PENSSART, a new Monte Carlo system for quality control in radiotherapy

Cindy Le Loirec, Juan-Carlos García Hernández, G. Bonniaud, L. Guérin, A. Batalla, Bénédicte Poumarède, Delphine Lazaro-Ponthus

► To cite this version:

Cindy Le Loirec, Juan-Carlos García Hernández, G. Bonniaud, L. Guérin, A. Batalla, et al.. PENSSART, a new Monte Carlo system for quality control in radiotherapy. SNA + MC 2013 - Joint International Conference on Supercomputing in Nuclear Applications + Monte Carlo, Oct 2013, Paris, France. EDP Sciences, 05306, 2014, 10.1051/snamc/201405306 . cea-01830830

HAL Id: cea-01830830

<https://hal-cea.archives-ouvertes.fr/cea-01830830>

Submitted on 28 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PENSSART, A NEW MONTE CARLO SYSTEM FOR QUALITY CONTROL IN RADIOTHERAPY

C. Le Loirec¹, J. C. Garcia-Hernandez¹, G. Bonniaud², L. Guérin³, A. Batalla³, B. Poumarède¹ and D. Lazaro¹

cindy.le-loirec@cea.fr

¹CEA, LIST, Laboratoire Modélisation, Simulation et Systèmes, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

²Centre de Médecine Nucléaire du Morbihan, Centre d'Oncologie Saint-Yves, 56000 Vannes, France

³Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer François Baclesse, Caen, FRANCE

Objectives

Radiotherapy modalities are now more and more complex and accurate dose calculations are essential for treatment planning. However, in presence of small field sizes and light densities, commercial treatment planning systems (TPS) often fail to predict accurate dose distribution. In this aim, we have developed a new Monte Carlo system called **PENSSART** for **PENELOPE Simulation for the Safety in Radiotherapy**.

PENSSART system

The PENSSART system is divided into three modules.

The **dose calculation module** is the core of the PENSSART system as it includes the MC dose computation engine itself which is based on PENELOPE. This module was designed to perform MC dose calculations within voxelized geometries and needs as input data a description of the patient anatomy or phantom geometry as well as a description of the radiation source.

The **patient module** allows the implementation of complex geometries such as phantoms and patients.

The **radiation source module** is also based on PENELOPE and allows the simulation of different kinds of radiation sources, going from simple sources, such as monoenergetic beams, to more complex sources like the one resulting from the complete modeling of a linac treatment head, including the multileaf collimator (MLC).

TPS evaluation

We have determined the limits of the XiO TPS (CMS – Elekta) by comparing dose distributions obtained with PenSSaRT and the RTDOSE. Comparisons with OSL measurements have also been performed.

	Absorbed dose for the plan (Gy)			Deviation (%)		
	OSL	TPS	PENSSART	OSL vs TPS	OSL vs PENSSART	TPS vs PENSSART
Lung	6.89	2.00	6.61 (3.3%)	71.0	4.1	69.7
	3.07	2.00	3.01 (4.4 %)	34.9	2.0	33.6
Bone	43.4	34.3	44.7 (1.3 %)	21.0	3.0	23.3

Differences between OSL measurements and PENSSART estimations are of magnitude similar to that of the statistical uncertainties of the MC simulations (about 3%). **On the contrary deviations of TPS calculations from OSL measurements are larger especially in lung and vary from 21 to 71%.** We also note that the differences between TPS calculations and PENSSART estimations are close to the differences observed between OSL and TPS values.

Gamma test

The reference map is the one obtained with the PENSSART platform. **67.8% and 91.5% of pixels pass the test for the (2.5% - 2.5 mm) and (4% - 4 mm) criteria, respectively.** The main discrepancies between the two distributions are observed at the lung interface.

Conclusions

The results showed that the system did not introduce any bias in the dose calculation due to an error in beam settings or in geometry model. Moreover the system also allows determining the limits of a TPS algorithm in the cases of breast and lung treatments.

Joint International Conference on Supercomputing in
Nuclear Applications and Monte Carlo 2013
(SNA + MC 2013)

La Cité des Sciences et de l'Industrie, Paris, France
October 27-31, 2013