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Glutaredoxins (GRXs) have at least three major identified functions. In apoforms, they exhibit oxidoreductase activity controlling notably protein glutathionylation/deglutathionylation. In holoforms, i.e., iron–sulfur (Fe–S) cluster-bridging forms, they act as maturation factors for the biogenesis of Fe–S proteins or as regulators of iron homeostasis contributing directly or indirectly to the sensing of cellular iron status and/or distribution. The latter functions seem intimately connected with the capacity of specific GRXs to form \([2\text{Fe–2S}]\) cluster-bridging homodimeric or heterodimeric complexes with BOLA proteins. In yeast species, both proteins modulate the localization and/or activity of transcription factors regulating genes coding for proteins involved in iron uptake and intracellular sequestration in response notably to iron deficiency. Whereas vertebrate GRX and BOLA isoforms may display similar functions, the involved partner proteins are different. We perform here a critical evaluation of the results supporting the implication of both protein families in similar signaling pathways in plants and provide ideas and experimental strategies to delineate further their functions.
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INTRODUCTION

Many cellular reactions and biological processes require metalloproteins, among which those containing iron (Fe) cofactors such as mononuclear and dinuclear (non-heme) Fe centers, hemes and iron–sulfur (Fe–S) clusters, are particularly crucial. Unlike other metals such as copper or zinc, there is no universal Fe chaperone described and so far, only poly rC-binding proteins (PCBPs) were shown to coordinate Fe entry in the cytosol and serve for the metalation of non-heme Fe enzymes in mammals (Philpott et al., 2017). In contrast, the synthesis/assembly of hemes and Fe–S clusters requires more complex and universally conserved pathways (Couturier et al., 2013; Barupala et al., 2016). The machineries dedicated to the maturation of Fe–S proteins present in mitochondria...
and chloroplasts, named ISC (iron–sulfur cluster) and SUF (sulfur mobilization), respectively, are also found in bacteria (Lill, 2009). On the other hand, cytosolic and nuclear Fe–S proteins are matured via the eukaryote-specific cytosolic iron–sulfur cluster assembly (CIA) machinery, which is, however, dependent on the mitochondrial ISC machinery for sulfur supply (Lill, 2009). Hence, given the high cellular demand for iron, sophisticated systems exist to control Fe uptake and intracellular distribution due to its potential toxicity. Strikingly, the Fe sensing systems and associated transcription factors generally differ in bacteria, yeast/fungi, mammals, and plants, but might include common actors such as glutaredoxins (GRXs) and BOLAs (Couturier et al., 2015).

Two GRX classes, I and II, are present in most organisms whereas additional classes are specific to some species/genus/kingsdoms (Alves et al., 2009; Couturier et al., 2009). GRXs of the first class are involved in redox regulation, reducing protein disulfides or glutathione-protein mixed disulfides. GRXs from class II participate in the regulation of Fe homeostasis (Mühlenhoff et al., 2010; Haunhorst et al., 2013) and in the maturation of Fe–S proteins owing to their capacity to ligate and exchange [2Fe–2S] clusters with partner proteins (Table 1; Rodríguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002; Bandypadhyay et al., 2008). They are also referred to as monothiol GRXs or CGFS GRXs owing to their conserved CGFS active site signature.

Regarding the BOLA family, an extensive phylogenetic analysis allowed delineating four groups, namely BOLA1–BOLA4 (Couturier et al., 2014). BOLAs are present in both bacteria and eukaryotes, BOLA2s and BOLA3s in eukaryotes and BOLA4s in photosynthetic organisms, archaea, and bacteria. Pioneer works revealed functions for *Escherichia coli* BolA in the regulation of cell morphology, possibly as a single Fe repressor of activation 2 (Fe repressor of activation 2) (S. cerevisiae cytosolic Bol2/Fra2 (Fe repressor of activation 2) transcriptional regulator (Aldea et al., 1989), for *Escherichia coli* BOLA in the regulation of cell morphology, possibly as a partner proteins (Table 1; Rodríguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002; Bandypadhyay et al., 2008). They are also referred to as monothiol GRXs or CGFS GRXs owing to their conserved CGFS active site signature.

