Bandgap inhomogeneity of MoS₂ monolayer on epitaxial graphene bilayer in van der Waals p-n junction

Zeineb Ben Aziza, Hugo Henck, Daniela Di Felice, Debora Pierucci, Julien Chaste, Carl H. Naylor, Adrian Balan, Yannick J. Dappe, A.T. Charlie Johnson, Abdelkarim Ouerghi

► To cite this version:

Zeineb Ben Aziza, Hugo Henck, Daniela Di Felice, Debora Pierucci, Julien Chaste, et al.. Bandgap inhomogeneity of MoS₂ monolayer on epitaxial graphene bilayer in van der Waals p-n junction. Carbon, 2016, 110, pp.396 - 403. 10.1016/j.carbon.2016.09.041 . cea-01490885

HAL Id: cea-01490885

<https://hal-cea.archives-ouvertes.fr/cea-01490885>

Submitted on 16 Mar 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Bandgap inhomogeneity of MoS₂ monolayer on epitaxial graphene bilayer in van der Waals p-n junction

Zeineb Ben Aziza ^a, Hugo Henck ^a, Daniela Di Felice ^b, Debora Pierucci ^a, Julien Chaste ^a, Carl H. Naylor ^c, Adrian Balan ^c, Yannick J. Dappe ^b, A.T. Charlie Johnson ^c, Abdelkarim Ouerghi ^{a,*}

^a Centre de Nanosciences et de Nanotechnologies, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, C2N – Marcoussis, 91460 Marcoussis, France

^b SPEC, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris Saclay, CEA Saclay, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

^c Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, 209S 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA, 19104 6396, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 June 2016

Received in revised form

13 September 2016

Accepted 17 September 2016

Available online 18 September 2016

ABSTRACT

Atomically thin MoS₂/graphene vertical heterostructures are promising candidates for nanoelectronic and optoelectronic technologies. In this work, we studied the optical and electronic properties of n doped single layer MoS₂ on p doped bilayer graphene vdW heterostructures. We demonstrate a non-uniform strain between two different orientation angles of MoS₂ monolayer on top of epitaxial bilayer graphene. A significant downshift of the E_{12g} mode, a slight downshift of the A_{1g} mode, and photoluminescence shift and quenching are observed between two MoS₂ monolayers differently oriented with respect to graphene; This could be mostly attributed to the strain-induced transition from direct to indirect bandgap in monolayer MoS₂. Moreover, our theoretical calculations about differently-strained MoS₂ monolayers are in a perfect accordance with the experimentally observed behavior of differently-oriented MoS₂ flakes on epitaxial bilayer graphene. Hence, our results show that strain-induced bandgap engineering of single layered MoS₂ is dependent on the orientation angle between stacked layers. These findings could be an interesting novel way to take advantage of the possibilities of MoS₂ and deeply exploit the capabilities of MoS₂/graphene van der Waals heterostructures.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Ever since the technique to isolate stable single-layer graphene was reported, the study of two-dimensional (2D) materials has gained a lot of research interest. Hence, a broad family of 2D materials like graphene, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), and topological insulators have been explored so far [1]. For the sake of exploring their fundamental interfacial interactions and conceiving novel electronic functionalities, vertical heterostructures composed of 2D materials stacked together by van der Waals (vdW) forces have attracted a great attention lately [2]. Among these combined structures, the MoS₂/graphene heterostructure, among other heterostructures combining graphene with different layered 2D materials [3], shows a promising potential for next generation nanoelectronic and optoelectronic devices thanks to the outstanding carrier mobilities on one hand and the excellent optical responsivities on the other hand [4–6].

However, research work about the structural quality, the band alignment and the optical emission properties of MoS₂/graphene or graphene/MoS₂ vdW heterostructures are still limited. The exploration of such characteristic properties are fundamental to make possible the assembling of MoS₂ with graphene, pave the way for the realization of a well-ordered MoS₂/graphene structure, and enable opportunities for a wide spectrum of optoelectronic functionalities. Inspirations of this present work arise from the fact that some of the theoretical calculations have predicted the crossover between a direct and indirect bandgap of MoS₂ originating from the modification of interlayer orientation [7–9]. Since the properties of MoS₂/graphene heterostructure depend strongly on the quality of the interface between the underlying substrate and the top-layer as well as the interlayer orientation, the development of such van der Waals (vdW) heterostructure should start with a high quality substrate material such as graphene layer. Among various graphene substrates, epitaxial graphene (EG) on silicon carbide (SiC) provides several potential advantages for designing such heterostructures including high electronic mobility, tunable substrate coupling,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: abdelkarim.ouerghi@lpn.cnrs.fr (A. Ouerghi).

wafer-scale process ability, and highly ordered crystalline structure that can template commensurate growth.

