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Abstract

The complement system (C) is a major piece of the inflammatory processes triggered after tissue injury. Since implication of the C has been demonstrated during neurodegeneration and Wallerian degeneration, without being clearly explained, we investigated the expression of C4 and clusterin mRNA, at the lesion site, after rat sciatic nerve crush injury. This pilot study was then realized over 28 days during peripheral nerve regeneration. We determined mRNA expression levels in naïve control animals (N) and 2, 7, 14 and 28 days (D) after crush experiment, by using semi-quantitative RT-PCR. We observed a basic constitutive expression of both mRNAs in group N. Clusterin mRNA level increased between D2 and D7 to reach 2.5-fold the basic level of expression (N) at D7 and D14, and slightly decreased until D28. C4 mRNA underwent a rapid and marked increase and represented 2–3-fold the N level from D2 to D14, then it decreased until D28 to return to the basic level of expression (N). These preliminary data exhibit very interesting individual variations in mRNA expression and show that a peripheral nerve trauma can stimulate the expression of C4 and clusterin mRNA at the lesion site. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.
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1. Introduction

Promoting peripheral nerve regeneration after traumatic injury is yet the major challenge encountered by surgeons and neuroscientists. Many ways have been explored to enhance axonal regrowth following tissue insult, ‘mechanical’ ones, like graft or tubular prosthesis, and ‘biochemical’ ones, consisting in exogenous factor (cellular or molecular) application at the lesion site (Seckel, 1990).

Tissue modifications occurring after peripheral nerve injury have been well documented (Danielsen, 1990), but the role of inflammatory reaction and mainly of its mediators, is still badly understood (Hirschberg et al., 1994; Lu and Richardson, 1991). Among these mediators, produced by inflammatory cells (leukocytes and macrophages), the complement system (C) has an essential role. Indeed, C activates phagocytosis via macrophage recruitment and opsonization and ends up to cytolysis via the mem-
brane attack complex (MAC). Moreover, the C has been demonstrated to act as an effector of demyelinating pathologies (Gay and Esiri, 1991) and one of its regulators, clusterin, is known to be involved in neurodegenerative diseases (McGeer and McGeer, 1992; Rosenberg and Silkensen, 1995). It has already been established that the classical pathway of the C cascade is activated and clusterin up-regulated, following sensory and motor axon injury (Liu et al., 1995). As regards the C classical pathway activation, Liu et al. (1995) have only inquired about C1 and C1q expression, but this pathway consists in C1, C2 and C4, this latter being one of the most regulated C factors (Liszewski et al., 1996) and one of the components of C3 and C5 convertases. Thus, the dysregulation of C4 expression could induce non-negligible side effects. The role of clusterin in tissue injury must also be emphasized as it consists in tissue remodelling and setting of injury, including inhibition of the C, lipid recycling, membrane protection and maintenance of cell interactions (Silkensen et al., 1994).

Then, C and regulators must be considered not only as exerting a degenerative activity but also as triggering repair mechanisms. To go further into the understanding of C involvement in peripheral nerve regeneration after a trauma, it appears indispensable to know how expression of C and regulators evolves at the lesion site. The aim of the present study was to investigate, primarily in a simple model of rat sciatic nerve crush, the time course of C4 and clusterin mRNA expression, using the accurate semi-quantitative RT-PCR method.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals and surgery

Adult male Sprague–Dawley rats (Charles River, Saint-Aubin lès Elbeuf, France), weighing 350–400 g, were used. Housed two or three to a cage, at a constant temperature of 21 ± 1°C, with a photoperiod of 12 h, they had free access to food and water. The rats were anaesthetized by intramuscular injection with a 0.3 ml of ketamine (Imalgène 1000, Rhône Mérieux, Lyon, France) and 0.3 ml xylazine (Rompum 2%, Bayer Pharma, Sens, France) cocktail (except non-operated naive animals). Under a surgical microscope and, as far as possible, under aseptic conditions, skin was cut and muscle was opened. Then, the right sciatic nerve was freed from surrounding tissue and crushed, at a midway point between the sciatic notch and the popliteal space, with a hemostatic clamp for 5 min. Superficial wounds were closed in layers (muscle and skin) by standard sutures and animals were allowed to recover in separate cages. Upon regaining consciousness, the animals were returned to their cage and allowed free access to food and water until sacrifice. This procedure was in agreement with French laws concerning animal experimentation.

