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ABSTRACT: The complexing power of hydrosoluble degradation products (HDPs) from an alkaline hydrolysis of a 10 MGy γ-irradiated polyvinylchloride is studied. The complexation of Eu(III), as an analogue of lanthanide and actinide radionuclides at their +III oxidation state for oxygen containing functions, is evidenced both from the increasing of Eu(OH)₃(s) dissolution, and from a complexometric titration by time-resolved luminescence spectroscopy. The dissolution of Eu(OH)₃(s) in a simplified alkaline solution (0.3 M KOH / 0.1 M NaOH) increases moderately, but significantly, with the HDPs concentration. The luminescence signal of the supernatant clearly indicates the presence of several complexed Eu(III) species. Performing a complexometric titration of Eu(III) from pH 6 by alkaline HDPs shows the formation of two different species with increasing HDPs’ concentration and pH. Operational complexation constants – based on dissolved carbon concentration – are proposed. The analysis of the spectra and luminescence decays seem to confirm the presence of two different species.

1. INTRODUCTION

Polymers are widely used in the nuclear industry: gloves or windows of glove-boxes, bottles, O-rings. During their use, these materials are in contact with radionuclides and suffer from radiolytic degradation. At the end of their service life, they are to be stored in intermediate-level long-lived waste (ILLW) packages in nuclear waste geological repositories, depending on national strategies. These packages are often cemented. Within the French concept, the ILLW packages would be stored in a deep underground clayey geological repository with cement backfill. During storage, the polymers will suffer from degradation by two phenomena: radio-oxidation and alkaline hydrolysis. Polymers are degraded by radio-oxidation, because of the presence of radionuclides (radiolytic degradation) and dioxygen (oxidation) into the waste packages. After repository closure, water will penetrate the repository and it will reach the packages’ cores. This event is depending on the water permeability of the host rock. As the design of the repository and the waste packaging include an important amount of concrete, the water will become alkaline (around pH 13) when reaching the waste packages’ cores.¹
Because of radio-oxidation and alkaline hydrolysis, organic hydro-soluble degradation products (HDPs) could be released in water. As an example, after \( \gamma \) irradiation of a plasticized poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC) under air up to 26 MGy, the identified HDPs obtained in alkaline water are largely additives, or degradation products from additives, such as phthalate ions, di-n-butyl phthalate, 2-ethylhexyl diphenyl phosphate and phenol. In the same way, the HDPs from a radio-oxidized poly(ether urethane) were formed of alcohols, carboxylic acids and primary amines, as well as polyether oligomers with different end groups (aldehyde, alcohol or double bond). It turned out that the more radio-oxidized the polymer, the higher the oxidation rate of HDPs and the lower their molar mass. When the absorbed dose tends to be very high, the expected HDPs for such a polymer should be low molar mass carboxylic acids, aldehydes and formic acid ester. A very recent work succeeded in characterizing HDPs released from an industrial radio-oxidized poly(ester urethane) hydrolysed in water. Short-organic acids such as acetic, formic, oxalic, malonic, glutaric, succinic, and adipic acids were identified and quantified. Other molecules were identified such as esters, alcohols, diols, ketones and polyester oligomers, confirming previous results.

From the very scarce literature on this topic, it is worth noting that HDPs nature and concentration depend on the studied polymer, its nature and additives. In addition, each study mentions that an appreciable amount of the pool of organic substances was not identified. Thus, there is a strong need to identify HDPs from the different polymers that could be present in the nuclear wastes.

