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Abstract

Structure and properties of SrO-Al2O3-SiO2 glasses and melts were investigated along the tectosilicate join (SrO/Al2O3 = 1), varying the amount of silica. The structure of the glasses was studied by means of various spectroscopic techniques: Raman, 27Al NMR and XAS at the Sr K-edge. Raman spectroscopy revealed that the fraction of high-membered tetrahedral rings diminishes upon substitution of SiO2 by SrAl2O4 favouring the formation of low-membered rings. Sr K-edge XANES shows that the strontium coordination number is around 9, based on the spectrum similarity with the one obtained for crystalline strontianite. 27Al NMR spectroscopy indicates the presence of four- and five-coordinated aluminium the latter being found in small quantities (< 5 %), i.e. smaller than for analogous Mg- and Ca-based aluminosilicate glasses. A minimum in Tg is found when the AI05 content is maximum, both in Sr and Ca aluminosilicates. This fact indicates the importance of minor species such as five-fold aluminium in activating viscous flow, similarly to what has been proposed for five-fold silicon by Farnan and Stebbins (1994). Increase of Tg at low silica content was correlated to a decrease in AI05 content as well as to a decrease of a number of different structural units and, as a consequence, an ordering of the system.
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Highlights

- Macroscopic and nanoscopic investigations of strontium tectosilicates.
- Viscosity, density and glass transition temperature measurements.
- Structure at short and medium range order by Raman, 27Al NMR and XAS.
1. INTRODUCTION

Strontium is an element whose abundance in Earth’s crust is at the level of 300-400 ppm (Turekian and Kulp, 1956; Turekian and Wedepohl, 1961; Taylor, 1964; Rudnick and Gao, 2003). In earth science, strontium can be used as a Sr/Rb geochronometer, for studying the petrogenesis of igneous rocks and for tracing weathering processes (Moorbath et al., 1977; Capo et al., 1998). It is also possible to date rocks formation by measuring the ratio of non-radiogenic $^{86}$Sr to radiogenic $^{87}$Sr (resulting from the radioactive decay of $^{87}$Rb). But Sr and Rb follow different behaviour during fractional crystallization: the former goes preferentially in early-formed calcic plagioclase while the latter concentrates in the residual magma and enters later potassium minerals (Faure and Powell, 1972; DePaolo, 1981). In material sciences, Sr aluminosilicate glasses, glass-ceramics and ceramics have shown applications as transparent ceramics (Al Saghir et al., 2015), high-temperature sealants (Sharma et al., 2012) and refractory materials (Hyatt and Bansal, 1996; Bansal, 1998; Beall, 2009).

To better understand natural and industrial processes, it is important to link macroscopic properties (density, viscosity, glass transition temperature etc.) of the glasses/ceramics with the structure of the corresponding melts. Some investigations in this field have been already made for Na-based (Furukawa et al., 1981; Seifert et al., 1982; Fukumi et al., 1990; Mysen, 1990; Merzbacher and White, 1991; Mysen and Frantz, 1993; Mysen and Frantz, 1994; Mysen, 1999; Allwardt et al., 2005a,b; Le Losq et al., 2014), Mg-based (Seifert et al., 1982; Merzbacher and White, 1991; Neuville et al., 2008a) and Ca-based aluminosilicates (Seifert et al., 1982; Frantz and Mysen, 1995; Allwardt et al., 2005b; Kanehashi and Stebbins, 2007; Neuville et al., 2004a,b, 2006, 2008b, 2010; Drewitt et al., 2012; Jakse et al., 2014; Hennet et al., 2016) using a multi-technique approach. The SrO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ (SAS) ternary system is, on the other hand, poorly studied. There are only a handful of studies in this system and most of them concentrate only on specific properties and have used only few techniques (see for example Bockris et al., 1955; Chiari et al., 1975; Magaritz and Hofmann, 1978; Creux et al., 1995; Neuville, 2005; Licheron et al., 2011; Abel et al., 2013).