Here, we demonstrate that the orientation of MoS₂ with respect to graphene, used as an underlying substrate, can affect the properties of MoS₂ monolayer likely due to the variation of the strain level sustained by the MoS₂ flakes. Raman spectroscopy and photoluminescence measurements were performed in order to gain a better insight into the changes observed in MoS₂ optical properties. A significant downshift of the E_{12g} mode, and a slight downshift of the A_{1g} mode are observed for MoS₂ flake with different orientations, suggesting different strain levels supported by the different flakes. Moreover, a PL quenching occurred for the flake undergoing higher tensile strain which may bring about the transition from direct to indirect bandgap in monolayer MoS₂. These results show that MoS₂ bandgap structure is strongly dependent on the MoS₂ orientation with respect to graphene. To our knowledge, the dependency of MoS₂ band structure with the orientation of MoS₂ on p doped epitaxial graphene has not been previously demonstrated experimentally. These findings could open the door for alternative techniques to tune MoS₂ electronic properties for new nano-electronic applications.

1. Results and discussion

We used highly crystalline MoS₂ flakes grown by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [10]. This CVD approach was adopted since it allows obtaining large MoS₂ flakes with high electrical and optical properties. A PMMA assisted method was employed to transfer the CVD grown MoS₂ on epitaxial monolayer graphene on SiC. Typical single-crystal domains with an equilateral triangle shape were obtained by the CVD growth procedure of MoS₂ on SiO₂. The MoS₂ domains transferred onto the graphene retain their triangular shapes with lateral sizes of ~20 to ~200 μm. To further clean the surface and interface of the MoS₂/graphene heterostructure, we annealed the samples at T = 350 °C for 60 min in UHV (base pressure below P ~ 10⁻¹⁰ mbar).

The graphene used in this work was obtained by an annealing of a 4H-SiC(0001) substrate followed by a hydrogenation process, as described in materials and method section. With this process we obtained a quasi-freestanding p doped bilayer graphene [11–13]. This quasi freestanding graphene presents a uniform thickness over a large area and a lower corrugation with respect to epitaxially grown graphene, representing a better template for 2D materials.

By making use of several characterization techniques, we try to find out the effect of the relative position of MoS₂ monolayers, with respect to graphene, on the interlayer interactions between the two-stacked materials or in other words study the impact of changing the orientation on the properties of MoS₂. The quality of this heterostructure on large scale was first studied using HR-XPS, STEM and micro-Raman spectroscopy. The Raman spectra comparing the pristine graphene (black curve) and the MoS₂/graphene heterostructure (blue curve) on a wavenumber range between 300 and 2800 cm⁻¹ are shown in Fig. 1(a). The graphene layer has several overtone peaks in the 1000 cm⁻¹ to 2000 cm⁻¹ regime. The peak at 1522 cm⁻¹ is considered to be an overtone of the L-point optical phonon from the SiC. The Raman signals from the graphene show prominent characteristic peaks at 1590 cm⁻¹ (G) and 2720 cm⁻¹ (2D), which give evidence of carbon sp² reorganization. The G peak value of 1590 cm⁻¹ could indicate strong p-doping. The low intensity of the D peak (in comparison to the G peak), in both spectra, is a clear indication of zone-boundary phonons scattering on defects, allowed in ideal graphene due to the large wave-vector of the K-point phonon involved. Its absence is an indication of high quality of the MoS₂/graphene heterostructure. We can also see the broad 2D peak showing the bilayer structure of

graphene. For MoS₂/graphene based heterostructure (black curve), two characteristic features arise within the wavenumber range between 370 and 420 cm⁻¹: E_{12g} and A_{1g} [14,15]. The peak frequency difference between these E_{12g} and A_{1g} vibrational modes is around ~19–20 cm⁻¹, which is in agreement with what was previously reported for MoS₂ monolayer [16,17].

HR-XPS measurements were carried out for the pristine graphene and MoS₂/graphene heterostructure, as shown in Fig. 1(b). These XPS measurements performed on a wide energy range show the presence of the Mo 3p, Mo 3d and S 2p peaks (blue curve) beside the standard components present on epitaxial graphene on SiC, C 1s, Si 2s, Si 2p, (black curve), signature of the MoS₂ flakes on the graphene underlayer. No other element is detected in our spectra. The low intensity of O 1s signal in the XPS spectra validates that the oxygen contamination has been removed by the annealing at 400 °C, performed before all measurements, under UHV conditions. High resolution spectra for C, Mo and S are also recorded in surface sensitive condition (hν = 340 eV) (Fig. 2(a)–(c)). The different components on the spectra were decomposed by a curve fitting procedure (see method). The experimental data points are displayed in dots and the red solid lines represent the envelope of the fitted components. The C 1s spectrum for a p-doped quasi free standing bilayer graphene is shown in Fig. 2(a). Only two components are present on the spectra [11] due to the bilayer graphene (G peak at binding energy BE = 284.3 eV) and the SiC substrate (BE = 282.6 eV). Respect to an as grown n-doped monolayer epitaxial graphene [18] the G peak presents a shift of about 0.4 eV to lower BE indicating a change in the doping, from n to p, induced by the hydrogenation process [11]. Moreover, the SiC component is shifted of about 1 eV to lower BE, which confirms that the hydrogen bonds are present at the SiC surface inducing this band bending variation [10] confirming a complete decoupling of the buffer layer.

