Versatile modes of cellular regulation via cyclic dinucleotides

Petya Violinova Krasteva, Holger Sondermann

▶ **To cite this version:**

Petya Violinova Krasteva, Holger Sondermann. Versatile modes of cellular regulation via cyclic dinucleotides. Nature Chemical Biology, 2017, 13 (4), pp.350 - 359. 10.1038/nchembio.2337 . cea-01887446

HAL Id: cea-01887446
https://cea.hal.science/cea-01887446

Submitted on 19 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Nat Chem Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 22.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Chem Biol. 2017 March 22; 13(4): 350–359. doi:10.1038/nchembio.2337.

Versatile modes of cellular regulation via cyclic dinucleotides

Petya Violinova Krasteva[1,2,#] and **Holger Sondermann**[3,#]

[1]Unité G5 Biologie Structurale de la Sécrétion Bactérienne, UMR 3528 – CNRS, Institut Pasteur, Paris 75015, France

[2]Structural Biology of Biofilms Group, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), CEA, CNRS, Université Paris Sud, 1 avenue de la terrasse, 91198 Gif sur Yvette, France

[3]Department of Molecular Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Abstract

Since the discovery of c-di-GMP almost three decades ago, cyclic dinucleotides (CDNs) have emerged as widely used signaling molecules in most kingdoms of life. The family of second messengers now includes c-di-AMP and distinct versions of mixed cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) compounds. Along with these nucleotides, a vast number of proteins for the production and turnover of these molecules have been described, as well as effectors that translate the signals into physiological responses. The latter include but are not limited to mechanisms for adaptation and survival in prokaryotes, persistence and virulence of bacterial pathogens, as well as immune responses to viral and bacterial invasion in eukaryotes. In this review we will focus on recent discoveries and emerging themes that illustrate the ubiquity and versatility of cyclic dinucleotide function at the transcriptional and post-translational levels and, in particular, on insights gained through mechanistic structure-function analyses.

Introduction

In 1987, the discovery of cyclic 3′,5′-diguanylic acid (c-di-GMP) as an allosteric regulator of cellulose biosynthesis in *Gluconacetobacter xylinus*[1] was reported (Figure 1a). The group behind this study also described the first c-di-GMP binding protein associated with the cellulose synthase complex[2] and the diguanylate cyclases (DGCs) and phosphodiesterases (PDEs) responsible for c-di-GMP production and degradation, respectively (Figure 1b)[1,3]. Despite these early discoveries, their impact only became evident later when c-di-GMP and its metabolizing enzymes were identified in multiple other bacteria including *Caulobacter crescentus* – a popular model for studying asymmetric cell division[4,5], *Escherichia coli* – the workhorse of bacterial genetics[6], and pathogenic *Salmonella enterica*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Vibrio cholerae*[6–9]. These and related works were fueled by comprehensive bioinformatics studies that revealed the conservation of c-di-GMP metabolizing units in the vast majority of bacterial species[10]. Over the following decade, c-di-GMP transpired as a

[#]To whom correspondence should be addressed: petya.krasteva@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr or hs293@cornell.edu.

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

key bacterial second messenger whose underlying signaling networks control major adaptational and life-style changes, including biofilm formation and pathogenicity (Figure 2).

Trailing the discovery of c-di-GMP by about two decades, the second CDN, c-di-AMP, surfaced serendipitously during crystallographic analyses of a bacterial checkpoint protein that turned out to harbor diadenylate cyclase (DAC) activity (Figures 1a and 1c)[11]. C-di-AMP has since been found in many bacterial and archeal species. Interestingly, c-di-AMP can be both essential, which makes it unique among second messengers, and toxic, when overproduced[12–14]. Similarly to c-di-GMP, c-di-AMP controls a spectrum of cellular processes, including gene expression[15], DNA repair[11], cell wall synthesis[16], metabolism[17] and potassium homeostasis (Figure 2)[12,18,19].

The latest additions to the family of CDNs are hybrid cGAMP molecules (Figure 1a). 3′,3′-cGAMP was first identified as a second messenger regulating chemotaxis and intestinal colonization in *V. cholerae*[20], but shortly thereafter numerous reports revealed a distinct 2′, 3′-cGAMP as key to innate immune signaling and antiviral response in eukaryotes (Figure 2).

Adding to the complexity of CDN signal transduction is the conformational flexibility of the nucleotides themselves, both in solution and bound to proteins and riboswitches (Figures 3a and 3b). This degree of freedom contributes not only to the versatility and vast spectrum of processes controlled by these ubiquitous second messengers, but also to difficulties in the prediction of novel CDN targets.

Cyclic dinucleotide (CDN) synthesis and degradation

Distinct enzymes are responsible for the biosynthesis and degradation of the different CDNs (Figure 1c). C-di-GMP-producing DGCs contain GGDEF domains with adenylyl cyclase-like folds[21,22]. The first structure of a DGC, PleD from *C. crescentus*, also revealed the so-called inhibitory (I−) site as prevalent among GGDEF domain-containing proteins[22] (Figure 1b). A conserved I-site RxxD motif partakes in a secondary c-di-GMP binding site, that is distal from the enzyme's active site and can be involved in negative-feedback regulation and/or protein-protein interactions[22,23]. For c-di-GMP degradation, β-barrel EAL or the unrelated HD-GYP domains confer specific PDE activity to proteins[24,25]. While HD-GYP domains typically break the CDN fully to GMP, EAL domains usually lead to the accumulation of linear di-GMP (pGpG), which may suggest additional roles for pGpG in intracellular signaling[26]. Recently, oligoribonuclease was shown to be the main enzyme to complete the catabolism of pGpG to GMP[27,28] (Figure 1c).

Typically, GGDEF and EAL domains are part of multidomain proteins and are flanked by regulatory modules involved in environmental sensing and activity control by altering the intramolecular domain arrangement and/or oligomeric state of the proteins (Figure 1b)[10]. This includes proteins where the regulatory modules can be catalytically inactive GGDEF or EAL domains[5], as well as proteins with dual catalytic activity. In addition, most bacteria encode more than one GGDEF, EAL, or tandem domain-containing protein and their

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

number and complexity of the downstream signaling networks correlates roughly with the adaptability and 'IQ' of the organism in changing environmental conditions[29].

While c-di-AMP-producing DAC domains are structurally distinct from GGDEF domains, they also occur as modules in larger proteins, where additional domains can impact the enzymes' quaternary structure and activity[30]. Another parallel to c-di-GMP signaling is the occurrence of c-di-AMP-specific PDE activity in two different protein folds, DHH/DHHA1 and HD domains, (Figure 1c)[13,31], but thus far, c-di-AMP signaling networks are perceived as less complex and widespread than their c-di-GMP counterparts.

V. cholerae DncV and metazoan cGAS are functional homologs producing 3′,3′-cGAMP and 2′,3′-cGAMP, respectively (Figure 1c)[32]. Interestingly, although they share less than 10% sequence homology and use distinct reaction paths to generate their products, they share striking structural homology[32]. Reverse engineering of the human cGAS' active site based on that of DncV produced cGAS variants that synthesized exclusively 3′,3′-cGAMP[32]. Furthermore, a recent study also identified folate as an unexpected regulator of DncV[33]. Although the exact purpose of regulation by folate is not well understood, it is intriguing to note that folate binds to DncV in a similar pocket as double-stranded DNA does to cGAS[33]. Together, these observations paint an evolutionary picture in which metazoan cells could have adopted a bacterial cyclase to create, with relatively modest changes, a cytosolic DNA sensor as defence against intracellular pathogens. Alternatively, the occurance of cGAS-like activity in two kigndoms of life could indicate convergent enzyme evolution as a result of similar environmental pressures or cues.

