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ABSTRACT: The concentration-dependent self-assembly of star-shaped 1,3,5-tris(4-iodophenyl)benzene at the 1-phenyl-octane/graphite interface is investigated using scanning tunneling microscopy. The molecules self-assemble into a hexagonal porous halogen-bonded nanoarchitecture at low concentration. This structure is stabilized by X3 synthons. The molecules are oriented along the same direction in this arrangement. At higher concentration two molecular orientations are observed. The molecules then form a porous parallelogram halogen-bonded structure stabilized by X2 synthons. The density of molecular packing is thus higher at high solution concentration. High solution concentration also leads to the appearance of domain boundary in the parallelogram structure. Iodine bonds appear to be a promising alternative to hydrogen bonds to engineer tunable organic porous structures on flat surfaces.

INTRODUCTION

Molecular self-assembly offers unique possibilities for engineering two-dimensional (2D) nanoarchitectures on metal surfaces. The internal structure of these organic structures can be tailored at the atomic scale by exploiting intermolecular interactions.1,2 Strong directional intermolecular bindings are required to stabilize the formation of porous systems. Porous nanoarchitectures have been already produced taking advantage of intermolecular hydrogen bonding.1,3,4-10 The strength,11 the high selectivity, and the high directionality of this intermolecular binding12-15 prevent the formation of close-packed structures. Hybrid metal–organic and organic–ionic compound interactions have recently proven to be a selective and directional interaction that can stabilize the formation of sophisticated porous hybrid 2D structures.16-20 The halogen bond (X bond) appears to be an appealing alternative to these localized interactions to tailor molecular self-assembly at the atomic scale.21-27 The X bond is also a selective and directional intermolecular interaction. The strength of this bond is however strongly depending of its geometry.28-30 Hydrogen−1,3−14,15,16,19−21,23,24,26,27,35−47 as well as halogen-bonded1,3,12,13,15,29,34−47 organic nanoarchitectures have been successfully engineered in vacuum but also at the solid/liquid interface. In that case the solvent nature48-50 and molecular concentration can drastically affect the structure of the organic layer. Stepanenko et al., for example, investigated the concentration-dependent self-assembly of linear oligophenylethylene-based complexes at the solid/liquid interface.51 They observed that these complexes form a 2D lamellar structure at high concentration, whereas sophisticated rhombic-triangular Archimedean tiling arrangements appear at low concentration. The molecular Cl ligands appear to play a key role in the molecular self-assembly through multiple C−H⋯Cl interactions. Hu et al. also showed that molecular concentration can drastically affect the self-assembly of molecules with halogen atoms at the solid/liquid interface.52 Under saturated concentration they observed that some specific molecules form linear lamellae resulting from intermolecular van der Waals interactions. At lower concentration, solvent adsorbs on the surface and modifies the intermolecular interactions. Three solvent–molecule halogen and hydrogen bonds dominate the structural formation of the organic layer at low concentration. In these two examples51,52 the molecules possess halogen atoms and alkyl chains. It is therefore not clear if the variation of solution concentration mainly influences intermolecular halogen bonding or modifies the van der Waals interactions between adjacent molecular alkyl chains. To unveil the influence of solution concentration on halogen bonding, molecules without alkyl chains have to be selected. Eder et al. showed that increasing molecular concentration can lead to the formation of a nonorganized second monolayer of 1,3,5-tris(4-iodophenyl)benzene molecules on Au(111).53 Gatti et al. observed that solvent molecules can sometimes interact with molecules without an alkyl chain and form a 2D bicomponent structure on Au(111).54

In this paper the concentration-dependent self-assembly of 1,3,5-tris(4-iodophenyl)benzene molecules at the 1-phenyl-octane/graphite interface is investigated. These molecules do not have alkyl chains. Three solution concentrations are studied. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) reveals that solution concentration can drastically influence the halogen bonding between neighboring molecules. The internal structure of the self-assembled organic layer varies with solution concentration.
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**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Solutions of 1,3,5-tris(4-iodophenyl)benzene (90%, Aldrich) in 1-phenyloctane (Aldrich) were prepared. A droplet of the solution was then deposited on a graphite substrate. STM imaging of the samples was performed at the liquid–solid interface using a Pico-SPM (Molecular Imaging, Agilent Technology) scanning tunneling microscope. Cut Pt/Ir tips were used to obtain constant current images at room temperature with a bias voltage applied to the sample. STM images were processed and analyzed using the application FabViewer.55