The Mo 3d peak presented in Fig. 2(b) and the S 2p peak in Fig. 2(c) show the standard deconvolution expected for Mo⁴⁺ and a divalent sulfide ions (S²⁻) in MoS₂ [19]. The Mo 3d_{5/2} BE = 229.7 eV (Mo 3d_{3/2} = 232.8 eV) and S 2p_{3/2} BE = 162.5 eV (S 2p_{1/2} BE = 163.7 eV) indicate an intrinsic n-type doping of the MoS₂ [20]. This n type doping is due to the presence of sulphur vacancies, an electron donating defect [21], at the edge of the MoS₂ flakes. The signature of this defect is present in the Mo 3d peak as a small component at a lower BE (~–0.5 eV) with respect to the main Mo 3d_{5/2} peak. In both spectra, no signature of other bonds are present [19,22–25] (i.e. oxygen or carbon), indicating the absence of any inter-diffusion of contamination between the MoS₂ and graphene layers. In order to investigate the interface, cross-sectional STTEM experiments were performed on MoS₂/epitaxial graphene as shown in Fig. 2(d). This cross-sectional view was observed along the (11–20) SiC zone axis. HR-TEM images reveal the thickness of the MoS₂ layers and the detailed crystalline structure of the MoS₂ and graphene layer. As observed from the HR-TEM images, the MoS₂/graphene heterostructure is predominantly composed by monolayer MoS₂ and bilayer graphene. The interlayer separation is about 0.34 ± 0.02 nm, the MoS₂ layers are atomically flat and form a continuous film. This image shows that the annealing procedure of MoS₂/graphene leads to clean and sharp interface without any structural defects.

All previous experiments were performed on MoS₂/bilayer graphene to study the interface quality between MoS₂ and graphene without discussing the effect of the twist of MoS₂ on graphene layers since this effect cannot be clearly detected using these techniques. In order to probe the effects of the twist on the electronic and the vibrational properties of the MoS₂ layer, we carried out micro-photoluminescence mapping on the two orientations between MoS₂ and graphene layer, and we also performed micro-Raman spectroscopy on our samples. The optical image in

Fig. 3(a) shows triangular flakes of MoS₂, a small and a big one on the graphene-underlying layer. The epitaxial graphene completely covers the SiC substrate following its topography. From this image we can estimate the orientation of the two MoS₂ flakes with respect to the graphene underlayer. In fact the Γ M direction of the first graphene Brillouin zone is oriented parallel to the step edge of the SiC substrate and the Γ K direction of the MoS₂ Brillouin zone is parallel to the side of the flakes (more details are given in Fig. S1). Then we found a mismatch angle of $33^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ for the small flake and $4^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ for the big one. Moreover based on the contrast, one can tell that the MoS₂ flakes are monolayers except for one lighter triangular spot (inside the big flake), which corresponds to multilayers of MoS₂. A 3D schematic presentation is provided in Fig. 3(b) to better explain the considered MoS₂/graphene heterostructure. It should be noted that before performing the PL and Raman measurements, the samples were pumped in ultra-high vacuum for few days and then annealed at 300 °C for about 30 min to ensure the total removal of eventual impurities that may affect our results.

Fig. 3(c) and (d) illustrate the PL data carried on the studied sample; the uniform color within one single flake attest the absence of cracks and the high quality of our MoS₂. From the PL map recorded from the single layer MoS₂ regions in Fig. 3(c) one can notice the darker color of the PL intensity of the big flake or in other words, the PL intensity for the big flake is sharply decreased compared to the small flake. From the PL spectra in Fig. 3(d), we can see that the PL of the small flake is dominated by a strong peak observed at around 1.85 eV reflecting the direct bandgap at the K point of the Brillouin zone. Another small peak appears at about 2 eV in both PL spectra and corresponds to the valence band spin-orbit coupling of MoS₂ [26]. The ratio between the PL intensities of the two flakes is of about 3/2; this behavior is similar to what we observed for monolayer and bilayer MoS₂ [17,27,28].

These values of the bandgap, determined by PL spectroscopy

and known as optical bandgap, are different from that determined by electronic transport due to the exciton binding energy [29]. As shown in the graph in Fig. 3(d), we get band gap values of 1.85 eV and 1.79 eV for the small and big flakes respectively; these values are similar to that reported for MoS₂ monolayers. However, we notice as well a bandgap decrease of about 60 meV in the case of the big flake yet both studied flakes represent single layers of MoS₂ and they are characterized under the same conditions. Therefore, several hypotheses can be made to understand the origin of this change in MoS₂ bandgap.