In order to connect structural features with macroscopic properties of Sr aluminosilicates we intend to apply a multi-technique approach for studying tectosilicate compositions. This approach consists of measuring density and viscosity as well as spectroscopic techniques such as Raman, NMR and X-ray absorption spectroscopy. We also intend to compare the effect of Sr on the aluminosilicate network with the well-studied ones of Mg and Ca, recalling that strontium is a heavier element possessing a bigger radius than the two latter cations. Those differences are expected to affect the properties and structure of the melts and corresponding glasses/ceramics like potassium does, i.e. by greatly disturbing the network and increasing its topological and chemical disorder (Le Losq and Neuville, 2013; Le Losq et al., 2016).
2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1 Starting materials

Strontium aluminosilicate samples were made by a traditional melting-quenching procedure described in Neuville (2006). For this, powders of Rectapur reagents from Merck were pre-dried at 1000 °C (SiO₂, Al₂O₃) and 550 °C (SrCO₃) before being mixed at a stoichiometric amount and crushed together in an agate mortar under ethanol for 1 h. Then the mixture was slowly heated in a platinum crucible up to 1100-1250 °C in an electric muffle furnace and held at that temperature for several hours to decompose the carbonate. After the decarbonation step, mixtures were melted at 1600 °C and quenched either by dipping the bottom of the crucible in water if the viscosity of the melt was high or by pouring the melt on a large copper plate if the viscosity was low. The grinding, melting, quenching procedures were repeated three times to ensure the homogeneity of the final product. Compositions were maintained at a temperature above their melting points for several hours to produce bubble-free samples for further viscosity measurements. In the cases when glass samples could not be obtained by traditional quenching (i.e. SA10.45, SA20.40, SA50.25 and SA57.21 samples), ceramic compositions were prepared following the above described procedure and an aerodynamic levitation device coupled with two CO₂ lasers was used to prepare small glass spheres (Hennet et al., 2006; Neuville et al., 2006, 2008a, 2014). To be sure that those two quenching procedures did not affect the properties and structure of the glasses, two samples of a SAS glass obtained by traditional and “levitation” quench were studied. ²⁷Al NMR and Raman spectroscopies as well as density measurements did not reveal any differences in the samples. So, we assume further that for the studied samples the quenching method did not cause significant differences in the structure and properties.

All samples were checked to be free of a crystalline phase. For this, several pieces of each composition were studied microscopically and by means of Raman spectroscopy. Densities measured with the Archimedean method using toluene as the immersion liquid of those pieces were also measured and are found to be approximately identical for each composition. Chemical compositions (Table 1) were measured using a Cameca SX100 electron probe microanalyser with a 10 nA current, accelerating voltage of 15 kV and 90 s counting time. Each composition is an average of 5-10 measurements. The names of the glasses are given by SAxx.yy, where xx is the SiO₂ content in mol%, yy is the Al₂O₃ content in mol%, the remaining being the content of SrO.

2.2 Viscosity measurements

Creep apparatus: the viscosity measurements at low temperatures were performed using a creep apparatus (Neuville and Richet, 1991; Neuville, 2006) permitting measurements in the
temperature interval of 700-1200 K and the viscosity range of $10^8$-$10^{12}$ Pa·s. Samples used for the measurements were glass cylinders of 5-7 mm in diameter and 10-15 mm in length with two polished parallel faces. The cylinders were held in a furnace at 600-800 °C for 1 day to remove internal stress before the measurements. To ensure minimal temperature gradient during the measurements a silver cylinder was placed around the sample. This allowed reducing the gradient between the top and the bottom parts of the sample to less than 0.3 K. The thermal gradients along the sample were checked using two Pt–PtRh10% thermocouples. The reported viscosity values at one temperature are the result of an average of 20-40 measurements at different applied stresses. The viscosity uncertainty and reproducibility is less than 0.03 log units with this technique (Neuville, 2006).