2.2. Total RNAs preparation

Expression of C4 and clusterin genes was examined in sciatic nerve samples taken from naive control animals (N) and 2, 7, 14, 28 days (D) following surgery. At times of sacrifice, rats were anaesthetized with the same ketamine/xylazine cocktail as for surgery, both ipsilateral and contralateral sciatic nerves were exposed and quickly removed, placed in a sterile microcentrifuge tube and frozen in liquid nitrogen before storage at −70°C until use. Total RNAs were extracted from the sciatic nerves with the RNA QUICK™ II kit (Bioprobe Systems, Montreuil, France) according to the manufacturer instructions. RNA concentrations were determined from the absorbance at 260 nm. RNA integrity was controlled by visualization of the 18 S and 28 S ribosomal bands after ethidium bromide staining in 1% agarose gels. The RNA were stored at −70°C until use.

2.3. Semi-quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

2.3.1. RT

cDNA synthesis was performed with constant amounts of total RNAs (1.5 μg), containing β-actin (endogenous internal standard). Prior to PCR steps, the RT was carried out for 60 min at 37°C in 30 μl (final volume) with 1.5 μg of total RNAs, 30 U RNASin (Promega, Charbonnière, France), 250 pmol random hexamer primers (pdN6 from Pharmacia Biotech, Orsay, France), 5 mM DTT (Life Technolo-
and recombinant clones were identified by blue/white screening. Identity and orientation of inserts were checked by dideoxy sequencing at both ends using primers for pUC-based plasmids (Boehringer Mannheim, Meylan, France), [α<sup>33</sup>P]-dATP (3000 Ci/mmol, Isotopichim, Ganagobie-Peyruis, France) and a T7 polymerase sequencing kit (Pharmacia). The sequencing reactions were separated onto buffer-gradient gel, sequences were compared to rat sequences in DNASIS Software (Pharmacia), and found to be analogous to these latters.

Specific cDNA probes were prepared by PCR from positive clones and purified on agarose gel with the same procedure described above. For Southern blotting, probes were labelled by the random oligo procedure with [α<sup>32</sup>P]-dCTP (3000 Ci/mmol, Amersham, Les Ulis, France), and had a specific activity of 0.5 to 2 × 10<sup>9</sup> cpm/mg.

2.5. Southern blots

Southern blotting was performed by way of migration of 7 μl of RT-PCR products. After slight staining with ethidium bromide, the gel was treated 30 min in 0.4 NaOH and Southern blotting was realized in 0.4 NaOH with a vacuum apparatus (Vacugene™ XL, Pharmacia) onto Nylon membranes (Hybond N +, Amersham). Pre-hybridization (3 to 4 h, 42°C) and hybridization (16 to 20 h, 42°C) were done in a hybridization oven at high stringency: 50% deionized formamide; 5 × SSPE (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM EDTA, pH = 7.4); 5 × Denhardt’s reagent; 1% SDS; 5% dextran sulphate; and 100 μg/ml denatured and fragmented herring sperm DNA. Post-hybridization washings were done in: 2 × SSPE, 0.1% SDS, four times at room temperature; 2 × SSPE, 0.1% SDS, for 1 h at 68°C; 1 × SSPE, 0.1% SDS, for 1 h at 68°C. Autoradiographic exposures were performed onto Cronex 4 films (Dupont-NEN, Les Ulis, France) for a time ranging from 30 min to 1 h.

2.6. Semi-quantitative analysis of amplification products

Semi-quantitative analysis was performed using Lecphor 2.0 software (Biocom Image Station, Biocom, Les Ulis, France) to estimate intensity of signals featuring either standard (β-actin) or target
(clusterin or C4) mRNA (Fig. 1). Values of target mRNA expression were calculated as follows: for each group, image analysis gave two series of values, one for standard and the other one for target mRNA (3 or 4 values per group); each target value was corrected for the difference of percentage between its corresponding standard value and the mean standard value (standard values are considered not to vary during all the experiment). Mean ± SD was calculated for each group (N, D2, D7, D14, D28), and reported on graphics, as relative units, as function of surgical groups.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was performed by ANOVA and Fisher’s PLSD F test using StatView 512 +™ 1.0 software for Macintosh.

3. Results

3.1. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR optimization

During RT and PCR, manipulation variations can be corrected by performing a coamplification of the factor of interest with an endogenous internal standard (which expression is considered as constant and constitutive) or an exogenous internal standard (which expression is fixed up by introducing the same quantity of its RNA in all samples before performing RT) (Dukas et al., 1993). Competition between standard and target templates during PCR implies many trials to determine optimal primer ratio (1/5), amplification cycle number (16) and annealing temperature (57°C and 59°C), so as to obtain uniform coamplification (data not shown). The optimal number of PCR cycles allows the quantification of amplification products while remaining in the exponential amplification zone, beneath the ‘plateau phase’ (Morrison and Gannon, 1994). PCR primers were chosen, whenever it was possible, at splice junction on the RNA or DNA sequence or in two different exons, respectively to avoid or recognize genomic amplification products. Each couple of primers was specific for each studied factor, A/T and G/C contents were approximately equivalent to have similar Tm for 5’ and 3’ primers, and finally complementary sequences in primers were avoided to impede dimer formation and artefactual amplifications.