Adding to the complexity of CDN signaling, a recent study identified GGDEF domain-containing proteins, dubbed hybrid promiscuous (Hypr) GGDEF enzymes, that produced 3′, 3′-cGAMP and c-di-AMP, in addition to c-di-GMP, as a function of cellular ATP:GTP ratio (Figure 1c)[34]. This raises the question whether other enzymes exist that can produce alternative linkages or use distinct substrates, expanding the second messenger chemical space and potential physiological effects.

CDN protein sensors and physiological effects

Today's wealth of DNA sequencing data and cross-genome comparative studies has allowed the identification of conserved signaling modules implicated in both CDN metabolism and signal transmission[10]. As discussed, bacteria can encode multiple conserved GGDEF and EAL domain-containing proteins and while a number of these modules lack conserved residues necessary for catalysis, they could nevertheless serve in dinucleotide signal relay. To date, several examples of inactive EAL domains binding c-di-GMP at their degenerate active sites have been described as signal transduction modules[35,36]. The I-site on GGDEF domains, on the other hand, can not only serve for feedback inhibition in the case of active enzymes, but can also provide a mechanism for c-di-GMP sensing and/or signal transmission in both degenerate and active DGCs[23,37,38]. Bioinformatics studies have also pinpointed PilZ domains as *bona fide* c-di-GMP sensors based on a phyletic distribution similar to those of GGDEF and EAL modules and a likely role in c-di-GMP mediated processes[39]. While such 'educated guesswork' has identified a number of other CDN

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

sensors as well[40–42], recent advances have offered various unbiased screening approaches. An important example is the development of functionalized (e.g. biotinylated) dinucleotide homologues as capture compounds for the selective pull-down of CDN-binding proteins[17,18,43,44]. In addition, the Differential Radial Capillary Action of Ligand Assay (DRaCALA) – a method relying on labeled, protein-bound ligand retention upon spotting onto a nitrocellulose membrane – has been used with both purified proteins and expression library lysates for the systematic identification of novel CDN sensors[18,45,46].

Transmembrane signaling through CDN turnover domains

Many proteobacteria are expected to utilize a tripartite ‘inside-out’ signaling system for biofilm formation (Figure 4a)[36,47]. In various Pseudomonads, the core of the system consists of the transmembrane c-di-GMP sensor LapD, a periplasmic protease LapG and a surface adhesion system[36,47,48]. LapD is a dimeric, transmembrane protein with a catalytically inactive cytosolic GGDEF-EAL tandem that senses intracellular c-di-GMP. At low c-di-GMP levels LapD adopts an autoinhibited conformation. In it the GGDEF module occludes the c-di-GMP-binding pocket on the EAL domain as the latter interacts with a helical extension (signaling or S-helix) of the membrane-proximal HAMP domain[36]. Increased cellular c-di-GMP has been proposed to induce ‘swing-and-lock’ conformational changes in the cytosolic modules, which relieve the autoinhibition and are stabilized by direct EAL domain dimerization[36]. Importantly, the HAMP domain transmits these changes to the periplasmic Per/Arnt/Sim (PAS)-like output domain, upon which LapD sequesters its partner protease LapG and prevents proteolytic release of biofilm-associated surface adhesins[36,47,49].

While this model explains ‘inside-out’ transmission of the c-di-GMP signal, it does not explain CDN access to its binding pockets in the first place. Follow-up studies on the periplasmic domain’s interaction with LapG suggested that LapD acts as a transmembrane coincidence detector: transient, low-affinity LapDG interactions generate ‘outside-in’ signals that destabilize the S-helix-EAL domain autoinhibitory interaction. Coincident increase in c-di-GMP would in turn stabilize the active intracellular conformation and signal out to enhance periplasmic LapG sequestration[49].

The ‘outside-in’ signaling component suggests that LapD may have evolved from active transmembrane enzymes that sense periplasmic signals to adjust their intracellular catalytic activity. One such example is the conserved YfiBNR tripartite system that activates upon cell wall and/or periplasmic redox stress in *P. aeruginosa* (Figure 4b)[50,51]. YfiN is a dimeric, transmembrane DGC with a periplasmic PAS domain and an intracellular HAMP-GGDEF module. Upon cell envelope stress, the periplasmic protein YfiR gets released from an inhibitory complex with the cyclase’s PAS domain to interact with an outer membrane acceptor, YfiB. Concomitantly, the conformational changes in the freed PAS domain are transmitted intracellularly to activate the DGC via GGDEF domain dimerization[50]. Thus, the molecular events regulating YfiN are very similar but reversed to those described for the LapDG system, suggesting that the latter could have diverged from active outside-in signaling enzymes.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

CDN-binding transcription factors

Gene expression modulation constitutes a recurrent theme in CDN signal transduction. It can be achieved at the translational level through CDN-binding riboswitches or at the transcription initiation level through targeting transcription factors (Figure 2 and Figure 5). While only one protein – the repressor DarR controlling fatty acid metabolism and cold shock protein expression in *Mycobacterium smegmatis* – has been identified as a direct transcription effector specific for c-di-AMP[15], c-di-GMP targets several and diverse transcription regulators (Figure 3). Examples include the master biofilm regulators VpsT (Figure 5a) and VpsR of *V. cholerae*[41,42], the mobility regulator FleQ of *P. aeruginosa* (Figure 5b) and its homolog FlrA of *V. cholerae*[40,52], the sporulation repressor BldD in filamentous actinomycetes (Figure 5c)[53], the CRP/FNR-like virulence gene regulator Clp of *Xanthomonas spp.*[54], and the TetR-like regulator of lipid transport and metabolism genes in *M. smegmatis,* LtmA[55]. As opposed to membrane-associated signaling proteins, c-di-GMP–dependent transcription factors typically lack standard recognition modules such as GGDEF, EAL or PilZ domains.

Several of the identified c-di-GMP-regulated transcription factors, including VpsT, FleQ, and FlrA, can be viewed as orphan response regulators (RRs) that contain a phosphorylation-incompetent receiver (REC) domain and/or lack an associated histidine kinase (Figures 5a and 5b). VpsT inversely controls *Vibrio* exopolysaccharide secretion and flagellar mobility to induce biofilm formation in response to elevated c-di-GMP[41]. It belongs to the LuxR/FixJ family of RRs and carries a helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA-binding module C-terminal to its REC domain (Figure 5a)[41]. The latter utilizes two unusual dimerization interfaces: i) an α1 dimerization interface that is sampled in the absence of dinucleotide and ii) a dimerization interface provided by a C-terminal helical extension of the canonical REC domain fold, α6, that both contributes to and depends on dimer-to-dimer CDN recognition[41]. Dimeric c-di-GMP binds to an exposed M(W/F/M)(T/S)RK motif in a pocket formed at the N-proximal end of this interface (Figures 5a and 3)[41]. While structural *in vitro* analyses suggested the formation of higher-order VpsT oligomers exploiting both dimerization interfaces, fluorescence imaging studies have visualized the c-di-GMP-dependent formation of such macromolecular VpsT clusters *in cellulo*[56]. The resultant reorganization of bound promoter DNA causing downstream effects on target gene expression, however, remains to be further examined.

Although FleQ and FlrA contain a divergent REC domain at their N-terminus, c-di-GMP binds to a central AAA+ ATPase σ^{54}-interaction (AAA) domain that precedes the C-terminal HTH module (Figure 5b). By domain organization and sequence homology the proteins are classified as NtrC-like bacterial enhancer binding proteins (bEBPs) but are subject to non-canonical signal regulation. FleQ controls flagellar motility, CdrAB adhesin expression and Pel exopolysaccharide secretion to secure planktonic to sessile transition. Mechanistically, it exhibits remarkable versatility as it can act as an ATP- and σ^{54}-dependent transcription activator, a nucleotide-independent repressor or, finally, an ATP-independent, c-di-GMP- and σ^{70}-dependent transcription activator depending on the target promoters and nucleotide availability[57,58].