**RESULTS**

The chemical structure of the 1,3,5-tris(4-iodophenyl)benzene molecule is presented in Figure 1. This 3-fold symmetry molecule is a star-shaped molecule. The molecular skeleton consists of a central benzene ring connected to three peripheral 4'-iodophenyl groups. The iodine atom separation is 1.34 nm.

The STM image in Figure 2 shows the graphite surface after deposition of a droplet of low concentration solution (<10^{-9} mol./L) of 1,3,5-tris(4-carboxyphenyl)benzene molecules in 1-phenyloctane. Molecules self-assemble into a large-scale 2D organic nanoarchitecture. A high-resolution STM image is presented in Figure 2b. The molecular iodine atoms appear brighter in the STM image.56 As a guide for the eyes, a molecular model has been superimposed to the STM image.

The STM image in Figure 3 shows the graphite surface after deposition of a droplet of a higher concentration solution (10^{-7})...
mol./L) of 1,3,5-tris(4-carboxyphenyl)benzene molecules in 1-phenyloctane. Molecules self-assembled into a second 2D porous organic nanoarchitecture. As a guide for the eyes, molecular schemes have been superimposed to the STM image. Two molecular orientations can now be observed in the organic network. Molecular closest neighbors are rotated by 180°. The two molecular orientations are represented by molecules in blue and green colors superimposed to the STM image in Figure 3. Molecules with different orientations are arranged side-by-side and are forming dimers (a molecular dimer is composed of a blue and a green molecule). The 2D nanoarchitecture is stabilized by X2 synthons between neighboring dimers (an X2 synthon is highlighted by a yellow ellipse in Figure 3). The molecules with identical orientation are thus forming chains also stabilized by X2 synthons. The angle between I···I bonds is 120°. The network unit cell of this porous structure is a parallelogram with 1.7 ± 0.2 nm and 1.9 ± 0.2 nm lattice constants and an angle of 73 ± 2° between the axes. The unit cell is represented by a dashed yellow parallelogram in Figure 3.

The STM image in Figure 4 shows the graphite surface after deposition of a droplet of a high-concentration solution (10−6 mol./L) of 1,3,5-tris(4-carboxyphenyl)benzene molecules in 1-phenyloctane. Molecules self-assemble into a 2D porous organic nanoarchitecture very similar to the one previously observed in Figure 3. Schemes of molecular dimers have been superimposed to the STM image in Figure 4. A molecular dimer is composed of one blue and one green molecule rotated by 180°. The large-scale STM image in Figure 4a shows that dimers are not perfectly aligned in the large-scale image of the surface. Domain boundaries are observed in the STM image. The domain boundaries are highlighted by yellow dashed lines and black arrows in Figure 4a.

A high-resolution STM image of the network domain boundary is presented in Figure 4b. As a guide for the eyes, molecular dimers of neighboring domains have been represented in different colors, i.e., blue-green colors and yellow-red colors. The molecules of neighboring domains conserve the same orientation. The internal structure of neighboring domains is identical and was described above in Figure 3. Only the local molecular arrangement at the domain boundary is different. Cavities are formed at the domain boundary. The cavity shape observed inside the organic domain is highlighted by a light blue dashed rectangle in Figure 4b, whereas the cavity shape observed at the domain boundary is highlighted by a yellow dashed rectangle. The cavity inside the domain is formed by two green and two blue molecules, whereas the cavity at the domain boundary is formed by two green, one blue, one yellow, and one red molecule, Figure 4b. The cavity shape inside the domain and at the domain boundary is chiral. The cavity models, presented in Figure 4b, reveal that the cavity at the domain boundary is the mirror-symmetry equivalent of the cavity inside the domain. This structure is chiral because of the steric arrangement of the molecules, i.e., molecular dimers are chiral. No other molecular nanoarchitectures were observed at higher solution concentration.