- i) The presence of eventual residues at the interface between graphene and MoS₂ and/or the impurities left after the resist assisted transfer process of MoS₂ on graphene can probably induce doping in MoS₂ and change its PL spectra [30]. The TEM image and the XPS data in Fig. 2 as well as the band structure of MoS₂/graphene provided in Figures S2 and S3(a) and (b) confirm that our samples are of high quality, free from cracks and contaminations since they are carefully pumped and annealed. Therefore, the change of the PL intensity cannot be associated to the presence of defective sites or contaminations [31,32].
- ii) The quench of PL intensity and the energy shift can be attributed to the transition from negative trions to neutral excitons (at higher energy). This assumption cannot be considered true in our case: first, if such a transition exists, we would observe a shift to higher energy. Moreover, the observed shift is more important than the difference between A peak and A⁻ peak (typically this distance is about 20–50 meV) [33,34]. A sample of MoS₂ on SiO₂, whose SEM image is shown in Fig. S4, was prepared to discuss this point. The graphs, shown in Fig. S5, correspond to quasi free-standing MoS₂, MoS₂ transferred on SiO₂ (used as a reference), and MoS₂ transferred on graphene with different orientations. It is worth pointing out that the peak A corresponding to the excitons is notably observable only in quasi-free-standing MoS₂ [35] and that the splitting of peaks A and A⁻ is not apparent when MoS₂ is supported by a substrate. From these graphs, we clearly see that the shift is likely due a change in the MoS₂ bandgap rather than a trion-exciton transition.
- iii) The decrease of the PL intensity can be explained by a bandgap transition from a direct to indirect bandgap since it occurs with an energy shift in the PL peak [36] (this shift cannot be observed in the case where charge transfer occurs). The possible band structure change from direct to indirect band gap in monolayer MoS₂ could be translated by the fact that the modification of the orientation angle of the different flakes with respect to graphene substrate may induce a variation of the properties of MoS₂.

Hence, the latter explanation iii) seems to be suitable to justify our observations. To support this argument, we conducted micro-Raman experiments and density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Micro-Raman spectroscopy was employed here to study the changes of the vibrational modes of MoS₂ flakes transferred on epitaxial graphene with different angles (4° and 33°, respectively) and to investigate the strain levels supported by MoS₂ flakes if any. As mentioned, there are two typical modes of MoS₂ within the wavenumber range between 370 and 420 cm⁻¹: E_{12g}, corresponding to the in-plane displacements and A_{1g} corresponding to the out-of-plane displacements of Mo and S atoms in the MoS₂ films [37,38]. Fig. 4(a) and (b) show the Raman maps of the characteristic peak positions of the E_{12g} and A_{1g} modes of MoS₂ measured on monolayer MoS₂ flakes with different orientations on epitaxial

Fig. 1. (a) Large Raman spectra extending on a wavenumber range from 300 to 2800 cm⁻¹ of pristine epitaxial graphene (black line) and MoS₂ on epitaxial graphene (blue line), (b) HR-XPS spectrum on a wide energy range collected at a photon energy $h\nu = 825$ eV of pristine graphene (black curve) and of MoS₂ on epitaxial graphene (blue curve). (A colour version of this figure can be viewed online.)

Fig. 2. High resolution XPS of MoS₂/graphene heterostructures: (a) C-1s of the MoS₂/graphene heterostructure, (b) Mo 3d and (c) S 2p, respectively, at $h\nu = 340$ eV. The small shoulder at 226.5 eV is the Mo 3d peak (a) is the sulphur 2s peak, and the peak at BE = 151.9 eV in the Si 2s peak, (d) Bright-field Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy image of MoS₂ monolayer on epitaxial graphene. (A colour version of this figure can be viewed online.)

bilayer graphene. We clearly see that, for the big flake, the most prominent Raman peaks E_{2g}^1 and A_{1g} have a brighter color indicating that both peaks shift to lower frequency (ω). From the Raman spectra in Fig. 4(c) measured, using a laser spot whose diameter is about 1 μ m, in the centers of the small and big MoS₂ flakes (red and blue curves, respectively), we can obviously see that both peaks corresponding to the big flake are red-shifted. These downshifts can be explained based on the difference in the tensile strain sustained by MoS₂ flakes [37,39,40] rather than a difference in the doping levels caused by a dissimilarity in charge transfer for the two systems (if doping occurred, we would observe an up-shift of the A_{1g} mode while the E_{2g}^1 mode remains unchanged [29,41] since graphene is p-doped, but this not the case here). The atomic displacements of these two modes are illustrated in Fig. 4(d).

However, the shift in the E_{2g}^1 mode (~ 2.5 cm^{-1}) is more important than in the A_{1g} mode (~ 1.2 cm^{-1}) attesting that the A_{1g} mode shows weaker orientation dependence of A_{1g} mode than the E_{2g}^1 . This ratio between the shifts of E_{2g}^1 and A_{1g} is in accordance with the results reported on the effect of uniform biaxial strain on the Raman spectrum of MoS₂ [29]. Meanwhile, the widths of the peaks, calculated by means of a Lorentzian fitting, are barely unchanged. Based on the Raman shift values of the E_{2g}^1 mode, we can estimate the strain induced by varying the angle between the transferred MoS₂ and graphene used as a supporting substrate using the following formula [42,43]: $\partial\epsilon_{\text{biaxial}} = -\frac{1}{2\gamma} \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\omega_0}$ where γ is the Gruneisen parameter and it is equal to 0.54 for E_{2g}^1 peak [44] and $\partial\omega$ is the shift calculated by the difference between the frequency positions of the small flake and the big one, respectively (the small flake is selected as the reference flake). We also assume

that the strain sustained by the MoS₂ flakes is a biaxial uniform strain based on the fact that the contrast within one single flake of MoS₂ illustrated in the map in Fig. 4(a) is uniform for a given orientation angle. We found a strain variation value approximately equal to $\partial\epsilon_{\text{biaxial}} \approx 0.6 \pm 0.1\%$ between respectively the small flake and the big flake. This implies that the big flake undergoes a more important uniform tensile strain than the small flake; this can be justified by the different orientation of these flakes grown and transferred on top of the epitaxial graphene in exactly identical conditions. This value matches well the observed bandgap variation of about 60 meV for a strain of 0.6% as well as the results that have been reported so far [45].