Among these organic pools, the possibility to generate complexing entities is susceptible to lead to modification of radionuclides solubility in cementitious environment. A striking example is the formation of isosaccharinic acid during cellulose alkaline hydrolysis. Another is the radiolytic degradation of ion exchange resins, producing short-chained organic molecules and an unknown pool of ligand. Nevertheless, from the known complexation properties of short-chained organic molecules, it is most unlikely that an influence on the solubility of actinides may occur under cementitious conditions. The adsorption properties of these short-chained molecules are also relatively weak in cement. Only carbohydrates, e.g. \( \alpha \)-isosaccharinic acid, or polyaminocarboxylates, e.g. EDTA, could have an influence on actinides solubility in alkaline media. Phthalic acid, which is also known to form complexes in mildly acidic medium, seems to form a mixed hydroxo complex with Eu(III), but the solid formed seems to be less soluble than Eu(OH)\(_3\)(s). Our aim is to investigate the global behaviour of an alkaline solution of HDPs obtained from a radio-oxidized PVC – under \( \gamma \) irradiation afterward cited as \( \gamma \)-PVC-HDP. As a preliminary step, it is
chosen to analyse HDPs’ interactions with europium(III), as a chemical analogue of trivalent lanthanides and actinides for oxygen containing functions. PVC was irradiated under air at 10 MGy by γ-rays, and hydrolysed in alkaline water. First, the obtained solution was put in contact with Eu(OH)₃(s) under alkaline conditions and the solubility was measured by Time-Resolved Luminescence Spectroscopy (TRLS) and Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES). Second, a mildly acidic Eu(III) solution was titrated with the alkaline HDP solution to evidence by TRLS the potential Eu(III) complexation. The luminescence spectra and decays were analysed and discussed, and the global interaction constants were estimated. The implication of this complexing power on Eu(III) solubility in and out of a geological repository is then discussed.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. CHEMICALS

Additive-free PVC (grade K70) has been supplied in powder form by Plastunion (Bondy, France). Eu(OH)₃(s) was synthesized by forced hydrolysis, of Eu(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Aldrich Co) in 10⁻² M HNO₃. Solid Eu(OH)₃ was precipitated with KOH. The solid was dried at 60°C for two days. The theoretical solubility of Eu(OH)₃(am) was calculated see thermodynamic data in Table S1 of the Supplementary Information (SI) — and correction from non-ideality is made using the parameters from Kielland. The limiting Eu(CO₃)₃³⁻ complex (Table S1 of the SI) is added to the model. As there is possible artefacts in the account of Eu(OH)₄⁻, only the first three hydroxo complexes were taken into account.

2.2. PREPARATION OF γ-PVC-HDPs

Additive-free PVC was irradiated as received, under the form of films, at room temperature under air in open pillboxes using γ-rays (⁶⁰Co source) by IONISOS (Dagneux, France). A glass crystallizer was placed on the top of each pillbox to prevent dust deposition on the samples, while allowing air to flow inside the container. Dosimetry was performed using radiographic (Red Perspex) dosimeters that were changed regularly. No electronic correction was made to account for the electronic density difference between water and additive-free PVC. The irradiation dose was 10 MGy and the mean dose rate was about 0.54 kGy/h. Uncertainties on given doses were less than 6%. The obtained solid γ-irradiated polymer (γ-PVC) were then used for the complexing power study of the alkaline HDPs.
The study of the complexing power of γ-PVC-HDPs is not straightforward in representative cement-pore waters. The composition of these pore waters is complex.\textsuperscript{26} Even if simplified artificial pore waters were proposed,\textsuperscript{27} they comprise calcium, which was shown to be a competitor for e.g. Th(IV) complexation by α-isosaccharinic acid.\textsuperscript{17} Even if Vercammen et al.\textsuperscript{7} did not evidence any effect of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} on the complexation of Eu(III) by α-isosaccharinic acid, it was decided to use an even simpler alkaline medium consisting of 0.3 M KOH and 0.1 M NaOH (pH \approx 13.5) as a first step.

The alkaline extraction of γ-PVC-HDPs was done by contacting a weighed amount of approx. 1 g of solid γ-PVC in 10 g of 0.3 M KOH and 0.1 M NaOH previously degassed solution, under inert atmosphere (Ar) at 60° C for two weeks in order to increase the extraction/hydrolysis kinetics. The obtained γ-PVC-HDPs solution was analysed in total organic carbon analysis (TOC-metre, Variotoc CUBE, Elementar) using weighed potassium hydrogenophthalate solutions as standards. After dilution by weighing, the carbon concentration of the γ-PVC-HDP solution was 21.1 ± 0.1 g_C/kg_w (211 g_C/kg_polymer, 1.76 mol_C/kg_w).

2.3. **Dissolution of Eu(OH)\textsubscript{3}(s) in the presence of γ-PVC-HDPs**

The pH value was measured using a combined glass electrode Inlab micro. The electrode was calibrated externally with four points, using commercial buffer solutions (pH 4.01, 7.01, and 10.00) plus a portlandite – Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}(s) – suspension filtered just before use, which theoretical pH value is 12,7 at 22°C. The linearity of the electrode response was checked and gave uncertainties better than 0.06 (1σ).