## DISCUSSION

STM shows that 1,3,5-tris(4-iodophenyl)benzene molecules self-assemble into different 2D porous nanoarchitectures at the 1-phenyloctane/graphite interface. These halogen-bonded structures strongly differ from those observed when the molecules are self-assembling in vacuum on Au(111). The 1,3,5-tris(4-iodophenyl)benzene self-assembly at the 1-phenyloctane/graphite interface appears to be strongly dependent on the solution concentration. STM reveals that at low concentration (<10−9 mol/L) the molecules self-assemble into a hexagonal porous structure. Only one molecular orientation is observed in this nanoarchitecture. This 2D arrangement is stabilized by X1 halogen synthons. The network density is 2.8 nm2/mol. At a concentration of ~10−7 mol/L, the molecules are forming a second 2D nanoarchitecture having a parallelogram unit cell. This structure is now stabilized by X1 halogen synthons and van der Waals interactions resulting from the side-by-side arrangement of neighboring molecules forming dimers. This increases the network density that is now 1.5 nm2/mol. For higher concentration solution, 10−6 mol/L, the...
molecules still self-assemble into the parallelogram structure but defects are now observed. Molecules are now not perfectly aligned on a large distance, Figure 4b. Domain boundaries are now observed. The boundary of self-assembled star-shaped molecule domains may be defective.\(^{56,57}\) This is not the case here. Molecules are well ordered at the domain boundary, and no domain gap is observed. Well-aligned cavities are observed in this area. STM reveals that the cavities formed at the domain boundaries are the mirror-symmetry equivalent of the cavity formed inside the molecular domain. The STM images show that increasing the solution concentration leads thus to the formation of a higher density 2D nanoarchitecture. It should be noticed that there are no solvent molecules in the cavities of the different organic networks.\(^{80}\) The density of the parallelogram nanoarchitecture is in fact 46% more dense than the hexagonal structure. High-concentration solutions lead to an increase of domain nucleation sites and therefore to the appearance of domain boundaries. The nucleation and growth dynamics of organic layer from solution-deposited organic compounds are more complex than vapor deposited compounds, since solvent/surface interactions, compound/solvent interactions, and compound/surface interactions have to be taken into consideration. Nucleation is a competition between the thermodynamic driving force (volume effects and enthalpic lowering of free energy by beneficial intermolecular interactions) and the energetic penalty associated with surface effects, i.e., creation of new surfaces. The rate of nucleation of stable domain of organic compounds is a function of the rate of deposition, surface temperature, surface properties, intermolecular interactions, and molecule/surface interactions. Nucleation rate is thus increasing with the deposition rate.\(^{59}\) This means that domain nucleation is therefore increasing at higher solution concentration. As the neighboring domains are not perfectly aligned, this leads to an increasing probability of domain boundary formation at high solution concentration. New theoretical developments to model the 2D growth of organic nanoarchitectures are required to estimate the dynamics of domain boundary formation. In contrast with ref 53, no formation of a second layer of molecules was observed on a graphite surface at high solution concentration and even for saturated concentration.

Bui et al. previously showed that the strength of the halogen bond depends on the C−X···X−C binding angle.\(^{28}\) For an angle of 180°, the halogen bond is similar to van der Waals interaction. In comparison, the strength of the halogen bond is stronger and similar to hydrogen bonds when the C−X···X−C angle is 120°. The 2D nanoarchitectures observed at low (Figure 2) and high concentrations (Figures 3 and 4) appear therefore to be stabilized by the strongest halogen bond, as the C−I···I−C angle is 120°.

**CONCLUSION**

To summarize, the influence of solution concentration on star-shaped 1,3,5-tris(4-iodophenyl)benzene self-assembly at the 1-phenyloctane/graphite interface was investigated using scanning tunneling microscopy. Molecules self-assemble into different porous halogen-bonded nanoarchitectures depending on the solution concentration. High solution concentrations also lead to the appearance of a domain boundary. These observations show that iodine−iodine bonds are promising intermolecular binding to control and tune two-dimensional molecular organization. This opens up new opportunities for engineering tailored organic nanoarchitectures having sophisticated structures.
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