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ABSTRACT: We present a study of adsorbate screening of surface charge in microscopic ferroelectric domains in a sol–gel grown PbZr0.2Ti0.8O3 thin film. Low-energy and photoemission electron microscopies were employed to characterize the temperature dependence of surface charge and polarization of ferroelectric domains written by atomic force microscopy. We study the role of charged adsorbates in screening of polarization-bound charges. We demonstrate that full-field electron microscopy is suitable for the determination of ferroelectric system properties such as the Curie temperature.

KEYWORDS: PZT, polarization, screening, surface, LEEM, PEEM, PFM, AFM

1. INTRODUCTION

Ferroelectric (FE) materials are characterized by the development of a spontaneous electric polarization below a critical temperature \( T_C \), the Curie temperature. At the surface, polarization \( P \) induces a surface charge, \( \sigma = P \cdot n \) (\( n \), unit vector normal to the surface), in turn creating a depolarizing field, \( E_{dep} \) which can be detrimental to the FE stability of very thin films.\(^1\) To sustain the FE state, \( E_{dep} \) must be at least partially compensated, for example, by screening of the surface charge. In turn, this may modify the surface chemistry. Control of the polarization can tailor domain-specific surface reactivity and potentially paves the way toward the design of nanoscale chemical devices.\(^2\) Understanding screening is therefore a key issue in view of a wide range of applications.

The charge compensation mechanisms may be related to bulk defects, such as oxygen vacancies and/or extrinsic adsorbate species like water. There has been an increasing interest in considering FE surfaces for chemical reactions and catalytic applications.\(^3\) Polarization-orientation-dependent adsorption of metal and carbon dioxide onto BaTiO\(_3\) or PbZr0.48Ti0.52O3 and 2-propanol molecules onto LiNbO3 have been demonstrated recently.\(^4,5\) The role of water is crucial at the FE surface: (i) it promotes the mobility and diffusion of the adsorbed species and (ii) it can be adsorbed through molecular (H\(_2\)O) or dissociative (HO\(^-\) and H\(^+\)) bonding to the surface.\(^6\) Charge transfer subsequent to HO\(^-\) and H\(^+\) adsorption onto PbTiO\(_3\) can stabilize upward and downward pointing polarizations, respectively.\(^7\) Screening by polar adsorbates can lead to surface potential inversion, as measured by electrostatic and Kelvin probe force microscopy,\(^8\) whereas polarization switching can be rapid; the relaxation of the surface screening charge may be much slower.\(^9\) The slow relaxation of the screening charge may also determine the domain wall movement.

Although efficient, lead-free ferroelectric and piezoelectric materials have been proposed;\(^10\) the best properties are still exhibited by lead-based compounds, such as Pb(Zr,Ti)O\(_3\) (PZT).\(^11,12\) The piezoelectric properties are strongly enhanced at the morphotropic phase boundary,\(^13\) making PbZr\(_{0.52}\)Ti\(_{0.48}\)O\(_3\) films suitable for many applications, for example, microelectromechanical systems.\(^14\) On the other hand, the FE response is maximum for Ti-rich PZT, making such films more suitable for applications in electronics.

Many model thin-film systems are realized using molecular beam epitaxy or pulsed laser deposition,\(^15\) and enhanced properties could be obtained, for example, \( T_C \approx 680 \) °C in sputtered epitaxial PbZr\(_{0.64}\)Ti\(_{0.36}\)O\(_3\) thin film.\(^16\) However, these growth techniques require high or ultrahigh vacuum, are expensive, and cannot process large-area substrates. They are therefore far from being industry viable. Metal-organic chemical vapor-deposited Ti-rich PZT films between 50 nm and 1.2 \( \mu \)m thick showed high polarization values between 30 and 55 \( \mu \)C/
Soft chemistry methods such as sol–gel growth could be a viable alternative with good film homogeneity on industrial-scale substrates but only if FE properties similar to those obtained by, for example, pulsed laser-deposited layers were to be observed. Sol–gel growth of PZT on Si substrates is well established. An earlier work reports on thicker films, whereas more recent work uses SrTiO₃–buffered Si yielding high-quality 70 nm PZT films. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) studies have focused on poling by application of a potential difference between the tip and the bottom electrode and characterization of the piezoresponse of the PZT layer. Much less attention has been devoted to study of the surface charge. Nevertheless, surface water is omnipresent under atmospheric conditions and works as a thin conducting layer or electrode, determining domain growth under an applied electric field. Therefore, the characterization of such films requires accurate measurement of the Curie temperature, FE stability, as well as the charge screening mechanisms and surface chemistry.