Different organic solutions were used for the solubility experiments. First, simulated solutions of varying total carbon concentration, consisting of four selected organic acids determined in HDPs, were used (Table 1). Phthalic acid, which is representative of plasticizers in different polymers, was used in additional solutions of varying total organic carbon.

From the known complexation constants of the lanthanides by these acids,\textsuperscript{12,15,19,21,28-30} neither complexation of Eu(III) nor solubility enhancement of Eu(OH)\textsubscript{3}(s) at pH values relevant of cementitious environment are awaited. The account of other organic molecules recently evidenced\textsuperscript{5} does not change the situation according to available thermodynamic data.\textsuperscript{31}

Second, weighed aliquots of 5 mg of Eu(OH)\textsubscript{3}(s) in 5 mg of the artificial alkaline water were placed in 10 mL centrifuge tubes. The synthetic solutions or γ-PVC-HDPs were added from weighed aliquots
of the original solutions. After controlling the pH value, the tubes are closed under a flush of Ar. The solubility samples were placed under horizontal agitation for only one week in order to minimize CO$_2$(g) contamination.\textsuperscript{32} As the effect of ultracentrifugation on $\gamma$-PVC-HDPs is not known, the separation of phases was done by sedimentation. The formation of colloidal particles that could have stayed in the suspensions cannot be avoided, but is a common bias to every batch of these series.

Table 1. Composition of the different synthetic solutions with total carbon concentration variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concentration (mol/kg$_w$)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>S7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic</td>
<td>$1.53 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5.09 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.53 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$5.09 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.53 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.06 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$7.64 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic</td>
<td>$4.13 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.38 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.13 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.38 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$4.13 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$8.26 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$2.07 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic</td>
<td>$3.55 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.18 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3.55 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.18 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.55 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$7.09 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.77 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaric</td>
<td>$7.58 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2.53 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$7.58 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.53 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$7.58 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.52 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3.79 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>$1.81 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$6.02 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.81 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$6.02 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.81 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$3.83 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$8.91 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>P5</td>
<td>P6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phthalic</td>
<td>$1.87 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$5.14 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2.06 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5.64 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.25 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>$1.50 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$4.12 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.64 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.52 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.80 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$9.99 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. **Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optic Emission Spectroscopy**

For some samples the dissolved Eu concentrations in supernatants were quantified by ICP-OES (Perking Elmer Optima 2000 DV Spectrometer). Eu concentrations were determined at 412.970 nm and 381.967 nm using five or six points calibration curves (0 to 10 000 ppm); the uncertainties were better than 5%. Some samples were diluted before analysis to meet the concentration range of the calibration curve.

During the preconditioning for the typical ICP-OES analysis in 0.1 M HNO$_3$, sedimentation of the $\gamma$-PVC-HDPs were observed – see picture in Fig. S1 of the SI. These supernatants were calcined and dissolved in 0.1 M HNO$_3$. Afterwards, it was decided to analyse the supernatants without acidification in ICP-OES by the standard addition method, and to double the analyses in TRLS in 3M K$_2$CO$_3$,\textsuperscript{33} a medium which maintains the alkalinity of the solution and enhances the luminescence of Eu(III) through the formation of Eu(CO$_3$)$_3^{3-}$ complex.
2.5. **Time-resolved luminescence spectroscopy**

The experimental set-up, luminescence spectra acquisitions, luminescence decay times fitting, and fitting uncertainties estimations have been described elsewhere. A 300 lines/mm grating (Princeton, Evry, France) was used. The luminescence signal was collected during a time gate width ($W$) of 300 µs, at an initial delay time ($D$) of 10 µs after the excitation laser pulse. To increase the signal-to-noise ratio, 300 to 1000 accumulations were performed for each spectrum. All measurements were carried out at room temperature (20°C). The excitation wavelength was set in the $^5L_6 \rightarrow ^7F_0$ transition of Eu(III) ($\lambda_{exc} = 393.7$ nm). For each obtained spectrum a background correction was performed.

2.5.1. **Eu(III) concentration analysis in the supernatants**

For the Eu(III) concentration analyses, a weighed 1 mL aliquot was sampled into a 1 cm quartz cuvette, and the necessary amount of solid K$_2$CO$_3$ was weighed and added to the aliquot to provide the 3 M medium. The concentration of Eu was determined using the standard addition method. The standard was obtained from the dissolution of 99.99% Eu$_2$O$_3$ (Johnson Matthey, Roissy, France) in 3 M K$_2$CO$_3$ – all amounts were weighed. The area under the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$ transition (trapezoid method) was plotted versus the added (weighed amounts of typically 10-100 µL) concentrations of standard.