3.2. Clusterin mRNA (Fig. 2A) and C4 mRNA (Fig. 2B) expression

For both factors, we observed a constitutive mRNA expression at a low but notable level, since we detected signals in both N groups. Clusterin mRNA expression began to increase after D2. It reached a maximum of expression at D7–D14, that represented 2.5-fold the N level. Then, although the D28 mean ± S.D. was not significantly different from the N one, it seemed that clusterin mRNA expression decreased from D14 to D28, to a level still higher than the N one.

Compared to clusterin, C4 mRNA expression underwent an earlier increase, that occurred before D2.

Fig. 1. Southern blots representing the co-expression of β-actin/clusterin (A) and β-actin/C4 (B) mRNA in sciatic nerves of adult rats: naive control animals (N) and 2 (D2), 7 (D7), 14 (D14), 28 (D28) days after crush injury. β-actin mRNA was used as an endogenous internal standard for both semi-quantitative RT-PCR experiments. n = 3 or 4 animals per group.
4. Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate that both clusterin and C4 mRNAs are constitutively expressed and increased at the lesion site following rat sciatic nerve crush. Indeed, clusterin mRNA expression reaches a maximum at D7–D14, and C4 mRNA expression at D2–D14.

Liu et al. (1995) provided evidence for C classical pathway and regulator activation after sciatic nerve transection. They observed an increase of C1 and C1q immunoreactivity as soon as D2, which remained high until D28. These authors also demonstrated the up-regulation of clusterin mRNA from D2 to D7, and of clusterin immunoreactivity from D2 to D28. The slight discrepancies observed at D2 and D28 could be explained by the fact that their experiments were carried out in the spinal cord, after sciatic nerve transection, and by other technical approaches. Our results confirm and extend this study, since we demonstrate a markedly enhanced C4 mRNA expression at the crush site, which strengthens the hypothesis of C classical pathway activation.

Following a peripheral nerve injury, regeneration cannot occur properly if Wallerian degeneration is not achieved (Beuche and Friede, 1984; Dahlin, 1995; Perry et al., 1987). Macrophage recruitment and myelin debris phagocytosis are critical processes, as they clear the way for proliferating Schwann cells, which are the main support for spontaneously regenerating peripheral axons. Previous studies, realized in our laboratory, have demonstrated that microglial cells and astrocytes are both able to synthesize all the C components as well as clusterin (Gasque et al., 1992, 1993, 1995). By analogy with CNS cells, we could postulate that Schwann cells, participating to the PNS scaffold tissue like astrocytes in the CNS, and macrophages recruited at the lesion site represent the best candidates to assume the production of C components and clusterin.

We observed that the stimulation of C4 mRNA expression started earlier than the clusterin one. That could support the idea that the activation of C cascade plays a role in Wallerian degeneration, mainly by stimulating macrophage recruitment (anaphylatoxins) and myelin phagocytosis (opsonization). Schwann cells could also participate to this step, since they are able to exert a phagocytic activity (Fernandez-Valle et al., 1995; Reichert et al., 1994).

Moreover, the delayed expression of clusterin mRNA could be emphasized by its functions in the regulation of the C terminal pathway and in tissue...
remodelling (Rosenberg and Silkensen, 1995; Silkensen et al., 1994). On the one hand, clusterin is considered as an inhibitor of the MAC formation and subsequently of the C-mediated cytolysis. On the other hand, clusterin is involved in lipid recycling, membrane protection and maintenance of cell–cell or cell–substratum interactions. The combination of these effects leads us to think that clusterin production at the lesion site could provide a favorable environment to Schwann cell proliferation and axonal regrowth.

This pilot work on C4 and clusterin expression at the site of the crush injury needs obviously to be further investigated. Determination of the time course of other C components and regulators expression has to be achieved as well as the identification of their cellular sources. Furthermore, it would be very interesting to inquire about the in situ effects of the administration of C components and regulators, at the lesion site. For instance, using a model of peripheral nerve regeneration through a tubular prosthesis (Seckel, 1990) would be greatly valuable to point out neuroprotective or neurodestructive effects of inflammatory mediators mainly recognized yet for their immune properties.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to address very special thanks to Dr. N. Bodjarian for precious help in the editing of the manuscript.

References