regarding the BOLA family, an extensive phylogenetic analysis allowed delineating four groups, namely BOLA1–BOLA4 (Couturier et al., 2014). BOLAs are present in both bacteria and eukaryotes, BOLA2s and BOLA3s in eukaryotes and BOLA4s in photosynthetic organisms, archaea, and bacteria. Pioneer works revealed functions for *Escherichia coli* BolA in the regulation of cell morphology, possibly as a transcriptional regulator (Aldea et al., 1989), for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cytosolic Bol2/Fra2 (Fe repressor of activation 2) in the regulation of iron homeostasis (Lesuisse et al., 2005; Kumánavics et al., 2008), and for mitochondrial BOLAs (human BOLA3 and yeast Bol1, Bol3) in the maturation of Fe–S clusters (Table 1; Cameron et al., 2011; Melber et al., 2016; Uzarska et al., 2016).

A very close relationship between class II GRXs and BOLAs was initially evident from genome (gene co-occurrence and clustering, existence of fusion proteins) and large-scale interactomic analyses in various organisms (reviewed in Przybyla-Toscano et al., 2016). Then, the molecular and structural determinants of the complexes were investigated in detail using mutational, spectroscopic and structural analyses on recombinant proteins. This led to demonstrate that class II GRXs and BOLAs form both apo- and holo-heterodimers bridging a [2Fe–2S] cluster, usually more stable than the [2Fe–2S] cluster-bridging GRX homodimers, and to identify the residues serving as ligands (Li and Outten, 2012; Couturier et al., 2015; Przybyla-Toscano et al., 2017). In GRX-BOLA holo-heterodimers, the [2Fe–2S] cluster is ligated using the GRX conserved cysteine, a cysteine from glutathione (as in GRX holo-homodimers), and, on the BOLA side, using a C-terminally located conserved histidine and an histidine or a cysteine in the β1–β2 loop, referred to as [H/C] loop (Figure 1A; Li et al., 2011, 2012; Roret et al., 2014; Dlouhy et al., 2016; Nasta et al., 2017).

Hereafter, based on the most recent results and known roles in non-photosynthetic organisms, we discuss the putative or confirmed functions of GRX and BOLA, alone or in complex, in photosynthetic organisms.

**THE CLASS II GRX AND BOLA COUPLE PROTEINS PRESENT IN BACTERIA OR IN EUKARYOTE ORGANELLES ARE INVOLVED IN THE SYNTHESIS OF FE–S CLUSTERS**

The first evidence about GRX involvement in the biogenesis of Fe–S proteins were obtained from a *S. cerevisiae* mutant for the mitochondrial Grx5 (Table 1; Rodríguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002; Mühlenhoff et al., 2003). Orthologs of this single domain-containing GRX are found in bacteria, archaea and plant plastids. The current view is that Grx5 is required for the maturation of all types of Fe–S clusters in mitochondria, receiving a [2Fe–2S] cluster from ISCU-type scaffold proteins and transferring it to ISCA-type transfer proteins for subsequent maturation of [4Fe–4S] proteins (Figure 1B). Grx5 is also required for the maturation of cytosolic Fe–S proteins and the activation of the Aft1 transcription factor, pointing to its key position in *S. cerevisiae* (see below) (Uzarska et al., 2013). Yeast Bol1 and Bol3, which have the capacity to form heterodimers with Grx5, were later shown to be required for a specific set of mitochondrial [4Fe–4S] proteins, without affecting de novo synthesis of [2Fe–2S] proteins (Uzarska et al., 2016). So far, human BOLA3, but not BOLA1, has been demonstrated as required for the maturation of specific Fe–S proteins (Table 1; Cameron et al., 2011; Willems et al., 2013). The client proteins are notably the succinate dehydrogenase complex II and lipoate synthase. Moreover, the fact that *bol1–bol3A* mutants are neither affected in the CIA machinery, nor in Aft1 activation, indicates that Grx5 has physiological roles independent of Bol1 and Bol3 (Uzarska et al., 2016). Additional studies suggested that Bol1 indeed acts early in the ISC pathway in concert with Grx5 (possibly for [4Fe–4S] proteins) whereas Bol3 may preferentially act with NFU1, a late Fe–S cluster transfer protein, to preserve the [4Fe–4S] center found in some specific mitochondrial client proteins, as lipoate synthase, from oxidative damage (Figure 1B; Melber et al., 2016).