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Recent structural data of the apo–, ADP– and ATP-γ-S–bound AAA domain of FleQ reveal conformations consistent with the activated hexameric rings of classical bEBPs (Figure 5b)[59]. While at flagellar promoters the hexamers likely activate σ^{54}–dependent transcription through ATP hydrolysis, at the *pel* promoter they are proposed to act as repressors. As revealed by the crystal structure of the c-di-GMP–complexed AAA domain, binding of c-di-GMP occurs at a composite site distinct from the ATP substrate pocket and leads allosterically to active site obstruction, hexameric ring destabilization and putative reorganization into a discrete dimer-of-trimers hexameric species (Figures 5b and 3b)[59]. This is proposed to facilitate not only flagellar gene deactivation, but also *pel* promoter de-repression and the characteristic σ^{70}-dependent transcription activation[59].

FleQ binds dimeric c-di-GMP through a trio of conserved motifs (Figures 5b and 3a): a proximal LFR^{144}S motif (R-switch) located at the N-terminus of the bilobal AAA domain, residues R^{185}N^{186} in helix α7 in the N-terminal lobe (post-Walker A), and a distal E^{330}xxxR^{334} motif in helix α13 of the C-terminal lobe. These motifs are conserved in FlrA, where earlier modeling and functional studies had helped identify the key role of arginines R^{135} and R^{176} (R^{144} and R^{185} in FleQ, respectively) in CDN binding[52,59]. Interestingly, while VpsR shares very similar domain architecture and has been shown to bind c-di-GMP[42], it features a phosphorylation-competent REC domain and does not share conservation with FleQ/FlrA's c-di-GMP binding motifs[59,60].

Sporulating actinomycetes' BldD employs a drastically different mode of c-di-GMP sensing (Figures 5c and 3)[53]. It binds DNA through an N-terminal XRE HTH motif and acts as a master regulator to inhibit sporulation during vegetative growth[61]. BldD of *Streptomyces venezuelae* was recovered during c-di-GMP-affinity purification of cell lysates and c-di-GMP was confirmed to bind and induce dimerization of its C-terminal winged-helix domain (BldD^{CTD}) *in vitro*[53]. Strikingly, crystal structures of BldD^{CTD}–c-di-GMP complexes (*S. venezuelae* and *S. coelicor*), as well as of full length BldD bound to both c-di-GMP and cognate DNA, demonstrate that c-di-GMP binds as an ion-free tetramer to form a bridge between the two BldD^{CTD} modules that are otherwise ~10 Å apart (Figures 5c and 3)[53]. The CDN binds to a consensus RxD-x$_8$-RxxD motif as two hydrogen-bonded, intercalated dimers in a sequential and cooperative manner (Figures 5c and 3a). This leads to BldD dimerization stabilized by weak hydrophobic contacts between the HTH motifs and by binding to the pseudo-palindromic cognate DNA[53].

Membrane transport and ion homeostasis

While cellular homeostasis requires constant transport of small molecules and ions across the plasma membrane, some cells actively secrete substances as a hallmark of their physiology or differentiation. CDNs have been shown not only to regulate such processes in both pro- and eukaryotic cells, but also in some cases to signal *in trans,* i.e. by traversing the envelope of their cells of origin.

Bacterial potassium transporters are central to the upkeep of osmotic and pH homeostasis. Based on unbiased c-di-AMP-affinity pull-downs, the KtrAB complex of *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified as a direct c-di-AMP target (Figure 2) and similar regulatory

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

mechanisms have been since confirmed in additional species[12,18]. Potassium transport is mediated by the membrane KtrB subunit, which forms a four-repeat pseudosymmetric transporter related to tetrameric cation channels (Figure 6a). A KtrB dimer interacts with a KtrA octamer, which in turn senses cellular ATP to regulate transport[62]. The KtrA monomers have a bilobal architecture: the ATP-binding N-terminal RCK_N (Regulator of Conductance for K^+) lobes form an octameric ring interacting with KtrB, while the C-terminal RCK_C domains form peripheral pairwise contacts (Figure 6a)[62]. Nucleotide binding to RCK_N has been proposed to induce conformational changes in the KtrA ring that are transmitted to the KtrB module[62]. C-di-AMP, on the other hand, binds as a U-shaped monomer to the RCK_C dimers and could thus limit the conformational cycle of the active transporter, consistent with its inhibitory effects on potassium transport[18,63].

The effects of c-di-AMP on ion homeostasis are not limited to the KtrAB duo. C-di-AMP has been shown to bind to the RCK_C domain of another *S. aureus* transporter, the cation-proton antiporter CpaA, and to the KdpD sensor histidine kinase, which typically controls the expression of another potassium uptake system, as well as several virulence factors in the pathogen[18,19].

The most surprising role of CDNs in ion homeostasis, however, is the recent discovery that c-di-GMP, c-di-AMP and both cGAMP variants can bind and modulate the activity of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channels in mammalian cardiac pacemaker myocytes[64]. HCN channels are tetrameric ion channels with an N-terminal voltage sensor extension to their membrane pore module and a C-terminal CRP/FNR-like cyclic nucleotide-binding domain (Figure 6b). cGMP (or cAMP) binding to the latter is transmitted via an α-helical linker (‘C-linker’) to the pore to enhance HCN channel opening in response to voltage, thus leading to increased heart rate. Strikingly, the C-linker was shown to bind a variety of CDNs both *in silico* and in isolated myocytes *ex vivo*, with net inhibitory effect on action potential firing (Figure 6b)[64]. This raises interesting questions such as whether myocytes produce endogenous cGAMP to regulate HCN activity and heart rate, whether pathogen-secreted CDNs can affect heart rate to cause morbidity (e.g. by heart-affecting intracellular *Listeria*) or whether such modulation is coincidental but could be exploited pharmacologically[64].

C-di-GMP control of bacterial secretion systems (SS)

Bacterial SS are macromolecular nanomachines securing the physical conduit, protection and energetics for the export of a versatile arsenal of biopolymers across the double bacterial envelope in Gram-negative and mycobacterial species[65]. Secretion is often key for bacterial adaptation, competitiveness, and virulence, and in many cases is regulated by c-di-GMP either at gene expression or by targeting proteins that regulate or directly partake in secretion at the cell envelope. For example, the LapDG system regulates surface maintenance of the Type I SS-transported adhesin LapA in *P. fluorescens*, while it controls the adhesin CdrA, cargo of a two-partner Type Vb secretion pair, in *P. aeruginosa* (Figure 4a)[36,47,48]. In parallel, the expression of these adhesins is regulated by the c-di-GMP-sensing FleQ, thus providing an additional regulatory boost to the system (Figure 5b)[48].

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Among identified c-di-GMP sensors are also various SS-powering ATPases. Examples include Type IV pili/Type II SS-associated MshE homologues from *V. cholerae* and *P. aeruginosa,* the flagellar export ATPase FliL from diverse bacteria and rotary ATPases HrcN and ClpB2 associated with pseudomonad Type III and Type VI SS, respectively[46,66] (Figure 2). Residues key for c-di-GMP recognition have been identified for some of these targets and the recognition mechanisms appear to differ significantly from that of the ATPase FleQ[59]. For example, recent work demonstrated that MshE binds c-di-GMP at its N-terminal domain primarily through hydrophobic interactions with a 24-residue-long RLGxx(*L/V/I)(L/V/I*)xx*G*(*L/V/I)(L/V/I*)xxxxLxxxLxxQ sequence[67].

Perhaps the archetypal SS under c-di-GMP control are the ones for exopolysaccharide secretion, which build up the biofilm matrix in many species and where c-di-GMP was originally discovered as a regulatory effector (Figure 7a)[1]. Again, c-di-GMP can act at gene expression by binding non-canonical transcription factors (e.g. VpsT, VpsR or FleQ[41,42,58]), or by directly binding regulatory modules associated with the secretion machineries. Examples include synthase-dependent systems for cellulose secretion in various species, the Pel and alginate systems in *P. aeruginosa*, and the poly-N-acetylglucosamine (PNAG) secretion system in *E. coli* (Figure 7a). Interestingly, while these systems likely share functional and architectural similarities, the mechanisms of c-di-GMP activation are strikingly diverse[68].