Experiments in vacuum are beneficial to some extent in avoiding uncontrolled adsorbate screening. Electrons are sensitive to both polarization and screening charge. Le Bihan developed the use of secondary electron emission in a scanning electron microscope to probe the surface charge in BaTiO₃. Ihlefeld et al. have used backscattered electrons to image FE domains in thin films. However, sample charging is a recurrent problem when using electron beams with higher primary energy. Low-energy electron microscopy (LEEM), on the other hand, is particularly suited to probe the surface potential. In fact, at very low energy, incident electrons are reflected by the surface potential; this is called mirror electron microscopy (MEM). As a result, they only interact weakly with the sample and allow accurate mapping of the surface potential while avoiding charging effects usually associated with insulating samples. At higher energy they penetrate the sample and are backscattered (LEEM). The MEM–LEEM transition provides a direct map of the surface potential.

In photoemission electron microscopy (PEEM), imaging the threshold intensity as a function of kinetic energy allows the photoemission threshold to be mapped. PEEM can also be used in absorption mode to study the domain orientations and surface charge. The surface potential may also be measured using near-field microscopy techniques. In particular, electrostatic force microscopy (EFM) is sensitive to the force gradient between surface and tip and Kelvin force microscopy (KFM), also known as scanning surface potential microscopy, measures the force. In both cases, the technique requires scanning and careful consideration of the tip–surface interactions. For example, the potential measured by KFM depends directly on the tip–surface distance. LEEM, on the other hand is a full-field, noncontact technique, which may allow faster acquisition without potential tip–surface perturbations, although LEEM techniques require an ultrahigh vacuum sample environment and precise alignment of the electron optics system.

In Figure 1, we represent schematically the expected photoelectron (black arrow) and reflected electron (dashed arrow) interaction with a charged FE surface, in this case, a surface screened by polar adsorbates. The FE film is out-of-plane polarized; we denote the polarization pointing away from the substrate to the surface as P⁺ and the polarization pointing toward the substrate as P⁻. The adsorbate layer induces an electric field E₅ in the near-surface region. Photoemitted electrons are slowed down and accelerated for P⁺ and P⁻ domains, respectively. The photoemission threshold of P⁺ domains is higher than that of P⁻ domains. Local differences in threshold can be used to estimate both the surface charge and polarization. Incident electrons see negative and positive surface potential for screened P⁺ and P⁻ domains, respectively. The MEM–LEEM transition is at a higher incident energy for screened P⁺ than for screened P⁻ domains and lower incident energy for unscreened P⁺ than for P⁻ because the positive surface charge attracts the incident electrons into the sample. The reduction of the surface potential contrast between oppositely polarized domains in BaTiO₃ by screening charge has been demonstrated using LEEM.

Here, we report on the study of the surface of a sol–gel PbZr₀·₂Ti₀·₈O₃ (PZT) FE thin film. After initial characterization by PEEM of AFM-written domains, LEEM was employed to measure the temperature dependence of the surface potential contrast and the adsorbate-induced changes in the surface charge. The Curie temperature of the sol–gel film is ~430 °C. The contrast observed in both PEEM and LEEM is directly correlated with the phase signal in piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM) and the surface potential as measured by KFM and hence the domain polarization. The samples used in both PEEM and LEEM studies had been exposed to air prior to introduction into the UHV systems. The relative contrast in the LEEM images is clear evidence of adsorbate screening of the surface polarization charge at room temperature and desorption at higher temperature.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