Concerning bacteria, the sole Grx isoform (Grx4/D) and both BolAs (BolA and IbaG) from *E. coli* were recently shown as implicated in the maturation of the respiratory complexes I and II, but the effects are only visible when multiple genes are mutated (Burschel et al., 2019). This role in maturing Fe–S proteins is consistent with (i) the synthetic lethality of the *Grx4* gene with genes present in the ISC operon (Butland et al., 2008), (ii) the interaction *in vitro* of Grx4 with the MiaB Fe–S protein (Boutigny et al., 2013), and (iii) the capacity of Grx4-BolA and Grx4-IbaG to form the
TABLE 1 | Iron-related phenotypes of bolA and glutaredoxin mutants from various sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Protein names</th>
<th>Mutant phenotype(s)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mono-domain (organellar) GRXs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</td>
<td>Grx5</td>
<td>Defaults in Fe–S cluster assembly</td>
<td>Rodríguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002; Mühlenhoff et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizosaccharomyces pombe</td>
<td>Grx5</td>
<td>Defaults in Fe–S cluster assembly, decreased amount of mitochondrial DNA, reduced growth, and sensitivity toward oxidants</td>
<td>Chung et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danio rerio</td>
<td>GLRX5</td>
<td>Embryo lethal</td>
<td>Wingert et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
<td>1-C-Grx1</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>Comini et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypanosoma brucei</td>
<td>Gnx2</td>
<td>Defaults in Fe–S cluster assembly, deregulation of RirA transcriptional activity, increased intracellular iron content, modified nodule development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>Gnr4</td>
<td>Sensitivity to iron depletion, defect in respiratory complex I</td>
<td>Yeung et al., 2011; Burschel et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>GXR5</td>
<td>Sensitivity to prolonged darkness</td>
<td>Rey et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>GRXS15</td>
<td>Lethal, decreased amounts of lipoate synthase and of lipoic acid dependent H subunits of the glycine cleavage system in RNAi lines</td>
<td>Moseler et al., 2015; Ströher et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>GRXS16</td>
<td>None described for co-suppressed and RNAi lines</td>
<td>Rey et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Multi-domain (cytosolic) GRXs |  |  |  |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Gnr3 | Impaired regulation of Aft1/2 and iron homeostasis | Ojeda et al., 2006; Pujol-Carrion et al., 2006 |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Gnr4 | Impaired regulation of Aft1/2 and iron homeostasis | Ojeda et al., 2006; Pujol-Carrion et al., 2006 |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Gnx3–Gnx4 | Lethal in some background. Impaired iron trafficking and assembly of Fe–S proteins, heme, and iron-containing proteins | Pujol-Carrion et al., 2006; Mühlenhoff et al., 2010 |
| Cryptococcus neoformans | Gnr4 | Slow growth upon iron deprivation | Attarian et al., 2018 |
| Danio rerio | GXR3 | Impaired heme synthesis and Fe–S protein maturation | Haunhorst et al., 2013 |
| Homo sapiens | GLRX3/PICOT | Decreased activities of cytosolic Fe–S proteins | Haunhorst et al., 2013 |
| Arabidopsis thaliana | GPXS17 | Growth defects (meristem arrest) upon elevated temperature and long photoperiod. No decrease in cytosolic Fe–S protein activity | Cheng et al., 2011; Kruisinga et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2017 |