Mechanistically best-studied is the BcsA-BcsB cellulose biosynthetic complex of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* (Figure 7b)[69]. BcsB is an accessory protein essential for cellulose secretion, whereas BcsA integrates glycosyl-transferase (GT), inner-membrane transporter, and c-di-GMP sensing activities[69]. Nucleotide-free BcsA rests in an autoinhibited conformation where the GT active site is capped by a conserved ‘gating loop’, which protrudes from the lipid headgroup layer to block substrate entry[69]. C-di-GMP binds to the C-terminal PilZ domain as a dimer, interacting with a conserved $D^{609}xS^{611}xxG^{614}$ motif on the β-barrel surface and π-stacking with two arginines ($R^{580}xxxR^{584}$ motif) from the membrane-proximal PilZ domain linker (Figure 7c). Nucleotide coordination by R^{580} thus breaks a gating loop-tethering salt bridge to relieve the autoinhibition and allow substrate coordination and catalysis[69]. Interestingly, cellulose secretion in *E. coli, Salmonella*, and other enterobacteria is controlled by an additional c-di-GMP-sensing module. The cytosolic BcsE protein binds c-di-GMP via a conserved I-site-like motif (RxGD) in its so-called GIL domain and contributes to maximal cellulose production through an unresolved mechanism[70].

Two of the three *P. aeruginosa* exopolysaccharide SS employ a c-di-GMP-activatable, synthase-dependent mechanism similar to that for cellulose secretion (Figure 7a)[68]. Interestingly, while the alginate system employs a PilZ domain-containing c-di-GMP sensor, Alg44, Pel exopolysaccharide secretion is regulated by the degenerate GGDEF domain of the inner membrane protein PelD[38,39,68]. To date, only structures of PelD’s cytosolic C-terminal GAF-GGDEF domain module have been resolved and show one or two U-shaped c-di-GMP molecules bound to the conserved I-site (R^{367}GLD) of the protein[71,72]. Further investigation is necessary to uncover how the activating signal is transmitted to the partner GT PelF and the putative inner-membrane transporter PelG.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Finally, PNAG biogenesis relies on a yet different c-di-GMP regulatory mechanism (Figure 7a). The CDN is sensed by a two-partner module comprising the GT-transporter protein PgaC and the small membrane protein PgaD, essential for PNAG production[73]. At low c-di-GMP, PgaD fails to interact with PgaC and is rapidly degraded, which effectively inhibits secretion. C-di-GMP is proposed to coordinate between membrane-proximal arginine residues from the two proteins, thus stabilizing the PgaCD interaction, protecting PgaD from degradation and securing active PNAG polymerization and export[73].

Extra- and intercellular CDN signaling

C-di-AMP is the first CDN for which transmembrane export has been demonstrated experimentally (Figure 2). Intracellular *L. monocytogenes* secretes c-di-AMP in a multidrug efflux pump (MDR)-dependent manner and thus activates the STING-dependent Type I interferon response in host cells[74]. The main contributors to the export – MdrM and MdrT – belong to the major facilitator superfamily of MDRs, which are polysubstrate-specific multipass membrane proteins typically operating through rocker-switch alternating-access mechanism[75]. An MDR-deletion mutant exhibits increased sensitivity to sub-lethal concentrations of vancomycin – an antibiotic that blocks peptidoglycan crosslinking and induces cell wall stress[16]. As both addition of c-di-AMP to the growth medium and intracellular overexpression of a DAC mitigate the vancomycin effects on the MDR-less mutant[16], it is possible that c-di-AMP has both extracellular and intracellular roles in cell wall homeostasis. Furthermore, as intracellular accumulation of c-di-AMP can be toxic, transmembrane export might provide an alternative to enzymatic reduction of its levels[13,14].

In the dawn of c-di-GMP signaling research, the CDN was generally defined as unique for bacteria. Nevertheless, it is now known that ‘social’ amoebae such as *Dictyostelium discoideum* can express conserved GGDEF domain-containing DGCs (Figure 1c), whose c-di-GMP product likely acts as an intercellular, secreted signal to trigger multicellular stalk differentiation upon nutrient depletion[76]. How the dinucleotide is exported and sensed by the cells, however, remains unknown.

While no extracellular role for prokaryotic cGAMP has been reported, the 2′-3′ eukaryotic version employs various mechanisms for horizontal signal propagation. For example, cGAMP produced by virus-infected cells in response to pathogen DNA can be efficiently packaged into new virions and thus transferred to activate anti-viral signaling in secondary infected cells[77,78]. In addition, 2′-3′ cGAMP can spread horizontally into neighboring host cells via low-selectivity connexin gap junctions. Interestingly, while in viral infections this can prime bystander cells for antiviral response, metastasizing brain carcinoma can hijack the pathway to drive astrocytes into secreting tumor-protective paracrine signals[79,80]. Finally, the only PDE known to break eukaryotic cGAMP, ENPP1, is a single-pass membrane protein with extracellular catalytic C-terminal domain[81]. It is therefore possible that – similarly to c-di-AMP in *Listeria* and c-di-GMP in *Dictyostelium* – eukaryotic cGAMP has evolved a mechanism for membrane export of its own.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Eukaryotic sensing through STING

STING (stimulator of interferon genes) is a dimeric, membrane-bound immune system protein that was identified as key to TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1)-dependent, CDN-induced Type I interferon response by a forward mutagenesis genetic screen in mice[82]. STING was confirmed as a direct c-di-GMP/c-di-AMP target[83] and, with the discovery of the cytosolic DNA-sensing cGAS, as an even more potent receptor for mammalian cGAMP[84–87]. Structural studies have been limited to the soluble, C-terminal CDN-binding domain and numerous crystal structures of its CDN-free and -bound forms from various species have been reported (e.g. Figure 8)[88–96].

The STING CTD protomers adopt a characteristic α/β fold with a central twisted β-sheet surrounded by four α-helices (Figure 8). Of the latter, helix α1 (α5 in the full-length protein) forms an extended, ~40 Å-long secondary structure element, which carries characteristic kinks introduced by a π-helical insertion (Ser^{162}-Arg^{169} for human STING) and a conserved proline residue (Pro^{173})[88–96]. In all structures, as well as in solution, apo-STING adopts a homodimeric V-shaped fold. It harbors a deep central cleft, which is lined by the kinked α1 helices and accommodates the long and mostly unstructured β2-β3 loops of each subunit[88,91,93–95]. While dimerization is mainly mediated by conserved hydrophobic interactions involving N-proximal residues of α1 and part of α3, the dimer exhibits significant conformational variations among solved apo-structures. In particular, structures of the human protein show a relatively splayed dimer[88,91,95], whereas mouse STING crystallizes in a closed conformation with the two protomers rotated and brought in together relative to the 2-fold symmetry axis[93]. Interestingly, an ancient anemone STING homolog was crystallized in both an ‘open’ and a ‘closed’ state suggesting that the two likely represent alternatively sampled conformations rather than species-specific differences among homologs[94].

The two states appear to discriminate among activating ligands and thus can explain differences in the physiological response to pathogen-derived versus endogenous CDNs. All CDN ligands bind in monomeric, U-shaped conformations at the central cleft of the dimer (Figure 8). In all but one c-di-GMP-bound structures, STING exhibits minor conformational changes relative to the apo-protein, with an overall splayed conformation and only subtle rearrangements in the β2-β3 loops (Figure 8a)[88,89,91,95]. The interaction between STING and c-di-GMP is mediated by direct and solvent-mediated hydrogen bonds, as well as by unique stacking interactions between the purine rings of the ligand and the phenolic group of Tyr^{167} from the α1 π-helix[88,89,91,95].