2.5.2. **Eu(III) speciation in the presence of γ-PVC-HDP**

Eu(III) spectra with increasing concentration of γ-PVC-HDP were obtained from an initial $10^{-6}$ M Eu solution at pH 6 in 0.1 M NaCl. This solution was prepared from a $10^{-3}$ M stock solution obtained after the dissolution of 99.99% Eu$_2$O$_3$ (Johnson Matthey, Roissy, France) in 3.5 $10^{-3}$ M HCl. Weighed amounts of γ-PVC-HDP were added to a 1.5 mL aliquot of the $10^{-6}$ M Eu solution. Some solutions were kept for at least three weeks and checked regularly for luminescence signal modification due to eventual precipitation of either Eu(OH)$_3$(am) or EuOHCO$_3$(cr).

3. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

3.1. **Solubility of Eu(OH)$_3$(s)**

Results obtained by ICP-OES and TRLS are shown in Figure 1. As awaited from the already known complexation constants from the “simple” organic molecules—vide ante—, no significant increase of the Eu(OH)$_3$(s) solubility is evidenced in 0.3 M KOH/0.1 M NaOH neither for the organic acids nor for phthalic acid. Nevertheless, a significant increase by one and a half order of magnitude of the
Eu(III) concentration in the supernatant is observed for γ-PVC-HDP concentration higher than 1 molc/kg$_w$, either from ICP-OES or TRLS results.

Figure 1: Dissolution of Eu(OH)$_3$(s) in artificial alkaline solution (KOH 0.3/NaOH 0.1 M) at varying carbon concentration of synthetic solutions and γ-PVC-HDPs, analysed in ICP-OES (circles), and in TRLS (squares): blue symbols are the Eu dissolution with no organics added, orange symbols are for γ-PVC-HDPs, white symbols are for the short-chained mix of acids, empty symbols are for phthalic acid; blue line is the theoretical solubility of Eu(OH)$_3$(am) based on thermodynamic constants$^{23,25}$ and blue zone is the calculated uncertainty on Eu solubility, red dotted line is the operational solubility curves considering the formation of Eu(OH)$_3$(HDP) and green dashed line is the operational solubility curves considering the formation of Eu(OH)$_2$(HDP)$_3$, with the red and green zones being the same uncertainty as the blue zone.

The determination of formation constants seems awkward considering our lack of knowledge of the types of chemical functions that are engaged in complexation reactions and their amounts. Titration
experiments would be desirable, but were not performed up to now due to the very low amount of γ-PVC-HDPs’ solution available and the amount of products that is usually necessary for e.g. natural organic products.\textsuperscript{38-40} It is nevertheless tempting to calculate an operational complexation constant, $K_{op}$, relative to the total carbon concentration in solution—omitting the charges of the complex because of our lack of knowledge on γ-PVC-HDP functionality.

$$\text{Eu}^{3+} + n\text{H}_2\text{O} + m\text{HDP} \rightleftharpoons \text{Eu(OH)}_n(\text{HDP})_m + n\text{H}^+$$

$$K_{op} = \frac{[\text{Eu(OH)}_n(\text{HDP})_m][\text{H}^+]^n}{[\text{Eu}^{3+}][\text{HDP}]^m} \quad (1)$$

Unfortunately, the increase of the solubility is quite limited. Several hypotheses can be proposed combining different values of $n$ and $m$. First, as the major Eu(III) species at this pH value is Eu(OH)$_3$(aq), one can propose the formation of Eu(OH)$_3$(HDP). The dotted line in Figure 1 is obtained from the above operational equilibrium considering that Eu(OH)$_3$(aq) is major in solution under our conditions yielding Eu(OH)$_3$(HDP), and that 1 mole of carbon from HDP is implied. The increase in Eu(OH)$_3$(s) dissolution is poorly represented with an operational log$_{10}K_{op} = -23.7$ ($r^2 = 0.429$).

One can also consider that a lower hydrolysed species is forming a complex. As the increase in solubility seems to follow a slope of +3, Eu(OH)$_2$(HDP)$_3$, a combination of 2 moles of H$_2$O for 3 moles of carbon in HDPs (dotted line), would better represent the evolution in Figure 1, with an operational log$_{10}K_{op} = -9.9$ ($r^2 = 0.743$). From the low amount of available data, due to the low amount of available sample and the small increase in Eu(OH)$_3$(s) solubility, it is difficult to firmly discriminate one hypothesis from the other.