| BOLA |  |  |  |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Bol1 | No growth defect and no decrease in Fe–S enzyme activity | Melber et al., 2016; Uzarska et al., 2016 |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Bol3 | Slightly decreased complex II (SDH) activity | Melber et al., 2016; Uzarska et al., 2016 |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Bol1–Bol3 | Decreased activity of lipoic acid-dependent enzymes, aconitate, and respiratory complex II | Melber et al., 2016; Uzarska et al., 2016 |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Bol2/Fra2 | Impaired regulation of Aft1/2 and iron homeostasis | Kumárnovics et al., 2008; Uzarska et al., 2016 |
| Schizosaccharomyces pombe | BolA2/ Fra2 | Impaired regulation of the Fep1 transcription factor | Jacques et al., 2014 |
| Homo sapiens | BOLA1 |氧化 of the mitochondrial GSH pool | Willems et al., 2013 |
| Homo sapiens | BOLA2 | None described for siRNA lines | Frey et al., 2016 |
| Homo sapiens | BOLA3 | Decrease in lipoic acid-dependent enzymes and in respiratory complexes I and II | Cameron et al., 2011 |
| Escherichia coli | BolA | Partial defect in respiratory complex I assembly | Burschel et al., 2019 |
| Escherichia coli | Iba3 | None described | Burschel et al., 2019 |
| Escherichia coli | BolA – IbaG | Decreased complex II activity | Burschel et al., 2019 |
| Salmonella typhimurium | BolA | Decreased resistance to acidic and oxidative stresses and decreased virulence | Mil-Homens et al., 2018 |
| Arabidopsis thaliana | BOLA2 | None described under control conditions, increased resistance to oxidative conditions | Qin et al., 2015 |
FIGURE 1 | Continued
FIGURE 1 | Properties and hypothetical roles of the class II GRX-BOLA couple in plants. (A) Tridimensional structures of plastidial Arabidopsis thaliana BOLA1 and GRXS14 proteins highlighting the residues involved in the interactions. On the top, superimposition of A. thaliana BOLA1 (green) and A. thaliana BOLA2 (blue) structures. Both proteins have a β-structure made of four helices and three strands with an α1(β2α3)β4 topology (Flore et al., 2014). The β-strands form a central three-stranded β-sheet. In addition to the extended C-terminal part in A. thaliana BOLA2, both proteins differ by the length of the β1–β2 loop (in red in BOLA1), referred to as [H/C] loop, and which contains the histidine (His97 in A. thaliana BOLA1) or cysteine (Cys29 in A. thaliana BOLA2) residues provided by BOLA proteins for Fe–S cluster bridging together with the His66 (A. thaliana BOLA2) or His144 (A. thaliana BOLA1). The putative DNA binding site in BOLA is formed by the β2 and β3 helices, the loop containing a specific FXGX signature (type II β-turn), the α3 helix containing a positively charged RHR motif and the β3 strand. Below, from left to right, A. thaliana BOLA2 structure showing 18 residues (mainly part of the β2 and β3 strands and α3 helix) identified by NMR titration as involved in the interaction with apo-A. thaliana BOLA2 and A. thaliana BOLA1 structure showing 32 residues (many present in the C-terminal α3 and α4 helices) identified by NMR titration as involved in the interaction with BOLA1 (Flore et al., 2014). These residues, plus some additional ones, are also involved in the formation of the [2Fe–2S] cluster-bridged heterodimer as determined using human proteins (Nasta et al., 2017). (B) Hypothetical model for the role of GRXS15 and BOLA4 in plant mitochondria. By analogy with the yeast system, GRXS15 (shortened as GRX) should receive a [2Fe–2S] cluster synthesized de novo by a multi-protein assembly complex (details about the proteins involved in the early steps of Fe–S cluster assembly and transfer have been omitted). GRXS15 is supposed to transfer its [2Fe–2S] cluster to client proteins as the mitochondrial ferredoxin 1 (mFDX1) (Moseler et al., 2015) or to ISC proteins for the reductive conversion of two [2Fe–2S] clusters into a [4Fe–4S] cluster (as shown with human proteins) and its subsequent delivery to client proteins bearing such cluster. In the absence of genetic analysis about bola4 mutants, the contribution of BOLA4 (shortened as BOLA) for the respective roles of GRXS15 is unclear, but the confirmed interaction between two [2Fe–2S] clusters into a [4Fe–4S] cluster (as shown with human proteins) and its subsequent delivery to client proteins bearing such cluster. In the absence of genetic analysis about bola4 mutants, the contribution of BOLA4 (shortened as BOLA) for the respective roles of GRXS15 is unclear, but the confirmed interaction between two [2Fe–2S] clusters into a [4Fe–4S] cluster (as shown with human proteins) and its subsequent delivery to client proteins bearing such cluster. In the absence of genetic analysis about bola4 mutants, the contribution of BOLA4 (shortened as BOLA) for the respective roles of GRXS15 is unclear, but the confirmed interaction between two [2Fe–2S] clusters into a [4Fe–4S] cluster (as shown with human proteins) and its subsequent delivery to client proteins bearing such cluster. In the absence of genetic analysis about bola4 mutants, the contribution of BOLA4 (shortened as BOLA) for the respective roles of GRXS15 is unclear, but the confirmed interaction between two [2Fe–2S] clusters into a [4Fe–4S] cluster (as shown with human proteins) and its subsequent delivery to client proteins bearing such cluster. In the absence of genetic analysis about bola4 mutants, the contribution of BOLA4 (shortened as BOLA) for the respective roles of GRXS15 is unclear, but the confirmed interaction between two [2Fe–2S] clusters into a [4Fe–4S] cluster (as shown with human proteins) and its subsequent delivery to client proteins bearing such cluster.