PbZr₀·₂Ti₀·₈O₃ (PZT) thin films (56 nm thick) were deposited on the Pt(100 nm)/TiO₂(20 nm)/SiO₂(500 nm)/Si substrate by the sol–gel method. A Si wafer is chosen so that the process is as compatible as possible with the current semiconductor technology. The PbZr₀·₂Ti₀·₈O₃ stoichiometry was chosen to maximize the FE response of the material. SiO₂ is a thermal oxide grown at 1100 °C in oxygen for passivation. TiO₂ was obtained by sputter-depositing 10 nm of Ti followed by thermal annealing at 700 °C in oxygen for 30 min. TiO₂ is needed to ensure a good adhesion for platinum (Pt) bottom electrode and for preventing the lead diffusion from PZT during film growth. The Pt bottom electrode was sputtered at 450 °C. PZT solution, provided by Mitsubishi Materials Corporation, is spin-coated on the 200 nm preprocessed, platinized Si substrate. The typical spin-coating parameters are 1000 rpm for rotation speed, 1500 rpm/s for acceleration, and 20 s for the spin duration. After the coating step, the wafer is dried on a hot plate at 130 °C for 5 min so as to evaporate the solvents. Calcination (or pyrolysis) is then done at 360 °C for 5
min to eliminate organic compounds. Finally, the crystallization of the amorphous PZT film is performed in a rapid thermal annealing furnace, where PZT is heated at 700 °C for 1 min in pure oxygen flux. The microstructure probed by SEM shown in Figure 2a did not show any evidence of parasitic surface phases. A large, compact grain structure is evident without any evidence for the distinct surface phase often present in sol–gel PZT, with stoichiometry near the morphotrophic phase boundary. The crystalline phase was investigated by X-ray diffraction. Figure 2b shows θ−2θ scans (red lines) at four different points on the 200 mm wafer showing a highly textured, (100)-oriented film. The middle (blue) curve is the average of the four scans. The C−V characteristics carried out at 100 kHz showing an almost perfectly symmetrical butterfly loop, characteristic of a good ferroelectric. Surface potential (V) image of the microscopic written FE domains, as measured by KFM. Each domain is 5 × 10 μm² and is either outward or inward polarized (P⁺ and P−, respectively).

Figure 2. (a) Scanning electron microscopy image using backscattered electrons of the PZT surface. (b) X-ray diffraction θ−2θ scans (red lines) at four different points on the 200 mm wafer showing a highly textured, (100)-oriented film. The middle (blue) curve is the average of the four scans. (c) C−V characteristics carried out at 100 kHz showing an almost perfectly symmetrical butterfly loop, characteristic of a good ferroelectric (d) Surface potential (V) image of the microscopic written FE domains, as measured by KFM. Each domain is 5 × 10 μm² and is either outward or inward polarized (P⁺ and P−, respectively).
positive surface charge corresponding to a P+ state (upward room temperature. A negatively biased AFM tip induces a KFM image of the surface potential of a written PZT. respectively. The KFM and PEEM results are reported in Table 0.33, and 0.49 eV for 4, 8, and 12 V poling voltages, the shift in threshold between FE poled domains to be 0.24, the threshold spectra using an error function, we determined (downward oriented polarization). The surface charge modiﬁcations detected by KFM (Figure 2d). The image series was recorded to the oppositely polarized domains reproduces the pattern of the AFM-written P+ and P− domains before annealing. The spectra, integrated over a single domain in each case, are shown in Figure 3b. By varying the incident energy from 4, 8, and 12 V poling voltages, respectively. The KFM and PEEM results are reported in Table 1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The patterning of microscopic FE domains was performed by applying a DC voltage to the AFM tip with the bottom electrode grounded. In this way 5 × 10 μm² domains were written using ±12, ±10, ±8, and ±4 V. Figure 2d presents the KFM image of the surface potential of a written PZT film at room temperature. A negatively biased AFM tip induces a positive surface charge corresponding to a P+ state (upward oriented polarization), whereas a positively biased AFM tip induces a negative surface charge that corresponds to a P− state (downward oriented polarization). The surface charge modiﬁcation reveals the electrostatic surface potential measured by KFM. The potential differences between P+ and P− are 0.05, 0.20, and 0.30 V for the domains written at ±4, ±8, and ±12 V, respectively.