### 3.2. LUMINESCENCE OF Eu(III) IN THE PRESENCE OF γ-PVC-HDPs

The Eu(III) luminescence spectra of the supernatant of solubility experiments of Eu(OH)$_3$(s), and after 1 or 2 days in contact with γ-PVC-HDPs—before adding K$_2$CO$_3$—are shown in Fig. S2 of the SI. In addition to a remaining luminescence due to the γ-PVC-HDPs, the luminescence of solubilized Eu(III) can be clearly observed. The $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$ transition dominating the spectrum at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ approx. 615 nm indicates the formation of a complex.
3.2.1. Complexation of Eu(III) by γ-PVC-HDPs

The evolution of the luminescence of Eu(III) from pH 6 (0.1 M NaCl), by adding γ-PVC-HDPs is shown normalized to the area of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ transition in Figure 2a, and normalized to the 560-715 nm span in Fig. S3 of the SI. The presence of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_0$ transition indicates the presence of at least one species other than Eu$^{3+}$, although it may be a minor species. The variation of the intensity of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_0$ transition in Fig. S4 of the SI, issued from Fig. S3 of the SI, suggests that several mechanisms are taking place. First, an increase of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_0$ relative intensity up to $3 \times 10^{-3}$ molc/kgw suggests a loss of centro-symmetry of the complex. Second, a further decrease of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_0$ relative intensity to values lower than the original one at pH 6 and no carbon added suggests that the final complex is more centro-symmetric.

The complexation of Eu(III) by the γ-PVC-HDPs is also evidenced by the typical change in the area ratio $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2 / ^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ transitions (Figure 2b), commonly defined as the $^7F_2 / ^7F_1$ asymmetry ratio. The initial $^7F_2 / ^7F_1$ ratio of 0.8—instead of ca. 0.3 for Eu$^{3+}$—further indicates that the initial Eu(III) signal cannot be only attributed to Eu$^{3+}$. The increasing asymmetry ratio and the variation of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_0$ transition with the γ-PVC-HDPs carbon concentration indicate changes in the symmetry of the complex(es). The full width at half height of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ transition is gradually increasing, and the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$ is slightly blue-shifted. The $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ and $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_4$ transitions relative intensities are slightly decreasing and red-shifted (Fig. S3 of the SI).
Figure 2. Evolutions with the γ-PVC-HDPs concentration (mol_c/kg_w) of (a) the luminescence spectra of Eu(III) normalized to the area of the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ transition—from 0 blue thick line to 0.274 mol_c/kg_w red thick line—, and (b) the $^7F_2 / ^7F_1$ asymmetry ratio (circles) and solution pH (diamonds); [Eu] = 1 µM, $D$ = 10 µs, $W$ = 300 µs, $\lambda_{exc}$ = 393.7 nm, $I$ = 0.1 M NaCl; empty circles represent experiments for which decay time measurements are presented in Figure 4. Error bars represent 2σ of the $^7F_2 / ^7F_1$ asymmetry ratio using the trapezoid method.
The obtained spectra are clearly different from either Eu(III) hydroxo complexes but also from Eu(CO\(_3\))\(_n\) complexes \(^{25,41,42}\). The plot of the asymmetry ratio (Figure 2b) is typically showing the formation of at least one complex with increasing carbon concentration and pH. Speciation diagrams under different conditions are calculated in Fig. S5 of the SI.\(^{23,25}\) Under our conditions, either Eu(OH)\(_3\)(am)—if no CO\(_2\)(g) is present in Fig. S5a of the SI—or EuOHCO\(_3\)(cr)—in an open system in Fig. S5c of the SI—should precipitate under mildly alkaline conditions. In a closed system—as in spectroscopic cuvettes, two volumes of air over one volume of water—, EuOHCO\(_3\)(cr) should precipitate between pH 7 and 10.2, and then Eu(OH)\(_3\)(am) should be in equilibrium with Eu(OH)\(_3\)(aq) at higher pH values (Fig. S5e of the SI). We did not observe any precipitation nor any change in the Eu(III) luminescence signal over the course of the experiments—the sample with γ-PVC-HDPs of the highest carbon concentration and at pH 11.2 was kept, and the TRLS signal and spectrum checked, for several weeks. The presence of a complex is in agreement with the enhanced Eu(OH)\(_3\)(s) solubility observed previously at higher pH.