In plants, the corresponding mitochondrial GRX is named GRXS15. Knockout Arabidopsis mutants are lethal due to defective embryo development (Moseler et al., 2015). Plants expressing a mutated GRXS15 form modified for its ability to coordinate an Fe–S cluster exhibit severely reduced growth and impaired aconitase activity (Moseler et al., 2015). Additionally, Arabidopsis GRXS15 down-regulated lines display slowed growth and impaired activity of enzymes dependent on lipoic acid, the synthesis of which is ensured by the Fe–S cluster-containing lipoyl synthase (Ströher et al., 2016). Whether GRXS15 fulfills its function in concert with BOLA4, the sole mitochondrial BOLA, remains to be explored, but their interaction was demonstrated in yeast and in planta (Figure 1B; Couturier et al., 2014). Plants also have class II GRXs (GRXS14 and S16) and mono-domain BOLAs (BOLA1, BOLA4) in plastids (Couturier et al., 2013). So far, in planta evidence for their implication in the biogenesis of Fe–S proteins are scarce (Table 1). GRXS14-deficient Arabidopsis plants exhibit accelerated chlorophyll loss upon prolonged darkness, a treatment also leading to a decreased abundance of proteins acting in Fe–S cluster metabolism (Rey et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the demonstration that Arabidopsis and/or poplar GRXS14 and GRXS16 interact both with BOLA1 and BOLA4 (Couturier et al., 2014), bind Fe–S clusters alone or in complex (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2008; Dhalleine et al., 2014; Roret et al., 2014) and transfer it to partner proteins (Mapolelo et al., 2013) give strong credence to such a role. Even more importantly, all plant GRX and BOLA genes complement totally or partially (GRXS15) the corresponding yeast grx5 and boll–bol3 mutants, indicating that they possess similar structural and functional determinants (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2008; Moseler et al., 2015; Uzarska et al., 2018).

MUTIPLE FUNCTIONS IN THE REGULATION OF IRON HOMEOSTASIS OF THE CLASS II GRX AND BOLA COUPLE IN THE CYTOSOL/NUCLEUS OF EUKARYOTES