Micro-penetration: Glass spheres obtained using the levitation device were too small to use the creep apparatus. Therefore, the viscosity measurements were performed on a homemade micro-indenteter. The samples were polished to obtain two parallel faces at least 1 mm in diameter. These surfaces were polished using SiC paper and diamond suspension (1/4-micron particle size). The apparatus is a depth-sensing indentation device, permitting viscosity measurements between 293 and 1373 K with a thermal gradient of ±1 K. The method used to measure the load/penetration and to determine the viscosity from the indentation creep tests is described elsewhere (Bernard et al., 2010; Gueguen et al., 2011). The indenter used was a sphere made of silicon carbide of 2 mm in diameter. The penetration depth has never exceeded 50 μm. The viscosity uncertainty of this method is 0.1 log units.

2.3 DTA measurements

Glass transition temperatures ($T_g$) of two samples – SA10.45 and SA20.40 – were measured using Setaram® L96 Evo TGA-DTA/DSC apparatus in DTA mode with the heating rate of 5 K/min. $T_g$ was determined as an inflection point of the heat flow curve and a correction of 22 K was then applied to the values to have $T_g$ comparable to those obtained from the viscosity curves at $\log \eta = 12$ Pa·s. This correction value was calibrated by measuring samples with known glass transition temperatures under the same conditions.

2.4 Raman spectroscopy

Unpolarized Raman spectra were recorded at room temperature using a T64000 Jobin-Yvon® triple Raman spectrometer equipped with a confocal system, a 1024 Charge-Coupled Detector cooled by liquid nitrogen and an Olympus® microscope. A Coherent® 70-C5 argon laser with a wavelength of 488.01 nm was used as the excitation source. All spectra were acquired between 20 and 1400 cm$^{-1}$ with acquisition time of 300 s and with 3 repetitions. Before the deconvolution of the
high-frequency region, all spectra were corrected for temperature and excitation line effects using the method of Long (Long, 1977; Neuville and Mysen, 1996). Corrected spectra were normalized to the peak of maximum intensity and then the high-frequency region of the spectra was deconvoluted. All parameters, such as band’s frequency, width and intensity were not constrained during the deconvolution procedure.

2.5 Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy

$^{27}$Al NMR experiments were performed on an Avance III Bruker 850 MHz (20.0 T) spectrometer working at an $^{27}$Al frequency of 195.5 MHz. Chemical shifts for $^{27}$Al are referenced to a 1 M aqueous Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution. Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) experiments were performed at a spinning speed of 30 kHz in aluminium-free zirconia rotors of 2.5 mm diameter. All spectra were obtained using a single-pulse (“Bloch-decay”) sequence using a radio-frequency field $\nu_{rf} = 62.5$ kHz and applying a pulse of 0.4 $\mu$s (i.e. less than $\pi/18$ to ensure quantitative irradiation). Four thousand scans were accumulated for each composition with a recycling time of 0.15 s (spin-lattice relaxation times found in the range 1-5 s), using a spectral window of 2 MHz to avoid folding of the spinning-sideband’s manifold. The decomposition of the spectra was obtained using the dmfit software (Massiot et al., 2002), taking into account the spinning sidebands of the external transitions. This allows extracting the populations of the various components appearing on the central transition, their mean isotropic chemical shifts $\delta_{iso}$, their distributions of isotropic chemical shift $\Delta \delta_{iso}$ and their mean quadrupolar coupling constants $C_Q$ within the framework of the so-called “Czjzek” or “GIM” model (Le Caer and Brand, 1998).

2.6 X-ray absorption spectroscopy

X-ray absorption experiments at the Sr K-edge (16105 eV) were performed at the DIFFABS beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron (Gif-sur-Yvette, France). This storage ring has energy of 2.75 GeV and was operating in a top up mode with an average electron current of 450 mA. The beamline optics consist of a double Si[111] crystal monochromator surrounded by 2 rhodium coated mirrors. The X-ray beam is focused onto the sample horizontally and vertically by bending the second crystal and the second mirror respectively. The final beam size at the sample position was about 300 $\mu$m (H) x 250 $\mu$m (V). The actual energy of the monochromator was calibrated at the Y K-edge (17038 eV) using an yttrium calibration foil. The experiments were performed in a transmission mode. The incident and transmitted intensity were measured with two ionization chambers operating with a nitrogen flux at atmospheric pressure as absorbing gas. All studied samples were crushed to a fine powder. An appropriate quantity to provide an absorbance jump of 1 at the edge was ground with boron nitride (BN) and pressed into pellets with a thickness of about 250 $\mu$m. Each spectrum is an
average of 3 measurements performed with a step of 2 eV which was reduced to 1 eV around the absorption edge. The data reduction was performed using the Athena software (Ravel and Newville, 2005).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Viscosity