As stressed earlier, the determination of the formation constants can only be global without the knowledge of γ-PVC-HDPs functionality. Following the same reasoning, which has been used in solubility interpretation, and considering the simple equilibrium between non-complexed europium (Eu\(_{nc}\)) and γ-PVC-HDPs, one can write the following operational equilibrium with associated operational constant \(K_{op}\).

\[
\text{Eu}_{nc} + m \text{ HDP} \rightleftharpoons \text{Eu(HDP)}_m
\]

\[
K_{op,Eu} = \frac{[\text{Eu(HDP)}_m]}{[\text{Eu}_{nc}][\text{HDP}]^m}
\]  

(2)

The plot of \(\log_{10}(\text{[Eu(HDP)}_m]/[\text{Eu}]_{nc})\) vs. \(\log_{10}[\text{HDP}]\) in Figure 3a gives a slope close to unity (Table 2) and \(\log_{10}K_{op,Eu} = 3.23 \pm 0.09\) (1σ) at the intercept on the basis of the carbon concentration of γ-PVC-HDPs.
Figure 3. Determination of the Eu(III)-HDP complexes’ stoichiometries—on mol$_C$/kg$_w$ basis—and apparent formation constants $K_{op}$ from TRLS results from Figure 2 considering (a) total non-complexed europium, (b) Eu$^{3+}$ free , (c) EuOH$^{2+}$, and (d) Eu(OH)$_2^+$ in solution using hydrolysis constants; $^{23}$ [Eu(III)]$_{total}$ = 10$^{-6}$ mol/kg$_w$, $I$ = 0.1 M NaCl.

Table 2. Possible slope analyses of Figure 3 and Fig. S6 of the SI. Charges are omitted because of the lack of knowledge on the functionality of γ-PVC-HDPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equilibrium</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>log$<em>{10}K</em>{op}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eu + HDP ⇌ EuHDP</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.9680</td>
<td>3.23 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$^{2+}$ + 2 HDP ⇌ Eu(HDP)$_2$</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.7108</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$^{3+}$ + 8 HDP ⇌ Eu(HDP)$_3$</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.9611</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(OH)$^{2+}$ + HDP ⇌ Eu(OH)HDP</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.8203</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$^{3+}$ + H$_2$O + HDP ⇌ Eu(OH)HDP + H$^+$</td>
<td>-4.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(OH)$^{2+}$ + 5 HDP ⇌ Eu(OH)(HDP)$_5$</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9799</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$^{3+}$ + H$_2$O + 5HDP ⇌ Eu(OH)(HDP)$_5$ + H$^+$</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(OH)$_2^+$ + 3 HDP ⇌ Eu(OH)$_2$(HDP)$_3$</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.9536</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$^{3+}$ + 2H$_2$O + 2HDP ⇌ Eu(OH)$_2$(HDP)$_3$ + 2H$^+$</td>
<td>-9.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This operational constant can also be plotted against the free ion Eu\(^{3+}\) concentration considering the hydrolysis constants of Eu(III)\(^{23,25}\)

\[
[\text{Eu}]_{nc} = [\text{Eu}^{3+}] \left(1 + \sum^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n}{[H]^n}\right) = \alpha_{\text{Eu}^{3+}} \cdot [\text{Eu}^{3+}]
\]

(3)

The plot of \(\log_{10}([\text{Eu(HDP)}_m]/[\text{Eu}^{3+}])\) vs. \(\log_{10}([\text{HDP}])\) in Figure 3b gives two straight lines: the first is showing a slope close to 2 (Table 2) with \(\log_{10}K_{ph,1,\text{Eu}^{3+}} = 5.5 \pm 1.1\) (1σ), and the second slope is close to 8 with \(\log_{10}K_{ph,2,\text{Eu}^{3+}} = 16.1 \pm 0.8\) (1σ). It is worth to notice that the uncertainty on the first straight line parameters is rather high, and that the high carbon concentration part is giving a high number of carbon implied in complexation.