Eukaryote cytosolic class II GRXs are multidomain proteins formed by an N-terminal thioredoxin-like domain fused to one to three GRX domains (Couturier et al., 2009). Most organisms have a single GRX of this type and also a single cytosolic BOLA isoform, referred to as BOLA2/Bol2/Fra2. The pioneering studies showing the involvement of class II GRXs and BOLAs in Fe homeostasis have been conducted in S. cerevisiae mutants deregulated in Grx3, Grx4, and Bol2/Fra2 genes (Table 1; Lesuisse et al., 2005; Ojeda et al., 2006; Pujol-Carrion et al., 2006). In yeast, the regulation of Fe concentration is achieved at the transcriptional level by low- (Aft1 and Aft2) and high-level (Yap5) sensing transcription factors and at the post-transcriptional level by mRNA-binding proteins (Outten and Albetel, 2013). Both types of transcription factors bind [2Fe–2S] clusters allowing them to perceive the cellular Fe or Fe–S cluster status (Poor et al., 2014; Rietzschel et al., 2015). Whereas Grx4 expression is regulated by Yap5, it is not documented whether Yap5 localization or activity is controlled by a GRX/BOLA complex. Regarding Aft1/Aft2, their subcellular (nuclear vs. cytosolic) localization is controlled by a Fra2-Grx3/4 inhibitory complex (possibly requiring also the aminopeptidase Fra1) (Kumánovics et al., 2008). The current view is that the presence of an Fe–S cluster in the Fra2-Grx3/4 complex is synonymous of iron-replete conditions and of a correct functioning of the ISC machinery (Figure 1C;
Some aspects of Fe homeostasis in other yeasts and fungi are also controlled by GRX and/or BOLA. In Cryptococcus neoformans, Fe repletion promotes Grx4 relocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm allowing the regulation of Cir1, a master regulator of Fe-responsive genes (Attarian et al., 2018). In Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Fe metabolism is regulated by two transcriptional repressors, the GATA-type iron sensing Fep1 and the CCAAT-binding factor complex subunit Php4 (Brault et al., 2015). Their localization and/or DNA binding activity are regulated by Grx4 and/or Fra2 (reviewed in Outten and Albetel, 2013; Brault et al., 2015). The binding of a [2Fe–2S] cluster between Grx4 and Php4 may promote Php4 release from the CCAAT-binding complex at the DNA targets and suppress its inhibitory effect on the expression of Fe storage genes (Dlouhy et al., 2017). Unlike Php4, the regulation of which does not involve Fra2, the formation of a [2Fe–2S]-Grx4/Fra2 heterodimeric complex is required for regulating Fep1 activation (Jacques et al., 2014; Encinar del Dedo et al., 2015).

In mammals, the regulation of Fe metabolism and homeostasis is ensured by IRP1/2 and RNA-binding proteins (Rouault and Maio, 2017). Under Fe limitation, both IRPs bind to the so-called Iron Responsive Elements (IREs) in untranslated regions of mRNAs coding for proteins implicated in Fe assimilation and homeostasis (Rouault and Maio, 2017). Doing so, they control either mRNA stabilization or translational blocking. Whereas IRP2 release from IREs is mediated by proteasomal degradation (Guo et al., 1995), IRP1 function may depend on GLRX3/PICOT (but also on mitochondrial GRX5) as it relies on the binding of an Fe–S cluster. Under Fe sufficiency, IRP1 binds a [4Fe–4S] cluster and acts as an aconitase whereas under Fe limitation the protein turns into an apoform binding to IREs. Consequently, IRP1 requires functional mitochondrial and cytosolic Fe–S cluster assembly machineries. Having two GRX domains, human GLRX3 forms homodimers or heterotrimers with two BOLA2 molecules bridging two [2Fe–2S] clusters (Li et al., 2012; Banci et al., 2015b; Frey et al., 2016). It also binds a [4Fe–4S] cluster and transfers it in vitro to an apo-IRP1 (Xia et al., 2015). GLRX3 silencing in human HELA cells decreases the activity of several cytosolic Fe–S proteins, including IRP1 (Table 1; Haunhorst et al., 2013). In zebrafish, GLRX3 deletion impairs heme biosynthesis during embryo development (Haunhorst et al., 2013). All of this indicates important functions of vertebrate GLRX3 in Fe metabolism.

In addition to an Fe sensing function, an Fe or Fe–S cluster trafficking function was proposed for yeast Grx3/4 and the human GLRX3-BOLA2 complex to ensure proper assembly of several types of Fe-containing centers. In fact, most multidomain GRXs are able to rescue the Fe–S cluster maturation defects of the yeast grx5 mutant (Molina et al., 2004; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2008; Knuesting et al., 2015) suggesting that they have the capacity of exchanging Fe–S clusters. Accordingly, both human GLRX3 homodimers and GLRX3-BOLA2 trimeric complexes bridging two [2Fe–2S] clusters can deliver their clusters to the anamorsin/CIAPIN/DRE2 protein (Banci et al., 2015a,b). From the observation that the maturation of yeast Grx3/4 and human GLRX3-BOLA2 heterodimers requires the mitochondrial ISC machinery but not CIA components (Mühlenhoff et al., 2010; Frey et al., 2016), it is concluded that cytosolic class II GRXs should build their cluster from a sulfur compound exported by the mitochondrial ATM transporter (Figure 1C).