By contrast, all 2′,3′-cGAMP-bound mouse, human and even anemone variants not only adopt a ‘closed’ conformation, but also reveal restructuring of the β2-β3 loops into a four-stranded antiparallel β-sheet (Figure 8b). The latter forms a ‘lid’ that caps the top of the CDN binding pocket and further restricts solvent access[92,94,96]. Apart from the pivotal role of Tyr^{167}, the dinucleotide is further stabilized by stacking interactions with Arg^{238}, which is itself buttressed by another lid’ residue, Tyr^{240} [92,96]. While one of the c-di-GMP-bound structures features similar lid rearrangements and closed conformation[90], it features the hSTING-R^{232} variant that is both more responsive to c-di-GMP activation and binds 2′,3′-

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

cGAMP with much higher affinity than the human reference variant hSTING-H^{232} [84,96]. This can explain the particular crystallographic capture of hSTING-R^{232} in a closed conformation even in the presence of c-di-GMP, as observed in one of the two such structures reported[90,91].

Importantly, although anemone STING recognizes a 3′-3′-linked cGAMP signal naturally and binds the dinucleotide in yet a different splayed conformation, its mode of 2′,3′-cGAMP coordination is virtually identical to those of the human and mouse variants[94]. These data suggest that potent metazoan 2′,3′-cGAMP-STING activation possibly evolved through the exploitation of a highly conserved STING conformational intermediate that is not typically sampled by prokaryotic CDNs[94].

Many unanswered questions remain in the field. It is still unclear how CDN binding to STING leads to downstream TBK1 activation or how reported covalent and non-covalent modifications of the receptor – phosphorylation, Ca^{2+} coordination, or intracellular trafficking[89,97] – regulate its function. Importantly, its nearly 30 residue-long C-terminal tail (CTT) are not resolved in the crystal structures but are both necessary and sufficient for downstream signal activation. This, together with the identification of STING mutants that lead to constitutive interferon response, suggests that the protein might maintain its activating CTT in an autoinhibited conformation that is only relieved upon CDN signal recognition[95].

Outlook

Recent decades of research have led to the recognition of CDNs as a new, widely used class of second messengers and have identified the functional units controling their biosynthesis, turn-over and signal transduction. The presented signaling modules are only a part of the ever-growing and diverse panel of CDN metabolizing enzymes or signaling targets. That said, researchers have only scratched the surface regarding the physiological triggers that modulate CDN levels, or their spatiotemporal regulation within the complex signaling networks that are beginning to emerge. On the other hand, the available knowledge has already been proven useful in many different ways. Interfering with bacterial signaling can aid the development of novel anti-infectives, as well as the production of natural nanomaterials of biotechnological use[98,99]. The mammalian pathways, on the other hand, can be exploited in immunization approaches or the pharmacological targeting of infections and cancer[80,100]. Hopefully, future studies on the adaptational and virulence strategies of bacteria, as well as their evolutionary patterns, can be further harnessed to humankind’s advantage.

Acknowledgments

Work in the Sondermann laboratory is supported by NIH grant R01-AI097307 (H.S.). P.V.K. is supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and the Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC) and was previously recipient of a Roux-Cantarini postdoctoral fellowship from the Institut Pasteur. We thank Fitnat Yildiz for critically reading a draft of the review, and Nicolas Reyes, Rémi Fronzes, and Richard Cooley for useful discussions. We apologize to all researchers that have contributed to the field, whose work we could not cover due to space constraints.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

References

1•. Ross P, et al. Regulation of cellulose synthesis in *Acetobacter xylinum* by cyclic diguanylic acid. Nature. 1987; 325:279–281. Seminal work on the discovery of c-di-GMP as an allosteric regulator of bacterial cellulose synthesis that laid the foundation of CDN signaling research. [PubMed: 18990795]

2. Weinhouse H, et al. c-di-GMP-binding protein, a new factor regulating cellulose synthesis in *Acetobacter xylinum*. FEBS Lett. 1997; 416:207–211. [PubMed: 9369216]

3. Tal R, et al. Three cdg operons control cellular turnover of cyclic di-GMP in *Acetobacter xylinum*: genetic organization and occurrence of conserved domains in isoenzymes. J Bacteriol. 1998; 180:4416–4425. [PubMed: 9721278]

4. Paul R, et al. Cell cycle-dependent dynamic localization of a bacterial response regulator with a novel di-guanylate cyclase output domain. Genes Dev. 2004; 18:715–727. [PubMed: 15075296]

5. Christen M, Christen B, Folcher M, Schauerte A, Jenal U. Identification and characterization of a cyclic di-GMP-specific phosphodiesterase and its allosteric control by GTP. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:30829–30837. [PubMed: 15994307]

6. Simm R, Morr M, Kader A, Nimtz M, Romling U. GGDEF and EAL domains inversely regulate cyclic di-GMP levels and transition from sessility to motility. Mol Microbiol. 2004; 53:1123–1134. [PubMed: 15306016]

7. Hickman JW, Tifrea DF, Harwood CS. A chemosensory system that regulates biofilm formation through modulation of cyclic diguanylate levels. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102:14422–14427. [PubMed: 16186483]

8. Hisert KB, et al. A glutamate-alanine-leucine (EAL) domain protein of *Salmonella* controls bacterial survival in mice, antioxidant defence and killing of macrophages: role of cyclic diGMP. Mol Microbiol. 2005; 56:1234–1245. [PubMed: 15882417]

9. Tischler AD, Camilli A. Cyclic diguanylate (c-di-GMP) regulates *Vibrio cholerae* biofilm formation. Mol Microbiol. 2004; 53:857–869. [PubMed: 15255898]

10•. Galperin MY, Nikolskaya AN, Koonin EV. Novel domains of the prokaryotic two-component signal transduction systems. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2001; 203:11–21. A comprehensive bioinformatics study highlighting the prevalence of c-di-GMP signaling across the bacterial domain, as well as the multi-component, multiple pathway nature of c-di-GMP signal transduction within highly adaptable species. [PubMed: 11557134]

11•. Witte G, Hartung S, Buttner K, Hopfner KP. Structural biochemistry of a bacterial checkpoint protein reveals diadenylate cyclase activity regulated by DNA recombination intermediates. Mol Cell. 2008; 30:167–178. A structure-function study of *B. subtilis* DNA damage checkpoint protein DisA, which led to the serendipitous discovery of c-di-AMP and its dedicated diadenylate cyclases. [PubMed: 18439896]

12. Bai Y, et al. Cyclic di-AMP impairs potassium uptake mediated by a cyclic di-AMP binding protein in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J Bacteriol. 2014; 196:614–623. [PubMed: 24272783]

13. Huynh TN, et al. An HD-domain phosphodiesterase mediates cooperative hydrolysis of c-di-AMP to affect bacterial growth and virulence. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015; 112:E747–756. [PubMed: 25583510]

14. Mehne FM, et al. Cyclic di-AMP homeostasis in *Bacillus subtilis*: both lack and high level accumulation of the nucleotide are detrimental for cell growth. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:2004–2017. [PubMed: 23192352]

15. Zhang L, Li W, He ZG. DarR, a TetR-like transcriptional factor, is a cyclic di-AMP-responsive repressor in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:3085–3096. [PubMed: 23250743]

16. Kaplan Zeevi M, et al. *Listeria monocytogenes* multidrug resistance transporters and cyclic di-AMP, which contribute to type I interferon induction, play a role in cell wall stress. J Bacteriol. 2013; 195:5250–5261. [PubMed: 24056102]

17. Sureka K, et al. The cyclic dinucleotide c-di-AMP is an allosteric regulator of metabolic enzyme function. Cell. 2014; 158:1389–1401. [PubMed: 25215494]

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

18. Corrigan RM, et al. Systematic identification of conserved bacterial c-di-AMP receptor proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110:9084–9089. [PubMed: 23671116]

19. Moscoso JA, et al. Binding of Cyclic Di-AMP to the *Staphylococcus aureus* Sensor Kinase KdpD Occurs via the Universal Stress Protein Domain and Downregulates the Expression of the Kdp Potassium Transporter. J Bacteriol. 2016; 198:98–110.