In order to confirm our experimental observations and see how a tensile strain affects the MoS₂, we performed theoretical calculations to see the evolution of the bandstructure of MoS₂ monolayer upon applying uniform tensile biaxial strain. The application of strain was ensured by modifying the lattice constant of MoS₂. As shown in Fig. 5, the position of the maximum of the valence band of MoS₂ can be tuned effectively by uniform tensile biaxial strain variation. Similar theoretical observations [46] were also reported about tuning the properties of MoS₂, subjected to various levels of misorientation-induced lattice strain, through varying the angle between graphene and MoS₂. This suggests that tuning the inter-layer orientation could induce a strain change in MoS₂ which may cause variations of MoS₂ bandstructure [32,47]. Thus, it seems possible to tune the bandstructure around the K point by reducing the energy of the direct band gap via selectively choosing different orientations of the considered MoS₂ flakes. Other theoretical calculations reported the evolution of MoS₂ bandstructure by varying

Fig. 3. (a) Optical image of the MoS₂ flakes transferred onto the epitaxial graphene layer, (b) 3D schematic structure of single layer MoS₂ flakes on a graphene supporting substrate; Typical Micro-Photoluminescence features of the studied monolayer MoS₂/epitaxial graphene based heterostructures: (c) Map of the photoluminescence intensity of the MoS₂ flakes on graphene, (d) Photoluminescence spectra corresponding to the big (blue curve) and small (red curve) flakes of MoS₂ on graphene. (A colour version of this figure can be viewed online.)

the external electric field [48], a decrease of the tri-layer MoS₂ gap was observed when increasing the electric field.

All these statements agree perfectly with the forgoing Raman and PL results and confirm the tunability of MoS₂ monolayers bandgap upon changing the orientation angle of the single layered MoS₂ with respect to epitaxial graphene bilayer. The reason why the different orientations of MoS₂ flakes on top of epitaxial monolayer graphene can affect the MoS₂ bandgap structure could be attributed to a change in the thickness of MoS₂ as reported by A. Ebnonnasir et al. [7] who used DFT calculations to prove the impact of changing the orientation on the thickness of MoS₂ on graphene when the two materials are brought together. They computed the bandgap of MoS₂ for different values of its thickness and they found out that at equilibrium, the bandgap is direct, while a slight decrease in the thickness makes the bandgap smaller and indirect. This is also consistent with the findings of W. S. Yun et al. [49] who showed, using the first-principles calculations, that the tensile strain reduces the gap energy. Furthermore, W. Jin [8] used microprobe angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy to prove the dependency of MoS₂ on the twist angle in graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure. They affirm that the band structure of MoS₂ becomes indirect except for 30° twist angle with respect to graphene, meanwhile graphene properties remain intact.

According to all the reasons cited above, we can claim that the big flake is subjected to a more important tensile strain than the small flake, which causes a noticeable Raman frequency shift of the E₁_{2g} mode and also renders the bandgap of the big flake smaller. It is also worth to mention that the origin of this strain may be generated upon cooling down of the sample; i.e. the lower temperature following the annealing of the MoS₂ could induce an out of plane relaxation leading to a non-uniform strain distribution on the different flakes. Consequently, we found out that the relative orientation of MoS₂ monolayer on top of graphene significantly

influences the Raman spectra and the photoluminescence of MoS₂ attesting a change in the value and the type of the bandgap of MoS₂. This can be explained based on the fact that changing the orientation of MoS₂ may bring about a change of the S–S interplanar distance likely because of the electron transfer away from the Mo–S bonds [7]. This could slightly affect the strain supported by MoS₂ flakes as well as its thickness. These alterations would necessarily imply a noticeable change in the bandstructure of MoS₂. Thus, by changing MoS₂ monolayers orientation we observe a similar PL behavior as in the case of changing the number of layers of MoS₂. Our theoretical calculations on the effect of tensile strain on the bandgap of MoS₂ are in a good harmony with our experimental observations.

To conclude, we have reported that the orientation of MoS₂ monolayer on bilayer graphene heterostructures affects the bandgap of MoS₂. This suggests the dependency of the bandgap of MoS₂ on the interfacial interactions within the heterostructures. Micro-Photoluminescence and micro-Raman spectroscopy have been shown to be of great promise for exploring the strain variation since the strain alters the crystalline symmetry and so the vibrational frequency of the typical modes of MoS₂. Moreover, our findings represent a useful experimental support for the theoretical studies reported so far on the tunability of the bandstructure of MoS₂ by varying the strain supported by MoS₂ flakes. This implies that monitoring the twisting angles between vertically-stacked layers of MoS₂ and graphene could be an efficient route for their electronic properties engineering. These interesting results can be useful to pave the way to reveal new approaches for strain engineering, to modulate the electronic properties of MoS₂, and to design new layered structures with tunable optoelectronic functionalities.