The function of GRXS17, the sole nucleo-cytosolic class II GRX in plants, has been explored using several approaches. Tandem affinity purification using a tagged GRX form expressed in Arabidopsis cell cultures and seedlings pointed to the association of GRXS17 with CIA components and BOLA2 (Inigo et al., 2016). The interactions with DRE2 and BOLA2 have been confirmed in vivo by binary yeast two-hybrid and BiFC and/or in vitro by co-expression in E. coli (Couturier et al., 2014; Dhalleine et al., 2014; Inigo et al., 2016). As GRXs interact with DRE2/Anamorsin in yeast and human cells (Zhang et al., 2011; Banci et al., 2015b), the only direct CIA partner of GRXS17 might be DRE2 and the other proteins part of a complex. Besides, the binding of GRXS17 with putative Fe–S client proteins involved in purine salvage (xanthine dehydrogenase 1) or tRNA modification (thiouridylase subunits 1 and 2) was shown (Inigo et al., 2016). Thus, one would expect that plants deficient in GRXS17 display a marked phenotype in relation with Fe metabolism, but the analysis of Arabidopsis grxs17 plants led to relatively complex data. Indeed, their development is only mildly affected under standard growth conditions, but gets severely impaired (elongated leaves, modified shoot apical meristem structure, and altered auxin response) at high temperature or under long photoperiod (Cheng et al., 2011; Knuesting et al., 2015). It is not yet clear whether a redox- and/or an Fe-related function of GRXS17 is responsible for these alterations. In fact, in vitro pull-downs performed using the recombinant protein allowed recovering many non-Fe–S proteins including the NF-YC11 transcriptional regulator (Knuesting et al., 2015).
Moreover, there is no variation in the Fe content in mature leaves and only a slight increase in seeds (Yu et al., 2017) of grxs17 plants that exhibit no or minor decreases in the activity of three Fe–S containing enzymes: aconitase, aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase (Knuesting et al., 2015; Iñigo et al., 2016). On the other hand, GRXS17-deficient lines exhibit a slightly increased sensitivity to genotoxic stress which is reminiscent of mutants compromised in the CIA pathway (Iñigo et al., 2016). Finally, when GRXS17-deficient lines are exposed to Fe deficiency, the primary root growth reduction, that is already visible under standard conditions, is exacerbated and ROS levels are elevated (Iñigo et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2017). Whether plant GRXS17 and BOLA2 act in concert remains unclear. The Arabidopsis bola2 (incorrectly named bola3) mutant displays no phenotype under control conditions and no change in the activity of typical Fe–S enzymes (Qin et al., 2015). Surprisingly, this line is more tolerant to oxidative stress generated by an Fe excess (Qin et al., 2015). In conclusion, bola2 and grxs17 plants exhibit relatively mild phenotypes, visible mostly under stress conditions, compared to those described for human and yeast orthologs and to the embryo-lethality of most Arabidopsis mutants defective for early acting CIA components (Bernard et al., 2013). This raises some questions about the exact functions of BOLA2 and GRXS17 in the regulation of Fe homeostasis in plant cells and about the existence of an alternative system, notably for delivering Fe–S clusters to DRE2, whose function is essential.

**ROADMAP TOWARD THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE ROLES OF GRX/BOLA COUPLES IN PLANTS**

In this section, we propose some ideas and experimental strategies that should warrant deciphering the functions associated to GRX/BOLA couples in plants.

Evidence obtained so far indicate that the class II mono-domain GRXs and BOLAs present in mitochondria of non-plant eukaryotes and in bacteria act as maturation factors for the biogenesis of Fe–S proteins. A similar role seems true for the plant mitochondrial GRXS15, but it is now mandatory to examine whether it also contributes to the maturation of extra-mitochondrial proteins. Another challenge will be to understand why it is essential in plants unlike in yeast. Also, the physiological consequences of BOLA4 depletion must be investigated to see whether this fits with a function connected to GRXS15. Concerning plastidial proteins (GRXS14, GRXS16, BOLA1, and BOLA4), a role in the maturation of Fe–S proteins still needs to be demonstrated in planta, despite they can functionally substitute to their mitochondrial yeast counterparts.