The slope analyses can also be done considering the other hydroxo complexes. The calculation of the Ringböm’s coefficients for the different hydroxo complexes—\(\alpha_{\text{Eu(OH)}_{2}^{+}}, \alpha_{\text{Eu(OH)}_{2}^{3+}}, \) and \(\alpha_{\text{Eu(OH)}_{3}^{(aq)}}\)—are recalled in the SI and the equivalent plots of equation (3) for each hydroxo complex is shown in Fig. S6 of the SI. The slopes, \(\log_{10}K_{ph}\) and determination coefficient \((r^2)\) are reported in (Table 2). First, it can be noted that all the plots of \(\log_{10}([\text{Eu(HDP)}_m]/[\text{Eu(OH)}_{n}^{(3-n)+}])\) vs. \(\log_{10}([\text{HDP}])\) in Fig. S6 of the SI are showing a change in slopes at \(\log_{10}([\text{HDP}])\approx -1.7, \) i.e. 0.02 mol/c/kg, for every situation. This indicates that two species are likely to occur during the complexometric titration. Accounting for \(\alpha_{\text{Eu(OH)}_{3}^{(aq)}}\) is leading non-sense negative slope in the first part of the isotherm and to a slope of 0 in the second part, which suggests that this species is not likely in interaction with HDPs. The interaction with Eu(OH)\(_2^+\) is also unlikely in the first part of the isotherm as a slope of 0 is obtained; a slope of 2.6 is obtained in the second part of the isotherm. The use of \(\alpha_{\text{Eu(OH)}_{2}^{3+}}\) (Figure 3c) is showing two slopes, the first one being close to unity, and the second close to 5, with \(r^2\) being slightly higher than for \(\alpha_{\text{Eu}^{3+}}\).

It is also possible to express these constant related to Eu\(^{3+}\), using thermodynamic hydrolysis constants corrected to 0.1 M NaCl\(^{23,24}\). Interestingly, the value obtained relative to the complexation of Eu(OH)\(_2^+\) in the second part of the isotherm is in very good agreement with the possible constant obtained in dissolution experiment using the formation of Eu(OH)\(_2^+(\text{HDP})\) on carbon concentration basis. One must here remind that these stoichiometries are based on the quantities of carbon involved in the complexation reaction. They do not give directly the stoichiometry of the functions implied in the complexation. It is also worthy to notice that the pH dependence should also include the acid base properties of HDPs. The mixed hydroxo complexes of Eu(III) with natural organic matter was proven not to be necessary in the modelling\(^{36}\). Further works are needed to confirm these observations, and
obtain complexation constants based on the functionality quantification. Hence, for further use of speciation calculation we would use the equilibria implying Eu(OH)(HDP) and Eu(OH)₂(HDP)₃.

3.2.2. Decay time evolution

To help interpreting the slope analyses, the decay time evolutions of two particular points of Figure 2—pH 8.85, log₁₀[HDP] = -1.68; and pH 11.21, log₁₀[HDP] = -0.56—are presented in Figure 4—time-resolved spectra in Fig. S8 of the SI. The first striking feature is the presence of a bi-exponential decay for the two cases—correlation matrices in Table S2 of the SI. The values of τ₁ are faster than Eu³⁺ (110 µs).⁴³ If this feature is commonly observed for natural organic complexes of Eu(III), ³⁴⁻³⁶,⁴⁴ this is to our knowledge the first observation for anthropogenic organic samples. Faster than Eu³⁺ mono-exponential decays were also evidenced for hydroxybenzoic acids complexes.³⁰,⁴⁵,⁴⁶ The τ₂ values are slower than that of natural organic complexes of Eu(III).³⁴⁻³⁶,⁴⁴

Applying the relationship from Kimura and Choppin⁴⁷ to the τ₂ values, approx. 3.2 (Figure 2a) and 2.3 (Figure 2b) water molecules (± 0.5) remain in the first hydration sphere of the Eu-HDP complex(es). Then, at the end of the complexation reaction—empty points in Figure 2b—only two water molecules are still in the first hydration sphere of Eu(III). Knowing that 8-9 water molecules are involved in the first hydration of Eu³⁺,⁴⁸ 6-7 positions should be occupied. As we only have access to the amount of carbon implied in the complexation process, it seems awkward to propose a denticity for Eu-HDP complexes. Moreover, the application of the relationship from Kimura and Choppin⁴⁷ has not been firmly established in the case of hydroxo complexes of Eu(III).⁴¹ Nevertheless, from the concordant data on Eu(OH)₂(HDP)₃ one can await that the complexes have at least a certain degree of multi-denticity.
Figure 4. Luminescence decays of Eu(III) at (a) 0.021 molC/kgw (pH 8.85, log_{10}([HDP] / molC/kgw) = -1.68) and (b) 0.274 molC/kgw (pH 11.21, log_{10}([HDP] / molC/kgw) = -0.56) of γ-PVC-HDPs. Calculated on the area of the 5D_{0}→7F_{2} transition, W = 300 µs, λ_{exc} = 393.7 nm; plain line is the bi-exponential decay composed of a fast (dotted) and a slow (dash-dot) component, and dashed line is the mono-exponential decay. Uncertainties on decay times are fitting uncertainties.
4. IMPLICATION ON Eu(III) SOLUBILITY AND SPECIATION IN THE pH/C(γ-PVC-HDP) STUDIED DOMAIN