In Figure 3a, we present a PEEM image, recorded at 22 °C, and E−E_F = 4.9 eV, where E_F is the sample-holder Fermi level of the AFM-written P+ and P− domains. The contrast between the oppositely polarized domains reproduces the pattern detected by KFM (Figure 2d). The image series was recorded to extract the photoemission threshold spectra from the P+ and P− domains before annealing. The spectra, integrated over a single domain in each case, are shown in Figure 3b. By ﬁtting the threshold spectra using an error function, we determined the shift in threshold between FE poled domains to be 0.24, 0.33, and 0.49 eV for 4, 8, and 12 V poling voltages, respectively. The KFM and PEEM results are reported in Table 1.

Table 1. Room-Temperature Surface Potential Difference between P+ and P− Poled Domains as a Function of the Applied Bias Measured by KFM, PEEM, and LEEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bias</th>
<th>±4 V</th>
<th>±8 V</th>
<th>±10 V</th>
<th>±12 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KFM</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEM</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEEM</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“All values in V. FE domains observed by LEEM were poled at ±10 V.

After annealing at 547 °C under UHV conditions, we can see in the PEEM image of Figure 4a that the FE domains have disappeared. This is consistent with the crossing of the ferroelectric–paraelectric transition, whose bulk Curie temperature is T_C = 430 °C,13 and the loss of any FE memory of the domain structure. Following the high temperature annealing, new FE domains could still be written, as shown in the PFM phase image of Figure 4b, indicating that the disappearance of PEEM contrast observed in Figure 4a was not due to film decomposition but indeed due to the loss of FE memory above the FE–PE phase transition. This is supported by X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) performed on the film before and after the annealing process, shown in Figure 4c. The spectra are very similar and show no evidence of features typical of the presence of new chemical phases, for example, the phase separation of Zr oxide,20 or signiﬁcant oxygen vacancy concentration.

In Figure 5a, we show a PFM phase image of domains written at ±4, ±8, and ±10 V for the LEEM experiments. Figure 5b displays a schematic of the patterned domains. In Figure 5c, we present a LEEM image of the same domains with an incident electron kinetic energy E_inc = 2.30 eV, acquired at room temperature. We observe that the contrast reproduces the PFM image in Figure 4a. The granularity of the electron images corresponds to the highly textured PZT microstructure revealed in Figure 2a,b. By varying the incident energy from 0 to 6 V, we recorded a series of images across the MEM–LEEM transition and we plot in Figure 5d the reﬂectivity spectra extracted from each domain. As with the photoemission threshold in PEEM, the shift in the MEM–LEEM transition increases with increasing poling voltage; see Table 1. The potential differences between P+ and P− are reported in Table 1 for the KFM, PEEM, and LEEM experiments. For each poling voltage, the differences are similar, showing the consistency of these techniques for the surface potential measurement, although the values found in KFM are slightly lower. This might be explained by the fact that the KFM measurements were performed under nitrogen atmosphere, whereas PEEM and LEEM were operated in ultrahigh vacuum, leading to an attenuation of the potential difference. Alternatively, the absolute value of the surface potential, as measured by KFM, also depends on the tip–surface distance.

A pixel-by-pixel ﬁt of the reﬂectivity curves by a complementary error function14 allows building the MEM–LEEM transition map shown in Figure 5e. Importantly, the surface potential of P+ is greater than that of P−; therefore, the
The sign of the domain-related potential difference is opposite to what is expected from the unscreened FE polarization orientation shown in Figure 1. We now show that this is due to screening by polar adsorbates which inverse the surface potential as seen by incident electrons.

