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Abstract: The present study evaluates the ozone (O₃) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) removal performance of specific filters based on nanoporous materials. These materials, produced via the sol-gel process with functionalized silicon alkoxides as precursors, are tailored for O₃ trapping. The gas removal effectiveness of the filters was assessed through measurements of O₃ concentrations in the air upstream and downstream of the filters. Depending on the filter nature, O₃ can be totally trapped while NO₂ can pass over a specific concentration range.
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1. Introduction

The Tropospheric or ground level ozone (O₃) is an air pollutant produced by photochemical reactions and chemical reactions between oxides of nitrogen (NOₓ) and volatile organic compounds (VOC). High outdoor O₃ concentrations have been linked to asthma exacerbation, respiratory symptoms, heart attacks and premature death. In addition to the direct health risks, O₃ can also chemically react with various indoor pollutants [1,2] to produce more toxic volatile compounds. Since O₃ is the main interfering pollutant for NO₂ monitoring in the context of air quality control, various filters (nanocarbon, indigo thin film or MnO₂) were proposed and were found to efficiently trap O₃ without trapping NO₂ [3,4]. However, due to their structure, these filters were found to be too short-lived. Thus, our approach consists in the implementation of a relevant chemical filter highly impervious to O₃ and weakly reactive with NO₂ placed upstream a MOX gas sensors.

Various filters have been investigated based on several functionalized nanoporous materials doped with indigo carmine for the selective trapping of O₃. The filtering efficiency towards the target gases have been experimentally quantified for the different gas concentrations.

The work presented in this paper is divided into two parts; firstly, we describe the strategy of producing various filters with functionalized nanoporous matrices via the sol-gel process. Then, the synthetized filters will be tested in order to quantify their ability of O₃ trapping under various conditions. The second part is dedicated to the selection of filters to be used for NO₂ detection in O₃ environment. Various tests have been performed to check the total O₃ trapping by the filters with sensor and NO₂ detection with WO₃ based gas sensor using various O₃ up-stream filters.
2. Experimental

2.1. Syntheses of Doped Nanoporous Matrices and Characterization

Nanoporous monoliths of hybrid organic-inorganic polymers doped with indigo carmine were prepared via the Sol-Gel method using as reactants one or two silicon precursors. A one-pot synthesis procedure is applied for all syntheses. The chosen silicon precursors are tetramethoxy silane (TMOS), phenyl-triethoxysilane (PhTEOS) and chloropropyl-trimethoxysilane (ClTMOS). TMOS or a mixture of TMOS with either PhTEOS or CITMOS are added to the solvent, MeOH, in a beaker and the mixture is vigorously stirred while adding an aqueous solution of indigo carmine. The concentration of indigo carmine in the aqueous solution, 70 g·L\(^{-1}\), corresponds to its solubility in water. The sol was poured into specific molds where gelation occurs. The gels were dried in a desiccator under a N\(_2\) stream at room temperature. After the shrinkage process, transparent and dark blue monoliths were obtained. The proportion of the reactants is given in Table 1 along with the drying time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Sol Composition Molar Proportion</th>
<th>[IC] mol·L(^{-1})</th>
<th>Drying Time Days</th>
<th>Sads m(^2)g(^{-1})</th>
<th>V3 cm(^3)g(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>TMOS/PhTEOS/MeOH/H(_2)O 0.85/0.15/4/4</td>
<td>0.0266</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>560 ± 50</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34% (11–20 Å), 66% (21–53 Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>TMOS/CITMOS/MeOH/H(_2)O 0.8/0.2/4/4</td>
<td>0.0271</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (25–70 Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>TMOS/CITMOS/MeOH/H(_2)O 0.5/0.5/4/4</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Non porous</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>TMOS/CITMOS/MeOH/H(_2)O 0.7/0.3/4/4</td>
<td>0.0304</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Non porous</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The concentration of indigo carmine in the final matrices varies from 0.280 to 0.324 mol·cm\(^{-3}\), depending on the formulation. The porosity parameters, specific adsorption surface area and pore volume were determined via collecting adsorption isotherms of N\(_2\) at liquid nitrogen temperature with a porosity analyzer, Autosorb-1 from Quantachrome Instruments. F1 displays the highest specific adsorption surface area due to the presence of 34% of micropores (diameter < 20 Å) while F2 displays only mesopores (500 > diameter > 20 Å). The materials listed in Table 1 are ground, sieved and transferred into syringes of 3 mm of diameter. Each filter is filled with 1.79 g of the material to be tested.