The viscosity measurements are presented in Table 2 and plotted in Figure 1 as a function of reciprocal temperature. When considering the two extreme compositions – SA75.12 and SA26.37, a difference of about 15 K at constant viscosity of $10^{12}$ Pa·s and almost an order of magnitude in the viscosities at $T = 1169$ K ($T_g$ of SA75.12) are observed. Low-temperature viscosity data were fitted by using the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann-Hesse (VFTH) equation:

$$\log \eta = A + \frac{B}{T - T_1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\eta$ is the viscosity in Pa·s, $T$ is the temperature in K and $A$, $B$, $T_1$ are adjustable parameters given in Table 3. The glass transition temperatures $T_g$ were obtained from the fitting of the experimental data and are summarized in Table 3 and shown in Figure 2 (along with the data derived from DTA measurements). The inset in Figure 1 represents the viscosity of the melts plotted as a function of $T_g/T$ and illustrates the evolution of the melts’ fragilities ($m$) (Angell, 1991), i.e. the gradient of the viscosity curve at the glass transition temperature on a reduced temperature scale (Plazek and Ngai, 1991; Böhmer and Angell, 1992). It can be calculated by the following equation:

$$m = \frac{d(\log \eta)}{d(T_g/T)} \bigg|_{T=T_g} = \frac{B}{T_g(1-T_1/T_g)^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $B$ and $T_1$ are recovered from the equation (1). The calculated fragilities are listed in the Table 3.

From the inset in Figure 1 one can see that the melts become more fragile upon decreasing the silica content. The viscosity of melts can also be connected to their entropy of configuration through the Adam and Gibbs theory (Adam and Gibbs, 1965; Urbain, 1974; Richet, 1984; Scherer, 1984; Neuville and Richet, 1991):

$$\log \eta = A_e + \frac{B_e}{T-S_{\text{conf}}^\text{(T)}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\eta$ is the viscosity in Pa·s, $T$ is the temperature in K, $A_e$ is a pre-exponential factor, $B_e$ is a constant proportional to the potential barrier opposed to the cooperative rearrangement of the liquid’s structure and $S_{\text{conf}}^\text{(T)}$ is the melt’s configurational entropy. $S_{\text{conf}}^\text{(T)}$ can be calculated from the following equation:

$$S_{\text{conf}}^\text{(T)} = S_{\text{conf}}^\text{(T_g)} + \int_{T_g}^{T} C_p^{\text{conf}} / T dT,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where $S^{\text{conf}}(T_g)$ is the configurational entropy of the melt at $T_g$, $C_p^{\text{conf}}$ is the configurational heat capacity calculated as a difference of heat capacities of the glass at $T_g$, $C_{p,L}(T_g)$, and the heat capacity of the liquid, $C_{p,L}$ determined from Richet and Botinga (1985). Partial molar heat capacities of SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ for the calculations of $C_{p,L}(T_g)$ were taken from Richet (1987) and the value of partial molar heat capacity of crystalline SrO was taken from Robie et al. (1978), following previous calculations by Neuville (2006). Combining equations (3) and (4), parameters such as $S^{\text{conf}}(T_g)$, $A_e$ and $B_e$ can be estimated (Table 3).

In Figure 2 the glass transition temperatures $T_g$ of the studied glasses derived from viscosity and DTA measurements are plotted as a function of silica content. $T_g$ decreases rapidly with the addition of even a small quantities of SrAl$_2$O$_4$ to the silica glass. After this, a slight decrease in $T_g$ is observed upon changing the composition from SA75.12 to SA42.29. The latter has the minimal $T_g$ and with further addition of SrAl$_2$O$_4$ the glass transition temperature starts to increase again, similarly to observations made on the tectosilicate join of the CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system (Neuville et al., 2004b).