20•. Davies BW, Bogard RW, Young TS, Mekalanos JJ. Coordinated regulation of accessory genetic elements produces cyclic di-nucleotides for *V. cholerae* virulence. Cell. 2012; 149:358–370. Identification of *V. cholerae* DncV as a virulence factor and a cyclase for previously undescribed hybrid cGAMP. [PubMed: 22500802]

21. Pei J, Grishin NV. GGDEF domain is homologous to adenylyl cyclase. Proteins. 2001; 42:210–216. [PubMed: 11119645]

22•. Chan C, et al. Structural basis of activity and allosteric control of diguanylate cyclase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004; 101:17084–17089. First structural study of a diguanylate cyclase that led to the characterization of the allosteric I-site for feedback regulation. [PubMed: 15569936]

23. Dahlstrom KM, Giglio KM, Sondermann H, O'Toole GA. The Inhibitory Site of a Diguanylate Cyclase Is a Necessary Element for Interaction and Signaling with an Effector Protein. J Bacteriol. 2016; 198:1595–1603. [PubMed: 27002135]

24•. Barends TR, et al. Structure and mechanism of a bacterial light-regulated cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase. Nature. 2009; 459:1015–1018. A structure-function study revealing the regulation of c-di-GMP specific phosphodiesterases. [PubMed: 19536266]

25. Bellini D, et al. Crystal structure of an HD-GYP domain cyclic-di-GMP phosphodiesterase reveals an enzyme with a novel trinuclear catalytic iron centre. Mol Microbiol. 2014; 91:26–38. [PubMed: 24176013]

26. Stelitano V, et al. C-di-GMP hydrolysis by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* HD-GYP phosphodiesterases: analysis of the reaction mechanism and novel roles for pGpG. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e74920. [PubMed: 24066157]

27. Cohen D, et al. Oligoribonuclease is a central feature of cyclic diguanylate signaling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015; 112:11359–11364. [PubMed: 26305928]

28. Orr MW, et al. Oligoribonuclease is the primary degradative enzyme for pGpG in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* that is required for cyclic-di-GMP turnover. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015; 112:E5048–5057. [PubMed: 26305945]

29. Galperin MY. A census of membrane-bound and intracellular signal transduction proteins in bacteria: bacterial IQ, extroverts and introverts. BMC Microbiol. 2005; 5:35. [PubMed: 15955239]

30. Corrigan RM, Grundling A. Cyclic di-AMP: another second messenger enters the fray. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2013; 11:513–524. [PubMed: 23812326]

31. Rao F, et al. YybT is a signaling protein that contains a cyclic dinucleotide phosphodiesterase domain and a GGDEF domain with ATPase activity. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285:473–482. [PubMed: 19901023]

32. Kranzusch PJ, et al. Structure-guided reprogramming of human cGAS dinucleotide linkage specificity. Cell. 2014; 158:1011–1021. [PubMed: 25131990]

33. Zhu D, et al. Structural biochemistry of a *Vibrio cholerae* dinucleotide cyclase reveals cyclase activity regulation by folates. Mol Cell. 2014; 55:931–937. [PubMed: 25201413]

34•. Hallberg ZF, et al. Hybrid promiscuous (Hypr) GGDEF enzymes produce cyclic AMP-GMP (3′, 3′-cGAMP). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016; 113:1790–1795. Identification of a subclass of GGDEF domain-containing dinucleotide cyclases with broad catalytic spectrum and substrate specificity reflecting cellular AMP:GMP ratios. [PubMed: 26839412]

35. Navarro MV, De N, Bae N, Wang Q, Sondermann H. Structural analysis of the GGDEF-EAL domain-containing c-di-GMP receptor FimX. Structure. 2009; 17:1104–1116. [PubMed: 19679088]

36. Navarro MV, et al. Structural basis for c-di-GMP-mediated inside-out signaling controlling periplasmic proteolysis. PLoS Biol. 2011; 9:e1000588. [PubMed: 21304926]

37. Ozaki S, et al. Activation and polar sequestration of PopA, a c-di-GMP effector protein involved in *Caulobacter crescentus* cell cycle control. Mol Microbiol. 2014; 94

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

38. Lee VT, et al. A cyclic-di-GMP receptor required for bacterial exopolysaccharide production. Mol Microbiol. 2007; 65:1474–1484. [PubMed: 17824927]
39. Amikam D, Galperin MY. PilZ domain is part of the bacterial c-di-GMP binding protein. Bioinformatics. 2006; 22:3–6. [PubMed: 16249258]
40•. Hickman JW, Harwood CS. Identification of FleQ from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a c-di-GMP-responsive transcription factor. Mol Microbiol. 2008; 69:376–389. The first work to demonstrate c-di-GMP control at the transcription initiation level through the regulation of biofilm-related genes by direct c-di-GMP binding to *P. aeruginosa* FleQ. [PubMed: 18485075]
41. Krasteva PV, et al. *Vibrio cholerae* VpsT regulates matrix production and motility by directly sensing cyclic di-GMP. Science. 2010; 327:866–868. [PubMed: 20150502]
42. Srivastava D, Harris RC, Waters CM. Integration of cyclic di-GMP and quorum sensing in the control of vpsT and aphA in Vibrio cholerae. J Bacteriol. 2011; 193
43. Duvel J, et al. A chemical proteomics approach to identify c-di-GMP binding proteins in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Microbiol Methods. 2012; 88:229–236. [PubMed: 22178430]
44. Nesper J, Reinders A, Glatter T, Schmidt A, Jenal U. A novel capture compound for the identification and analysis of cyclic di-GMP binding proteins. J Proteomics. 2012; 75:4874–4878. [PubMed: 22652488]
45•. Roelofs KG, Wang J, Sintim HO, Lee VT. Differential radial capillary action of ligand assay for high-throughput detection of protein-metabolite interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011; 108:15528–15533. A methodological work on a quantitative high-throughput assay for the unbiased discovery and characterization of CDN-binding proteins. [PubMed: 21876132]
46. Roelofs KG, et al. Systematic identification of cyclic-di-GMP binding proteins in *Vibrio cholerae* reveals a novel class of cyclic-di-GMP-binding ATPases associated with type II secretion systems. PLoS Pathog. 2015; 11:e1005232. [PubMed: 26506097]
47. Newell PD, Boyd CD, Sondermann H, O'Toole GA. A c-di-GMP effector system controls cell adhesion by inside-out signaling and surface protein cleavage. PLoS Biol. 2011; 9:e1000587. [PubMed: 21304920]
48. Cooley RB, et al. Cyclic Di-GMP-Regulated Periplasmic Proteolysis of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Type Vb Secretion System Substrate. J Bacteriol. 2016; 198:66–76.
49. Chatterjee D, et al. Mechanistic insight into the conserved allosteric regulation of periplasmic proteolysis by the signaling molecule cyclic-di-GMP. Elife. 2014; 3:e03650. [PubMed: 25182848]
50. Giardina G, et al. Investigating the allosteric regulation of YfiN from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: clues from the structure of the catalytic domain. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e81324. [PubMed: 24278422]
51. Malone JG, et al. The YfiBNR signal transduction mechanism reveals novel targets for the evolution of persistent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis airways. PLoS Pathog. 2012; 8:e1002760. [PubMed: 22719254]
52. Srivastava D, Hsieh ML, Khataokar A, Neiditch MB, Waters CM. Cyclic di-GMP inhibits *Vibrio cholerae* motility by repressing induction of transcription and inducing extracellular polysaccharide production. Mol Microbiol. 2013; 90:1262–1276. [PubMed: 24134710]
53. Tschowri N, et al. Tetrameric c-di-GMP mediates effective transcription factor dimerization to control *Streptomyces* development. Cell. 2014; 158:1136–1147. [PubMed: 25171413]
54. Chin KH, et al. The cAMP receptor-like protein CLP is a novel c-di-GMP receptor linking cell-cell signaling to virulence gene expression in *Xanthomonas campestris*. J Mol Biol. 2010; 396:646–662. [PubMed: 20004667]
55. Li W, He ZG. LtmA, a novel cyclic di-GMP-responsive activator, broadly regulates the expression of lipid transport and metabolism genes in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:11292–11307. [PubMed: 23047950]
56. Shikuma NJ, Fong JC, Yildiz FH. Cellular levels and binding of c-di-GMP control subcellular localization and activity of the *Vibrio cholerae* transcriptional regulator VpsT. PLoS Pathog. 2012; 8:e1002719. [PubMed: 22654664]
57. Baraquet C, Harwood CS. Cyclic diguanosine monophosphate represses bacterial flagella synthesis by interacting with the Walker A motif of the enhancer-binding protein FleQ. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110:18478–18483. [PubMed: 24167275]