We present the temperature dependence of the MEM−LEEM transition energy $\Delta E$ between $P^+$ and $P^-$ domains in Figure 6a, respectively. $\Delta E$ decreases as the temperature increases and crosses zero around 100 °C. Schematically, this corresponds to a situation where half of the total surface charge is perfectly screened by a polar radical, for example, HO$^-$ on $P^+$. It reaches a maximum negative value around 200 °C and then tends to zero near 500 °C.

$\Delta E$ is proportional to the difference in surface charge between $P^+$ and $P^-$ domains. Above $T_C$, the spontaneous polarization disappears, as seen by the absence of contrast in LEEM (see Figure 6b) and PEEM images (see Figure 4a) and by the fact that $\Delta E$ tends to $\approx 0$ in the range 420−450 °C in Figure 5a. This is in fair agreement with $T_C = 430$ °C for PZT and shows that the sol−gel films have FE stability similar to that of the bulk compound.

However, the surface potential difference measured in LEEM changes sign above 100 °C, corresponding to a change in sign of the surface charge. As mentioned above, the $P^+$ domain has a higher surface potential at room temperature than the $P^-$ domain, implying negative surface charge. Thus, the change in sign can only be explained if the polarization charge is well screened by polar adsorbates, as in the left hand schematic of Figure 1. If the adsorbate species is physisorbed, for example, molecular water; then, above 100 °C it should start to desorb.

Figure 4. (a) PEEM image at $E-E_F = 4.9$ eV recorded after annealing at 547 °C. (b) PFM phase image of rewritten domains on the annealed sample. (c) XPS spectra measured at room temperature using Al Kr ($1486.7$ eV) photon energy for the PZT thin film before heating (blue curve) and after heating up the sample to 547 °C (red curve). Mo 3d peak corresponds to the signature of the sample holder.

Figure 5. (a) PFM phase image of the AFM-written $P^+$ and $P^-$ domains. (b) Schematic of the written domains with different DC voltage amplitudes; white and black domains are written using negative and positive DC voltage, respectively. Each domain is $5 \times 10$ μm$^2$. (c) LEEM image recorded at room temperature for $E_{\text{inc}} = 2.30$ eV. (d) Reflectivity spectra extracted from the $P^+$ and $P^-$ domains written with different DC voltage amplitudes. (e) Surface potential map obtained from $E_{\text{inc}}$ image series recorded at 22°.
As a result, the surface charge, as seen by the incoming electrons, changes sign. We have quantified this desorption-induced surface potential contrast inversion between 62 and 137 °C in Figure 7. We present the reflectivity spectra in Figure 7a, extracted from an LEEM image series recorded at 62 °C, whose MEM–LEEM transition energy map is shown in Figure 7c. The contrast between the P+ and P− domains is similar to the one observed at room temperature (Figure 5d,e). On the contrary, for the measurement performed at 137 °C (Figure 7b,d), we observe that the energy of the MEM–LEEM transition in Figure 7b is lower for the P+ domains than for the P− domains, meaning that the electric potential landscape has changed above the surface of each domain between the two temperatures. This is confirmed by the reconstructed MEM–LEEM transition energy map in Figure 7d. Both the sign of the domain-related potential below 100 °C and temperature-induced surface potential inversion above 100 °C demonstrate that the polarization-bound charges are well screened by polar adsorbates, such as H2O, below 100 °C.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have measured FE domains written on high-quality, sol–gel grown PZT thin film by AFM using PEEM and LEEM. We observed that a clear surface potential difference exists between P+ and P− domains. Furthermore, in situ temperature-dependent LEEM measurements allowed to determine the Curie temperature of PZT to be close to the bulk value of ~430 °C and the temperature-dependent desorption of polar screening charges. The experiments demonstrate that full-field electron microscopy is an excellent noncontact method for the study of the surface properties, charge state, and polarization of FE thin-film systems.
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