3.2 Raman spectroscopy

Figure 3a presents unpolarised raw spectra of SAS glasses. The Raman spectra can be divided into four regions: the Boson region (20-250 cm$^{-1}$), the low frequency region (250-700 cm$^{-1}$), the intermediate region (700-850 cm$^{-1}$), and the high frequency region (850-1300 cm$^{-1}$).

The boson region (20-250 cm$^{-1}$). The origin of the boson peak has been attributed to rotational motions of interconnected tetrahedral units (Bucheneau et al., 1986; Hehlen et al., 2000, 2002; Courtens et al., 2001). It becomes more pronounced and shows a shift of approximately 1 cm$^{-1}$ each 10% of silica added to SrAl$_2$O$_4$. (Figure 3b). This decrease can be extrapolated up to Sr aluminate and are found in agreement with previous measurements (Licheron et al., 2011). This variation in frequency as a function of composition is found to be a linear combination of that of the pure SiO$_2$ and SrAl$_2$O$_4$ compounds.

The low frequency region (250-700 cm$^{-1}$). In the Raman spectrum of SiO$_2$ three bands are clearly observed at 600, 490, and 435 cm$^{-1}$. These bands are well known and attributed to 3-, 4- and higher-membered rings of SiO$_4$ tetrahedra, respectively (Sharma et al., 1981; Galeener, 1982a,b; Galeener et al., 1984; McMillan et al., 1994; Pasquarello and Car, 1998; Umari and Pasquarello, 2002; Rahmani et al., 2003; Kalampounias et al., 2006). The band at 500 cm$^{-1}$ decreases in intensity and shifts strongly to a higher frequency when SiO$_2$ is substituted by SrAl$_2$O$_4$ and becomes a shoulder for the compositions with SiO$_2$ content less than 42 mol%. A new band appears at 560 cm$^{-1}$, increases in intensity and moves a little to lower frequency upon decreasing silica content. It is observed as a shoulder for the compositions with SiO$_2$ content above 42 mol%. These two bands are usually
assigned to vibrations of three- (~560 cm\(^{-1}\)) and four-membered (~500 cm\(^{-1}\)) rings of tetrahedra present in the aluminosilicate network (McMillan, 1984).

The intermediate frequency region (700-850 cm\(^{-1}\)). A band at 800 cm\(^{-1}\) is observed for SiO\(_2\). This band is usually attributed to the threefold degenerate “rigid cage” vibrational mode of TO\(_2\) units (Galeener, 1979), to the motion of the Si atom in its oxygen cage (Mysen et al., 1982) or to Si–O stretching involving oxygen motions in the Si–O–Si plane (McMillan et al., 1994). It decreases in intensity rapidly with decreasing silica content and moves to lower frequency. A band appearing in this region again for SA20.40 and more visibly for SA10.45 has a different nature and could possibly be related to a shift of the entire high-frequency envelope to lower frequency under substitution of SiO\(_2\) by SrAl\(_2\)O\(_4\).

The high frequency region (850-1300 cm\(^{-1}\)). For SiO\(_2\) glass in the high frequency region two broad bands are observed. They merge into a single band for SA75.12, and this band becomes narrower and shifts to lower frequency with decreasing silica content, similarly to what has been observed for MAS and CAS tectosilicate glasses (Neuville et al., 2006, 2008a). Several studies pointed out that different peaks are convoluted in this band, arising from the T–O stretching (T = Si, Al) in different Q\(_n\) tetrahedral units, where \(n\) is the number of bridging oxygens and Q is the four-fold coordinated cation (McMillan, 1984; Mysen, 1999).