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

58. Baraquet C, Murakami K, Parsek MR, Harwood CS. The FleQ protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* functions as both a repressor and an activator to control gene expression from the pel operon promoter in response to c-di-GMP. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:7207–7218. [PubMed: 22581773]
59. Matsuyama BY, et al. Mechanistic insights into c-di-GMP-dependent control of the biofilm regulator FleQ from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016; 113:E209–218. [PubMed: 26712005]
60. Lauriano CM, Ghosh C, Correa NE, Klose KE. The sodium-driven flagellar motor controls exopolysaccharide expression in *Vibrio cholerae*. J Bacteriol. 2004; 186:4864–4874. [PubMed: 15262923]
61. den Hengst CD, et al. Genes essential for morphological development and antibiotic production in *Streptomyces coelicolor* are targets of BldD during vegetative growth. Mol Microbiol. 2010; 78:361–379. [PubMed: 20979333]
62. Vieira-Pires RS, Szollosi A, Morais-Cabral JH. The structure of the KtrAB potassium transporter. Nature. 2013; 496:323–328. [PubMed: 23598340]
63. Kim H, et al. Structural studies of potassium transport protein KtrA regulator of conductance of K+ (RCK) C domain in complex with cyclic diadenosine monophosphate (c-di-AMP). J Biol Chem. 2015; 290:16393–16402. [PubMed: 25957408]
64. Lolicato M, et al. Cyclic dinucleotides bind the C-linker of HCN4 to control channel cAMP responsiveness. Nat Chem Biol. 2014; 10:457–462. [PubMed: 24776929]
65. Costa TR, et al. Secretion systems in Gram-negative bacteria: structural and mechanistic insights. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015; 13:343–359. [PubMed: 25978706]
66. Trampari E, et al. Bacterial rotary export ATPases are allosterically regulated by the nucleotide second messenger cyclic-di-GMP. J Biol Chem. 2015; 290:24470–24483. [PubMed: 26265469]
67. Wang YC, et al. Nucleotide binding by the widespread high-affinity cyclic di-GMP receptor MshEN domain. Nat Commun. 2016; 7:12481. [PubMed: 27578558]
68. Whitney JC, Howell PL. Synthase-dependent exopolysaccharide secretion in Gram-negative bacteria. Trends Microbiol. 2013; 21:63–72. [PubMed: 23117123]
69. McNamara JT, Morgan JL, Zimmer J. A molecular description of cellulose biosynthesis. Annu Rev Biochem. 2015; 84:895–921. [PubMed: 26034894]
70. Fang X, et al. GIL, a new c-di-GMP-binding protein domain involved in regulation of cellulose synthesis in enterobacteria. Mol Microbiol. 2014; 93:439–452. [PubMed: 24942809]
71. Li Z, Chen JH, Hao Y, Nair SK. Structures of the PelD cyclic diguanylate effector involved in pellicle formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287:30191–30204. [PubMed: 22810222]
72. Whitney JC, et al. Structure of the cytoplasmic region of PelD, a degenerate diguanylate cyclase receptor that regulates exopolysaccharide production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287:23582–23593. [PubMed: 22605337]
73. Steiner S, Lori C, Boehm A, Jenal U. Allosteric activation of exopolysaccharide synthesis through cyclic di-GMP-stimulated protein-protein interaction. EMBO J. 2013; 32:354–368. [PubMed: 23202856]
74. Woodward JJ, Iavarone AT, Portnoy D. A c-di-AMP secreted by intracellular *Listeria monocytogenes* activates a host type I interferon response. Science. 2010; 328:1703–1705. [PubMed: 20508090]
75. Sun J, Deng Z, Yan A. Bacterial multidrug efflux pumps: mechanisms, physiology and pharmacological exploitations. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014; 453:254–267. [PubMed: 24878531]
76•. Chen ZH, Schaap P. The prokaryote messenger c-di-GMP triggers stalk cell differentiation in *Dictyostelium*. Nature. 2012; 488:680–683. The first report of c-di-GMP biosynthesis and signal transduction in eukarotes. [PubMed: 22864416]
77. Gentili M, et al. Transmission of innate immune signaling by packaging of cGAMP in viral particles. Science. 2015; 349:1232–1236. [PubMed: 26229115]
78. Bridgeman A, et al. Viruses transfer the antiviral second messenger cGAMP between cells. Science. 2015; 349:1228–1232. [PubMed: 26229117]

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

79. Ablasser A, et al. Cell intrinsic immunity spreads to bystander cells via the intercellular transfer of cGAMP. Nature. 2013; 503:530–534. [PubMed: 24077100]
80. Chen Q, et al. Carcinoma-astrocyte gap junctions promote brain metastasis by cGAMP transfer. Nature. 2016; 533:493–498. [PubMed: 27225120]
81. Li L, et al. Hydrolysis of 2′3′-cGAMP by ENPP1 and design of nonhydrolyzable analogs. Nat Chem Biol. 2014; 10:1043–1048. [PubMed: 25344812]
82. Sauer JD, et al. The N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea-induced Goldenticket mouse mutant reveals an essential function of Sting in the in vivo interferon response to *Listeria monocytogenes* and cyclic dinucleotides. Infect Immun. 2011; 79:688–694. [PubMed: 21098106]
83•. Burdette DL, et al. STING is a direct innate immune sensor of cyclic di-GMP. Nature. 2011; 478:515–518. Identification of STING as a eukaryotic CDN sensor-effector. [PubMed: 21947006]
84. Diner EJ, et al. The innate immune DNA sensor cGAS produces a noncanonical cyclic dinucleotide that activates human STING. Cell Rep. 2013; 3:1355–1361. [PubMed: 23707065]
85. Sun L, Wu J, Du F, Chen X, Chen ZJ. Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is a cytosolic DNA sensor that activates the type I interferon pathway. Science. 2013; 339:786–791. [PubMed: 23258413]
86•. Wu J, et al. Cyclic GMP-AMP is an endogenous second messenger in innate immune signaling by cytosolic DNA. Science. 2013; 339:826–830. Identification of cGAMP as an endogenous CDN product in mammalian cells, as well as its direct role in type I interferon response through direct STING activation. [PubMed: 23258412]
87. Ablasser A, et al. cGAS produces a 2′-5′-linked cyclic dinucleotide second messenger that activates STING. Nature. 2013; 498:380–384. [PubMed: 23722158]
88. Ouyang S, et al. Structural analysis of the STING adaptor protein reveals a hydrophobic dimer interface and mode of cyclic di-GMP binding. Immunity. 2012; 36:1073–1086. [PubMed: 22579474]
89. Shu C, Yi G, Watts T, Kao CC, Li P. Structure of STING bound to cyclic di-GMP reveals the mechanism of cyclic dinucleotide recognition by the immune system. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2012; 19:722–724. [PubMed: 22728658]
90. Huang YH, Liu XY, Du XX, Jiang ZF, Su XD. The structural basis for the sensing and binding of cyclic di-GMP by STING. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2012; 19:728–730. [PubMed: 22728659]
91. Shang G, et al. Crystal structures of STING protein reveal basis for recognition of cyclic di-GMP. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2012; 19:725–727. [PubMed: 22728660]
92. Zhang X, et al. Cyclic GMP-AMP containing mixed phosphodiester linkages is an endogenous high-affinity ligand for STING. Mol Cell. 2013; 51:226–235. [PubMed: 23747010]
93. Chin KH, et al. Novel c-di-GMP recognition modes of the mouse innate immune adaptor protein STING. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2013; 69:352–366. [PubMed: 23519410]
94•. Kranzusch PJ, et al. Ancient origin of cGAS-STING reveals mechanism of universal 2′,3′ cGAMP signaling. Mol Cell. 2015; 59:891–903. Structural studies of an anemone STING homolog revealing the likely ancient origins of eukaryotic 2′-3′ cGAMP recognition through the exploitation of a unique conformational intermediate not sampled by 3′-3′ CDNs. [PubMed: 26300263]
95. Yin Q, et al. Cyclic di-GMP sensing via the innate immune signaling protein STING. Mol Cell. 2012; 46:735–745. [PubMed: 22705373]
96. Gao P, et al. Cyclic [G(2′,5′)pA(3′,5′)p] is the metazoan second messenger produced by DNA-activated cyclic GMP-AMP synthase. Cell. 2013; 153:1094–1107. [PubMed: 23647843]
97. Zhang L, et al. NLRC3, a member of the NLR family of proteins, is a negative regulator of innate immune signaling induced by the DNA sensor STING. Immunity. 2014; 40:329–341. [PubMed: 24560620]
98. Mohite BV, Patil SV. A novel biomaterial: bacterial cellulose and its new era applications. Biotechnol Appl Biochem. 2014; 61:101–110. [PubMed: 24033726]
99. Opoku-Temeng C, Zhou J, Zheng Y, Su J, Sintim HO. Cyclic dinucleotide (c-di-GMP, c-di-AMP, and cGAMP) signalings have come of age to be inhibited by small molecules. Chem Commun (Camb). 2016; 52:9327–9342. [PubMed: 27339003]

