – 9%CR


Elasticity coupled with anisotropic damage [Lemaitre et. al, 2000]	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^e = \frac{1}{2G}(\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\sigma}'\boldsymbol{H})' + \frac{1}{9K}(g(\boldsymbol{H})\langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_+ + \langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_-)\mathbb{1}$ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = [\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\sigma}'\boldsymbol{H}]' + \frac{1}{3}(g(\boldsymbol{H})\langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_+ + \langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_-)$ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \mathbb{E}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^e$
Viscoplastic law coupled with damage	Elasticity criterion $f = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{\boldsymbol{eq}} - R - \sigma_y$; $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^e + \varepsilon^p$ Isotropic hardening $R = R_\infty(1 - e^{-br})$; $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{\boldsymbol{eq}}$ Von Mises Flow rule $\dot{\boldsymbol{e}}^p = \dot{\lambda}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} = \frac{3}{2}\dot{\lambda}\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}'}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{eq}}$ $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^p = \dot{\lambda}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} = [\boldsymbol{H}\dot{\boldsymbol{e}}^p\boldsymbol{H}]'$; $\dot{p} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\dot{\varepsilon}^p:\dot{\varepsilon}^p\right)^{1/2}$ Norton viscosity law $\dot{r} = -\dot{\lambda}\frac{\partial f}{\partial R} = \dot{\lambda} = \left\langle \frac{f}{K_N} \right\rangle_+^N$

- σ_y, : yield criterion
- R_∞, b : isotropic hardening parameters
- N, K_N : Norton parameters

CONSTITUTIVE MODELLING – 9%CR


Elasticity coupled with anisotropic damage [Lemaitre et. al, 2000]	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^e = \frac{1}{2G}(\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\sigma}'\boldsymbol{H})' + \frac{1}{9K}(g(\boldsymbol{H})\langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_+ + \langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_-)\mathbb{1}$ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = [\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\sigma}'\boldsymbol{H}]' + \frac{1}{3}(g(\boldsymbol{H})\langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_+ + \langle tr\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\rangle_-)\,\mathbb{1}$ $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \mathbb{E}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^e$
Viscoplastic law coupled with damage	$f = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{\boldsymbol{eq}} - R - \sigma_y \qquad \varepsilon = \varepsilon^e + \varepsilon^p$ $R = R_\infty(1 - e^{-br})$ $\dot{\boldsymbol{e}}^p = \dot{\lambda}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}} = \frac{3}{2}\dot{\lambda}\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}'}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{eq}}$ $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^p = \dot{\lambda}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} = [\boldsymbol{H}\dot{\boldsymbol{e}}^p\boldsymbol{H}]' \quad ; \quad \dot{p} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\dot{\varepsilon}^p:\dot{\varepsilon}^p\right)^{1/2}$ $\dot{r} = -\dot{\lambda}\frac{\partial f}{\partial R} = \dot{\lambda} = \left\langle \frac{f}{K_N} \right\rangle_+^N$
Damage law $A, r_{threshold}$ damage parameters $\mathcal{H}$ Heaviside function	$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}(\boldsymbol{H}^{-2}) = A\tilde{R}_v\,\mathcal{H}(r - r_{threshold})\langle\dot{\boldsymbol{e}}^p\rangle_+$ Stress triaxiality function $\tilde{R}_v = \frac{2}{3}(1+\nu) + 3(1-2\nu)\left\langle\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_H}{\tilde{\sigma}_{eq}}\right\rangle_+^2 \quad ; \tilde{\sigma}_H = \frac{1}{3}tr(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})$

CONSTITUTIVE MODELLING – 9%CR 650°C



- Model parameters identified with ring test data on a single element


SIMULATION – 9%CR TENSILE TEST




Accumulated plastic strain r

- Plastic strain is not homogeneous in the gauge section

SIMULATION – 9%CR TENSILE TEST







Accumulated plastic strain r

- Plastic strain is not homogeneous in the gauge section
- Plastic strain varies through the thickness of the ring