Fig. 4. Typical Micro-Raman features of the studied monolayer MoS₂/epitaxial graphene based heterostructures: Raman maps of the characteristic peak positions of the (a) E_{12g} and (b) A_{1g} modes of MoS₂ on epitaxial graphene, (c) Raman spectra showing the E_{12g} and A_{1g} modes of the big (blue curve) and small (red curve) flakes of MoS₂ on graphene, (d) Schematic of the atomic displacements of the Raman modes E_{12g} and A_{1g} of MoS₂. (A colour version of this figure can be viewed online.)

Fig. 5. Calculated band structures of single layered MoS₂ under different levels of uniform biaxial tensile strain where the horizontal dotted lines indicate the valence band maximum (in the inset is the atomic model of the strained MoS₂ structure where the arrows indicate the direction of the tensile biaxial strain). (A colour version of this figure can be viewed online.)

2. Materials and methods

Monolayer graphene was produced following a two-step growth process by using a substrate of 4H-SiC(0001). Before starting the graphitization, the substrate was first etched with hydrogen (100% H₂) at 1550 °C to produce well-ordered atomic terraces of SiC. Subsequently, the SiC sample was heated to 1000 °C and then further heated to 1550 °C in an Ar atmosphere. MoS₂/SiO₂

samples were grown by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) in a 1" quartz tube furnace. Microliter droplets of saturated ammonia heptamolybdate solution were dried onto the corners of a Si/SiO₂ growth substrate that had previously been coated with a layer of sodium cholate (1% solution spin-coated at 4000 rpm for 60 s). The growth substrate was placed in the centre of the furnace and heated to 800 °C. A 25 mg sulfur pellet was placed on a piece of silicon and positioned upstream in the furnace such that its temperature was approximately 150 °C. Carrier gas (500 sccm N₂) was used to bring sulfur vapor into the furnace for a 30 min growth period.

XPS experiments were carried out on the TEMPO beamline [50] (SOLEIL French synchrotron facility) at room temperature. The photon source was a HU80 Apple II undulator set to deliver linearly polarized light. The photon energy was selected using a high-resolution plane grating monochromator, with a resolving power $E/\Delta E$ that can reach 15,000 on the whole energy range (45–1500 eV). During the XPS measurements, the photoelectrons were detected at 0° from the sample surface normal $\vec{n}$ and at 46° from the polarization vector $\vec{E}$. The spot size was 80 × 40 (H × V) μm. A Shirley background was subtracted in all core level spectra. The C 1s spectra was fitted by a sum of a Gaussian function convoluted with a Doniach-Sunjc lineshape. An asymmetry factor α was used, where $\alpha = 0.1$ eV (peak G (graphene)) and $\alpha = 0$ eV (SiC (Silicon Carbide substrate)). The Mo 3d and S 2p spectra were fitted by sums of Voigt curves, i.e., the convolution of a Gaussian (of full-width at half-maximum GW) by a Lorentzian (of full-width at half-maximum LW). The LW was fixed at 90 meV for Mo 3d and S 2p [51]. The Mo 3d peak was reconstituted with a 3d_{5/2}:3d_{3/2} ratio of 0.66 and a spin-orbit splitting of 3.10 eV. For the S 2p, a 2p_{1/2}:2p_{3/2} ratio of 0.5 and a spin-orbit splitting of 1.19 eV was used. The Raman

spectra measurements were conducted using a commercial confocal Renishaw micro-Raman microscope with a 532 nm laser in an ambient environment at room temperature. The excitation laser (wavelength 532 nm) was focused onto the samples with a spot diameter of $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$ and incident power of $\sim 3 \text{ mW}$. The integration time was optimized so that the signal-to-noise ratio is acceptable. PL measurements were carried out on the same microscope with a $100 \times$ objective and a Si detector (detection range up to $\sim 2.2 \text{ eV}$).

First-principles calculations have been performed using a very efficient DFT localized orbital molecular dynamic technique (FIREBALL) [52–55]. Basis sets of sp^3d^5 for S and Mo were used with cutoff radii (in atomic units) $s = 3.9$, $p = 4.5$, $d = 5.0$ (S) and $s = 5.0$, $p = 4.5$, $d = 4.8$ (Mo). In this study we have considered a standard (1×1) unit cell of MoS_2 that has been optimized for different lattice parameters in order to reproduce the strain effect. Finally, a set of 300 special k points along the Γ –K–M path has been used for the band structure calculations.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr M. Bouchich and Dr Jaffré Alexandre for the fruitful discussion and the Raman measurements. This work was supported by the H2DH grants. We acknowledge support from Labex “Nanosaclay (Grant No. ANR-10-LABX-0035)”. Labex belongs to the public funded Investissements d’Avenir program managed by the French National Research Agency. C.H.N. and A.T.C.J. acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation EFRI-2DARE program, grant number ENG- 1542879.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2016.09.041>.