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

100. Gravekamp C, Chandra D. Targeting STING pathways for the treatment of cancer. Oncoimmunology. 2015; 4:e988463. [PubMed: 26587334]

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript



Figure 1. Cyclic dinucleotide (CDN) signaling

a. Structural overview of the four prevalent cyclic dinucleotides. **b.** Regulation of CDN signaling. A generic route for the synthesis and degradation of CDNs is shown. Regulatory feedback loops controlling cellular CDN levels have been described in some instances, e.g. for I-site-containing GGDEF domain proteins or the effect of linear di-GMP (pGpG) on PDE-A activity. Note that the listed receptor/effector classes are common examples and not mutually exclusive. Enzymatic activities arise from stand-alone, single-domain proteins or, more typically, from multi-domain proteins, including proteins with both cyclase (i.e. GGDEF) and PDE (i.e. EAL) domains. Regulatory domains (X) determine the mode of enzyme regulation (e.g. environmental sensing, canonical two-component regulation, etc.). Known stimuli include allosteric ligands, post-translational modifications, light, gases, and mechanotransduction. **c.** Prevalence, biosynthesis and degradation pathways for the four major CDNs.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript



Figure 2. Bacterial CDNs and representative regulatory mechanisms

Solid lines show direct binding or transport; dashed lines illustrate indirect effects. The prevalent distribution of c-di-GMP/cGAMP and c-di-AMP in Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, respectively, is illustrated by differences in the cell envelope, with a thick peptidoglycan layer illustrating the Gram-positive cell wall. Abbreviations are as follows: T2SS - Type 2 secretion system; T4P - type 4 pili; LmPC - *L. monocytogenes* pyruvate carboxylase.



Figure 3. Conformational adaptability and mechanism of action of CDNs

a. Using c-di-GMP as an example, CDN conformations found in protein co-crystal structures are depicted. Several of these conformations have also been shown to be sampled in solution. **b.** Representative cases of protein regulation via CDNs, exemplified by c-di-GMP binding modules, are shown. Cartoons depict concepts derived from available crystal structures (or modeling in the case CLP). A detailed description of these modes of action are provided in the main text.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript
Author Manuscript
Author Manuscript
Author Manuscript



Figure 4. Tripartite transmembrane signaling through HAMP domain-containing proteins with active or degenerate GGDEF and EAL domains

a. Inside-out signaling via c-di-GMP. A model of 'inside-out' signaling through the LapADG system in *P. fluorescens* is shown integrating crystallographic snapshots of the LapDG components. Conformational changes upon c-di-GMP recognition via the LapD^{EAL} module lead to release of autoinhibitory intramolecular interactions, transmembrane signal transmission to the periplasmic output domain, and LapG protease sequestration. LapG recruitment to LapD• c-di-GMP prevents the protease reaching its substrate, LapA, at the outer membrane surface. As a result, LapA is retained at the cell surface, constituting an important regulatory step in biofilm formation. **b.** 'Outside-in' signaling via the YfiBNR system in *P. aeruginosa.* YfiN is a HAMP domain-containing DGC. Cell wall stress can release YfiN inhibition through the sequestration of the inhibitory YfiR partner by the outer membrane component YfiB. Conformational changes are transmitted through the membrane and a membrane-proximal HAMP module to activate intracellular c-di-GMP production.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript



Figure 5. Structures and nucleotide recognition of c-di-GMP-regulated transcription factors

Domain organizations, crystal structures, c-di-GMP-dependent changes in oligomerization, CDN-binding sites, consensus binding motifs, and observed physiological effects are shown for VpsT of *V. cholerae* (**a**), FleQ of *P. aeruginosa* (**b**), and BldD of *S. coelicor* (**c**). Key binding site side chains, as well as bound c-di-GMP molecules, are shown as sticks. Abbreviations are as introduced in the text: REC, receiver domain; HTH, helix-turn-helix motif; CTD, C-terminal domain.



Figure 6. CDN-dependent regulation of ion transport

a. Crystal structures of the KtrAB duo and mode of CDN recognition. A cartoon representation of the ATP-bound KtrAB crystal structure is shown (left panel). Transparent surface representation is included for the transmembrane KtrB dimer, ATP is shown as spheres, and a dimer of KtrA protomers is shown in color. The right panel depicts an full-length KtrA dimer extracted from the structure shown on the left (top) and the c-di-AMP-bound RCK_C module of a homolog (bottom). Conformational changes in the RKC-C dimer interface upon c-di-AMP recognition could be transmitted to the KtrB-proximal, ATP-binding RCK_N modules. **b.** A schematic representation of the Hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channel 4 (HCN4) tetramer and its antagonistic regulation by cyclic mono- and dinucleotides.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript



Figure 7. C-di-GMP dependent regulation of exopolysaccharide secretion in biofilms

a. Examples of synthase-dependent systems subject to direct c-di-GMP regulation. Color-coding for functionally homologous proteins is shown on the right and c-di-GMP binding is indicated by yellow stars. **b.** Cartoon representation of the crystal structure and topology of a catalytic BcsAB complex from *R. sphaeroides.* **C.** Crystallographic snapshots of the active site pocket in different CDN-free and bound states. Gating loop residues (dark blue), the cellulose product (light grey), and bound c-di-GMP (olive green) are shown as sticks, the UDP-glucose substrate as spheres, and inhibitory salt bridge interactions are indicated by dotted lines.

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript



Figure 8. CDN recognition by STING

Crystal structures of human STING bound to c-di-GMP (**a**) and cGAMP (**b**) are shown. The bottom panels depict different views of the nucleotide binding pocket showing key CDN-coordinating side chains and bound ligands as sticks.