2.2. Experimental Set-Up for the Detection of O\(_3\) and NO\(_2\)

The sensor used in this study consists of a new microhotplate platform and a sensitive layer. The microhotplate architecture was initially developed by IM2NP laboratory [5]. A thin dielectric membrane of 450 µm × 430 µm supports a set of three sensors and two heaters. A platinum heaters was designed to ensure the optimum response of the sensitive layer (Figure 1). In addition, two pairs of Pt electrodes were deposited on the same mask level and used to recover the signal on the sensitive layer. The metal-oxide is a polycrystalline WO\(_3\) sensitive layer (50 nm thick) produced by reactive R.F (13.56 MHz) magnetron sputtering and can detect both O\(_3\) and NO\(_2\). The gas sensing response is obtained by measuring the microsensor resistance with a Keithley 2450 source meter. The sensor is placed in a close thermo-regulated test chamber. The sensor sensitivity to NO\(_2\) and O\(_3\) is studied under several pollutant concentrations, from 200 ppb to 1000 ppb for NO\(_2\) and from 36 ppb to 210 ppb for O\(_3\). The experimental setup allows the tests of sensors under dry air and various calibrated gas mixtures, without or with the up-stream filter. For each pollutant concentration, the sensor was exposed to the gas mixture with constant flow rate of 500 sccm.
The trapping efficiency, $\tau$, corresponds to the amount of gas removed and is expressed in % (Equation (1)). $\tau$ is measured for each filter as a function of the exposure parameters.

$$\tau = \frac{[\text{gas}]_{\text{up}} - [\text{gas}]_{\text{down}}}{[\text{gas}]_{\text{up}}} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

3. Results and Discussion

In order to evaluate the efficiency of $\text{O}_3$ and $\text{NO}_2$ trapping, different measurements were carried out for each filter filled with 1.79 g of filtering material and placed up-stream of the $\text{WO}_3$-based sensor. F1 and F2 filters were found to remove completely $\text{O}_3$ but not $\text{NO}_2$ as shown in Table 2. In contrast, F3 and F4 which display no porosity only partially remove $\text{O}_3$ and $\text{NO}_2$.

Table 2. Filter trapping performance under $\text{O}_3$ and $\text{NO}_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>$\text{O}_3$</th>
<th>$\text{NO}_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓: removes completely the gas molecules; ×: does not completely remove the gas molecules.

The efficiency of the filters in removing $\text{O}_3$ is further tested with reduced amounts of filtering material (0.2 g). As shown in Figure 2a, F1 and F2 can still completely eliminate $\text{O}_3$ molecules while only a part of the initial concentration is removed with F3 and F4. For these latter, it can also be noted that the $\text{O}_3$ removal is increased with increasing $\text{O}_3$ concentration. In other terms, this means that the $\text{O}_3$ amount passing through the filter decreases as the up-stream concentration $\text{O}_3$ increases.

On the other hand, and unlike the $\text{O}_3$ case, all the filters remove partially $\text{NO}_2$ as illustrated in Figure 2b and the $\text{NO}_2$ removal appears to be dependent on the up-stream $\text{NO}_2$ concentration.

These preliminary results clearly indicate that F1 and F2 can efficiently trap $\text{O}_3$ and could be used to measure $\text{NO}_2$ in gas mixtures containing both pollutants. Further experiments will be needed to find out the range of $\text{NO}_2$ concentration over which F1 and F2 could be used up-stream of the sensor for the measurements of $\text{NO}_2$ in air.
Figure 2. Amount of gas removed by the four filters F1, F2, F3 and F4 as a function of initial concentration: (a) under O₃ and (b) under NO₂.

This work will also be enriched with future experiments combining humidity, gas mixture and filter. These ongoing experiments will allow to define the best conditions of use of filters coupled to the microsensors.
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