References

- [1] G.R. Bhimanapati, et al., Recent advances in two-dimensional materials beyond graphene, *ACS Nano* 9 (2015) 11509–11539.
- [2] Y.-C. Lin, et al., Direct synthesis of van der Waals solids, *ACS Nano* 8 (2014) 3715–3723.
- [3] M. Long, et al., Broadband Photovoltaic Detectors Based on an Atomically Thin Heterostructure, 2016, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b04538>.
- [4] Q.H. Wang, K. Kalantar-Zadeh, A. Kis, J.N. Coleman, M.S. Strano, Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7 (2012) 699–712.
- [5] K. Roy, et al., Graphene-MoS₂ hybrid structures for multifunctional photoresponsive memory devices, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 8 (2013) 826–830.
- [6] E. Kaxiras, J. Kong, H. Wang, Graphene/MoS₂ hybrid technology for large-scale two-dimensional electronics, *Nano Lett.* 14 (2014) 3055–3063.
- [7] A. Ebnouassir, B. Narayanan, S. Kodambaka, C.V. Ciobanu, Tunable MoS₂ bandgap in MoS₂-graphene heterostructures, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 105 (2014).
- [8] W. Jin, et al., Tuning the electronic structure of monolayer graphene/MoS₂ van der Waals heterostructures by interlayer twist, *Condens. Matter Mesoscale Nanoscale Phys.* 201409 (2015) 1–6.
- [9] P. Yeh, et al., Direct measurement of the tunable electronic structure of bilayer MoS₂ by interlayer twist Direct measurement of the tunable electronic structure of bilayer MoS₂ by interlayer twist, *Nano Lett.* 16 (2) (2016) 953–959, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b03883>.
- [10] G.H. Han, et al., Seeded growth of highly crystalline molybdenum disulphide monolayers at controlled locations, *Nat. Commun.* 6 (2015) 6128.
- [11] C. Riedl, C. Coletti, T. Iwasaki, A. Zakharov, U. Starke, Quasi-free-standing epitaxial graphene on SiC obtained by hydrogen intercalation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103 (2009) 246804.
- [12] E. Pallecchi, et al., High electron mobility in epitaxial graphene on 4H-SiC(0001) via post-growth annealing under hydrogen, *Sci. Rep.* 4 (2014) 4558.
- [13] H. Henck, et al., Electrolytic phototransistor based on graphene-MoS₂ van der Waals p-n heterojunction with tunable photoresponse p-n heterojunction with tunable photoresponse, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 109 (2016) 113103.
- [14] H. Li, et al., From bulk to monolayer MoS₂: evolution of Raman scattering, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 22 (2012) 1385–1390.
- [15] D. Pierucci, et al., Band alignment and minigaps in monolayer MoS₂-graphene van der Waals heterostructures, *Nano Lett.* 16 (2016) 4054–4061.
- [16] C. Riedl, C. Coletti, U. Starke, Structural and electronic properties of epitaxial graphene on SiC(0001): a review of growth, characterization, transfer doping and hydrogen intercalation, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 43 (2010) 374009.
- [17] D. Pierucci, et al., Large area molybdenum disulphide-epitaxial graphene vertical Van der Waals heterostructures, *Sci. Rep.* 6 (2016) 26656.
- [18] E. Velez-Fort, et al., Epitaxial graphene on 4H-SiC (0001) grown under nitrogen flux: evidence of low nitrogen doping and high charge transfer, *ACS Nano* 6 (2012) 10893–10900.
- [19] Kim, I. S. et al. Influence of stoichiometry on the optical and electrical properties of chemical vapor deposition derived MoS₂. *ACS Nano* 1–34
- [20] R. Addou, et al., Impurities and electronic property variations of natural MoS₂ crystal surfaces, *ACS Nano* (2015) 9124–9133.
- [21] Y. Guo, D. Liu, J. Robertson, Chalcogen vacancies in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides and Fermi level pinning at contacts, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 106 (2015) 48–53.
- [22] a Levasseur, P. Vinatier, D. Gonbeau, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy: a powerful tool for a better characterization of thin film materials, *Bull. Mater. Sci.* 22 (1999) 607–614.
- [23] P.D. Fleischauer, J.R. Lince, A comparison of oxidation and oxygen substitution in MoS₂ solid film lubricants, *Tribol. Int.* 32 (1999) 627–636.
- [24] M.A. Baker, R. Gilmore, C. Lenardi, W. Gissler, XPS investigation of preferential sputtering of S from MoS₂ and determination of MoS₂ x stoichiometry from Mo and S peak positions, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 150 (1999) 255–262.
- [25] Z. Yin, et al., Preparation of MoS₂-MoO₃ hybrid nanomaterials for light-emitting diodes, *Angew. Chem.* 126 (2014) 12768–12773.
- [26] G. Eda, et al., Photoluminescence from chemically exfoliated MoS₂, *Nano Lett.* 11 (2011) 5111–5116.
- [27] H.J. Conley, et al., Bandgap engineering of strained monolayer and bilayer MoS₂, *Nano Lett.* 13 (2013) 3626–3630.
- [28] R. Roldan, A. Castellanos-Gomez, E. Capelluti, F. Guinea, Strain engineering in semiconducting two-dimensional crystals, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 27 (2015) 313201.
- [29] X. Liu, et al., Rotationally commensurate growth of MoS₂ on epitaxial graphene, *ACS Nano* 10 (2015) 1067–1075.
- [30] S. Tongay, et al., Defects activated photoluminescence in two-dimensional semiconductors: interplay between bound, charged, and free excitons, *Sci. Rep.* 3 (2013) 2657.
- [31] H. Nan, et al., Strong photoluminescence enhancement of MoS₂ through defect engineering and oxygen bonding, *ACS Nano* 8 (2014) 5738–5745.
- [32] Z. Liu, et al., Strain and structure heterogeneity in MoS₂ atomic layers grown by chemical vapour deposition, *Nat. Commun.* 5 (2014) 5246.
- [33] K.F. Mak, et al., Tightly bound trions in monolayer MoS₂, *Nat. Mater.* 12 (2013) 207–211.
- [34] D. Sercombe, et al., Optical investigation of the natural electron doping in thin MoS₂ films deposited on dielectric substrates, *Sci. Rep.* 3 (2013) 3489.
- [35] N. Scheuschner, et al., Photoluminescence of freestanding single- and few-layer MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* 89 (2014) 2–7.
- [36] K. Zhang, et al., Self-induced uniaxial strain in MoS₂ Mono layers with local van der Waals-stacked inter layer interactions, *ACS Nano* 9 (2015) 2704–2710.
- [37] Y. Wang, C. Cong, C. Qiu, T. Yu, Raman spectroscopy study of lattice vibration and crystallographic orientation of monolayer MoS₂ under uniaxial strain, *Small* 9 (2013) 2857–2861.
- [38] W.M. Parkin, et al., Raman shifts in electron-irradiated monolayer MoS₂, *ACS Nano* 10 (2016) 4134–4142.
- [39] M. Sheet, Exceptional Tunability of Band Energy in a Compressively Strained Trilayer, 2013, pp. 7126–7131.
- [40] H. Li, et al., Optoelectronic crystal of artificial atoms in strain-textured molybdenum disulphide, *Nat. Commun.* 6 (2015) 7381.
- [41] B. Chakraborty, et al., Symmetry-dependent phonon renormalization in monolayer MoS₂ transistor, *Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* 85 (2012) 2–5.
- [42] K. Zhou, et al., Raman Modes of MoS₂ 2 used as fingerprint of vander Waals interactions, *ACS* 8 (2014) 9914–9924.
- [43] C. Rice, et al., Raman-scattering measurements and first-principles calculations of strain-induced phonon shifts in monolayer MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. B* 87 (2013) 081307, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.081307>.
- [44] Y. Cai, J. Lan, G. Zhang, Y.W. Zhang, Lattice vibrational modes and phonon thermal conductivity of monolayer MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* 89 (2014) 1–8.
- [45] A. Castellanos-gomez, et al., Local strain engineering in atomically thin MoS₂, *Nano Lett.* 13 (2013) 5361–5366.
- [46] Z. Wang, Q. Chen, J. Wang, Electronic structure of twisted bilayers of graphene/MoS₂ and MoS₂/MoS₂, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 119 (2015) 4752–4758.
- [47] L. Yang, et al., Lattice strain effects on the optical properties of MoS₂ nano-sheets, *Sci. Rep.* 4 (2014) 5649.
- [48] X. Wang, et al., Ultrasensitive and broadband MoS₂ photodetector driven by ferroelectrics, *Adv. Mater.* (2015) 6575–6581, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.201503340>.
- [49] W.S. Yun, S.W. Han, S.C. Hong, I.G. Kim, J.D. Lee, Thickness and strain effects on