With regard to the cytosolic multi-domain GRXs and BOLAs, a role in Fe metabolism seems evolutionary conserved, but their contribution and partners differ. In yeast, their primary function is to regulate Fe-responsive transcription factors. Additional functions are to ensure a proper Fe distribution toward all types of Fe cofactors (including heme and non-heme Fe centers) and/or to serve for Dre2 maturation, thus contributing to the correct functioning of the CIA machinery. In this case, Grx3/4 have an exclusive or predominant role because the corresponding mutant is lethal or strongly affected, unlike the bol2/fra2 mutant. Experimental evidence indicate that the involvement of GRX and/or BOLA in DRE2 maturation is likely also true in mammals and plants, but evidence supporting other functions are scarce.

A first prerequisite to future molecular and physiological analyses is to generate the missing single knock-out lines but also multiple knock-out lines for possibly redundant proteins. This would be particularly important to obtain lines combining mutations for GRXS14 and GRXS16, for BOLA1 and BOLA4, but also for GRXS17 and the only other Fe–S ligating GRXs reported so far in the cytosol, namely GRXC1 (Rouhier et al., 2007), or BOLA2. In case the single or multiple mutants are lethal, an option for obtaining viable lines would be to generate RNAi lines as for GRXS15, but also dominant negative mutant lines expressing mutated versions of GRX or BOLA unable for instance to ligate the Fe–S cluster, i.e., mutated for the catalytic cysteine of GRXs or the conserved histidine residue of BOLA.

At the physiological level, the growth of these plants should be analyzed under standard conditions, but also under environmental constraints as the shoot phenotypes of grxs17 mutants are only visible in specific conditions. For the BOLA2-GRXS17 couple, understanding their connection and discriminating between Fe- or redox-related functions will require in particular to assess the phenotypes of the corresponding mutants in the same experimental setup and conditions. Considering the described importance of GSH for ligating Fe–S cluster in GRX homodimer or GRX-BOLA heterodimer and for the maturation of cytosolic Fe–S proteins (Sipos et al., 2002), crossing some of these mutants with mutants having an altered GSH homeostasis would certainly be informative.

In other respects, an obvious strategy is to measure the abundance/activity of representative Fe–S proteins in these lines. However, performing quantitative proteomic and metabolomic approaches may be more informative and help obtaining a broader view of the molecular and cellular mechanisms affected and of the compensations established. It may also rapidly point to metabolic differences existing among mutants.

In all cases, determining the identity of the direct and indirect targets of both GRXs and BOLAs would represent a mandatory information. For instance, the proteins involved in the Fe–S cluster maturation process may act at different steps. Various approaches complementary to quantitative proteomics proved valuable even for detecting supposedly transient interactions among Fe–S cluster donors and acceptors (Touraine et al., 2019). Hence, it is possible to combine it to another non-targeted approach such as co-immunoprecipitation or to binary yeast two-hybrid experiments which has the advantage for instance to allow studying rapidly sequence requirements by mutational analysis.

In summary, the combination of genetic approaches, omics analyses and conventional biochemical tools should
in principle allow better delineating the roles and specificities of GRX/BOLA couples in the maintenance of Fe homeostasis in plants.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All datasets analyzed for this study are included in the manuscript and the Supplementary Files.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors wrote the text and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES


ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Ms. Anna Moseler is greatly acknowledged for the artwork on Figure 1.

FUNDING

The UMR1136 is supported by a grant overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the “Investissements d’Avenir” program (ANR-11-LABX-0002-01, Lab of Excellence ARBRE). The work on plant GRX and BOLA proteins was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (Grant No. 2010BLAN1616).

Ms. Anna Moseler is greatly acknowledged for the artwork on Figure 1.
A cascade of iron-containing proteins governs the genetic iron starvation response to promote iron uptake and inhibit iron storage in fission yeast. PLoS Genet. 11:e1005106. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005106


Rey et al. Glutaredoxin-BOLA Interactions


Saccharomyces cerevisiae can function as mitochondrial glutaredoxins. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 12744–12753. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R117.789537


Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Rey, Taupin-Broggini, Couturier, Vignols and Rouhier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.