The solubility surfaces of total 0.01 M Eu(OH)$_3$(am) as a function of pH and γ-PVC-HDP concentration can be drawn (Figure 5a), using the formation constants for Eu(OH)(HDP) and Eu(OH)$_2$(HDP)$_3$ given in Table 2. First, it appears clearly that important amount of γ-PVC-HDPs are needed as important carbon concentration are necessary to obtain a significant solubilisation of Eu(OH)$_3$(s). The solubility of Eu(OH)$_3$(am) is seen noticeably enhanced at the lowest pH and highest γ-PVC-HDP concentration values, but seems limited to less than two orders of magnitude for ca. 1 molC/kgw—which was the amount of carbon concentration used here. Nevertheless, the calculated solubility is decreasing with pH—due to the dependence on [H$^+$]$^n$—, which induces that the affinity of γ-PVC-HDP for Eu(III) increases when pH is decreasing. It means that the influence of γ-PVC-HDP on lanthanides(III) or actinides(III) extends down to mildly alkaline pH media, such as argillaceous host rock conditions.$^{49,51}$

In addition the solubility surfaces of EuOHCO$_3$(cr) with and without the γ-PVC-HDP at P$_{CO_2(g)}$ = 10$^{-3.5}$ atm—limited in the pH span 6-9.5—is drawn in Figure 5b. Here again the solubility of the phase is slightly enhanced at higher pH and more important at lower pH, as the solubility of EuOHCO$_3$(cr) is increased by four orders of magnitude ca. pH 8.5 and 1 molC/kgw. The influence should be lower at P$_{CO_2(g)}$ = 10$^{-2}$ atm—as for typical equivalent partial pressure for argillaceous rocks,$^{50,51}$ see Fig. S7 of the SI,—, as the increase is approx. two orders of magnitude at pH 8.5.
Figure 5. Evolution of the solubility surfaces of $[\text{Eu}]_{\text{tot}} = 10^{-2} \text{mol/kg}_w$ as a function of pH and concentration of $\gamma$-PVC-HDP, on total carbon basis, using thermodynamic constants $^{23,25}$ and formation constants for Eu(OH)(HDP) and Eu(OH)$_2$(HDP)$_3$ in Table 2 of (a) Eu(OH)$_3$(am) at $P_{CO_2}(g) = 10^{-12} \text{ atm}$, and (b) EuOHCO$_3$(cr) at $P_{CO_2}(g) = 10^{-3.5} \text{ atm}$. 
5. CONCLUSIONS

From these experiments, it seems clear that the complexation of Eu(III) by γ-PVC-HDPs can occur under cementitious conditions, but also under more neutral conditions as in argillaceous host rocks. The slight but significant increase of Eu(OH)₃(s) dissolution, the evolution of the TRLS signal in the supernatant from dissolution experiments, the TRLS titration of Eu(III) by γ-PVC-HDPs, and the decay times analyses are all converging to this conclusion. It seems that several complexes are occurring, but the exact stoichiometry is still difficult to assess. From our experiments, as awaited from the thermodynamic data available, the synthetic solutions do not seem to increase the dissolution of Eu(OH)₃(s) in alkaline medium. The amount of HDPs, on carbon concentration basis, needed to have an appreciable effect on the solubility in high alkaline media, and the operational formation constants ($K_{op}$), allow providing a global evaluation of the γ-PVC-HDPs impact, knowing their inventory in the wastes.

Further works are needed, particularly in the light of more recent identification of molecules in γ-PVC-HDPs to ascertain the composition of these γ-PVC-HDPs, their functionality—particularly the organic moieties that are responsible of these complexation reactions—and to determine the variability of the complexing moieties as a function of the polymer and the γ-ray dose. The interactions of these organic moieties with cementitious phases should also be of interest to appreciate their retardation in cements before reaching the host rock.
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