- electronic structures of transition metal dichalcogenides: 2H-MX₂ semiconductors (M = Mo, W; X = S, Se, Te), *Phys. Rev. B* 85 (2012) 033305.
- [50] F. Polack, et al., TEMPO: a new insertion device beamline at SOLEIL for time resolved photoelectron spectroscopy experiments on solids and interfaces, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1234 (2010) 185–188.
- [51] S. Mattila, J. Leiro, M. Heinonen, T. Laiho, Core level spectroscopy of MoS₂, *Surf. Sci.* 600 (2006) 5168–5175.
- [52] J. Lewis, et al., Further developments in the local-orbital density-functional-theory tight-binding method, *Phys. Rev. B* 64 (2001) 195103.
- [53] J.P. Lewis, et al., Advances and applications in the FIREBALLab initio tight-binding molecular-dynamics formalism, *Phys. Status Solidi* 248 (2011) 1989–2007.
- [54] P. Jelínek, H. Wang, J. Lewis, O. Sankey, J. Ortega, Multicenter approach to the exchange-correlation interactions in ab initio tight-binding methods, *Phys. Rev. B* 71 (2005) 235101.
- [55] O.F. Sankey, D.J. Niklewski, Ab initio multicenter tight-binding model for molecular-dynamics simulations and other applications in covalent systems, *Phys. Rev. B* 40 (1989) 3979–3995.