SIMULATION – 9%CR TENSILE TEST








Accumulated plastic strain r


- Plastic strain is not homogeneous in the gauge section
- Plastic strain varies through the thickness of the ring
- Plastic strain rate aslo varies through the thickness of the gauge section

SIMULATION – 9%CR TENSILE TEST









Damage D_{zz}


$$\boldsymbol{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} & D_{xz} \\ & D_{yy} & D_{yz} \\ & & D_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

Triaxiality $\frac{\sigma_h}{\sigma_{eq}} = \frac{1/3 * tr(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{\sigma_{eq}}$

- Damage occurs first on the inside of the ring, at the center of the gauge length
- Damage location, linked to stress triaxiality, which is greater in the same area

- Fracture may occur earlier than what the macroscopic strain would suggest


STRESS-STRAIN CURVE COMPARISON



- For similar displacement, rupture occurs well before the experimental result
- Damage evolution is faster due to strain localization and high stress triaxiality

- **The criterion $r_{threshold}$ for damage initiation has to be higher**

STRESS-STRAIN CURVE COMPARISON



- Better prediction after $r_{threshold} = 0.175$
- Adjusted $r_{threshold}$< 0.25 tile specimen damage threshold

  - **Other possible parameters to change : η, A** (ongoing work)
  - Higher threshold values incompatible with ring creep tests
  - Possible anisotropic damage mechanisms [Tanno et al.]

CONCLUSIONS

- The notched ring tensile specimen, in the load conditions chosen, introduces perceived anisotropy in raw test results (total elongation)
- Constitutive modelling and FE simulation of the ring tensile test analysis allow a more rigorous parameter identification
- Macroscopic values such as total elongation can be adjusted
- The understanding of geometry-dependent anisotropy enables the study of material-dependent anisotropy in the 14%Cr ODS steel tube

REFERENCES

- **CLEFS CEA n°55, 2007**
- **Kim et. Al. 2013 :** Sung ho Kim, J.H. Baek, J.H. Kim, C.B. Lee, « Fabrication and evaluation of SFR Cladding tubes », International Conference on Fast Reactors and related Fuel Cycles, Paris, France, 2013
- **MINOS 2012** : MINOS Workshop 2012, CEA-INSTN Saclay www.materials.cea.fr/MINOS2012/Session1/DECARLANMINOS2012S1.pdf
- **Desmorat et. al**. : R. Desmorat, B. Desmorat, M. Olive, B. Kolev, « Micromechanics based framework with second-order damage tensors », European Journal of Mechanics –A/Solids, V69, 2018, p88-98
- **Lemaitre et. al.** : J. Lemaitre, R. Desmorat, M. Sauzay, « Anisotropic damage law of evolution », European Journal of Mechanics – A/Solids, V19, issue 2, 2000, p187-208
- **Tanno et. al. :** T. Tanno, Y. Yano, H. Oka, S. Ohtsuka, T. Uwaba, T. Kaito, « Strength anisotropy of rolled 11Cr-ODS steel », Nuclear Materials and Energy, V9, 2016, p353-359
- **[1]** F. Nagase, T. Sugiyama, T. Fuketa, « Optimized ring tensile test method and hydrogen effect on mechanical properties of Zircaloy cladding in hoop direction », Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, Vol.46,No.6, 2009, p545-552
- **[2]** C. Dick, Y. Korkolis, « Mechanics and full-field deformation study of ring hoop tension test », International Journal of Solids and Structures, V.51, 2014, p3042-3057
- **[3]** S. Arsene, J. Bai, « A new approach to measuring transverse properties of structural tubing by a ring test », Journal of Testing and Evaluation, JTEVA, Vol. 24, No. 6, 1996, p386-391
- **[4]** M.A. Martin-Rengel, F.J. Gomez Sanchez, J. Ruiz-Hervias, L. Caballero, A. Valiente, « Revisiting the method to obtain the mechanical properties of hydrided fuell cladding in the hoop direction », Journal of Nuclear Materials, 429, 2012, p276-283