Spectra deconvolution. Before treating the high frequency region, the Raman spectra of the SAS glasses were corrected for temperature and excitation line effects (Long, 1977). The spectra were then simulated following Mysen (1999) using three Gaussians bands as proposed by several authors (Seifert et al., 1982; Neuville and Mysen, 1996 (Figure 4a)). These three bands have been observed in silica glass as well as in alkali and alkaline earth tectosilicate glasses (Mysen et al, 1982; Neuville and Mysen, 1996; Neuville et al., 2004a, 2008a; Le Losq et al., 2014). Bands’ parameters are reported in Table 4 and the bands’ frequencies are plotted as a function of silica content in Figure 4b together with data for sodium, magnesium and calcium tectosilicates. All three bands shift to lower frequencies with decreasing silica content, changing from 990, 1100 and 1182 cm\(^{-1}\) for SA75.12 to 814, 926 and 1007 cm\(^{-1}\) for SA10.45. The two higher frequency bands have been attributed to two Q\(^4\) units in two different structural environment presenting a T-O-T angle difference of about 5-10° (Seifert et al., 1982). The band at the highest frequency, called Q\(_{4b}\), arises from Q\(^4\) units with a larger T-O-T angles than the band at lower frequency, called Q\(_{4a}\), having a smaller T-O-T angles. The lowest frequency band has been reported in spectra of vitreous silica, alkali and alkaline earth silicate and aluminosilicate glasses and melts (Mysen et al., 1982; McMillan, 1984; Neuville and Mysen, 1996). It has been suggested by Le Losq and Neuville, (2013) that this band can arise from a stretching T\(_{2}\) vibrational mode of TO\(_4\) tetrahedra.
3.3 NMR spectroscopy

The $^{27}$Al quantitative 1 pulse MAS NMR spectra of the investigated SAS glasses are shown in Figure 5. The NMR bands consist of the main contribution which maximum shifts from approximately 55 to 78 ppm with decreasing SiO$_2$ content, positions characteristic of four-fold coordinated aluminium, Al$^{[4]}$. A small shoulder around 30-45 ppm is also visible for almost all compositions and is ascribed to the presence of aluminium in five-fold coordination, Al$^{[5]}$ (MacKenzie and Smith, 2002; Massiot et al., 2002; Neuville et al., 2004a) and similar contributions have also been observed for MAS and CAS glasses (Neuville et al. 2004a, 2006, 2007, 2008a). These spectra are very satisfactorily simulated using fully random distribution of quadrupolar and isotropic chemical shift interactions as expressed within the GIM model (Le Caer and Brand, 1998). The retrieve average isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{\text{iso}}$, mean quadrupolar coupling constant $C_Q$ and population of each site are given in Table 5. The average isotropic chemical shift, $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ for Al$^{[4]}$ increases linearly with increasing SiO$_2$ content (Figure 6a) in a manner very similar to the one observed for the MAS and CAS systems on the same joins, independent of the XO/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio (X = Sr, Ca, or Mg). This suggests similar chemical AlO$_4$ environments in these three systems, the $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ being mainly controlled by the progressive substitution of Al by Si in the first coordination sphere of Al (Florian et al., 2012). Less precisely defined because of much lower intensity, the average isotropic chemical shift of the Al$^{[5]}$ species also follows an overall linear decrease with increasing SiO$_2$ content, in agreement with previous observations for Mg- and Ca-based systems. The average quadrupolar coupling constant $C_Q$ for Al$^{[4]}$ is found between 7.0 MHz and 8.1 MHz, i.e. close to typical values found for Ca-based glasses (5.9 MHz to 9.3 MHz; Neuville et al., 2006) and slightly below those obtained on Mg-based systems (7.6 MHz to 10.0 MHz; Neuville et al., 2008a).

The maximum in Al$^{[5]}$ population for SAS glasses (see Figure 6b) corresponds to 4.5 % and is found for the compositions in the middle of the ternary system, i.e. close to the ones found in MAS and CAS systems (Neuville et al., 2006, 2008a).

3.4 X-ray absorption spectroscopy at Sr K-edge

Normalized spectra of SAS glasses together with a crystalline standard (strontianite, SrCO$_3$) are presented in Figure 7. The position of the white line is around 16105 eV for all compositions investigated and for the crystalline standard, which confirms that strontium is in the oxidation state +2. The XANES Sr K-edge spectra of all glasses are almost similar to that of the strontianite. This may imply that Sr in SAS glasses has a coordination number similar to the one found in SrCO$_3$. Coordination number has been reported by different authors to be 9 for strontianite (De Villeirs, 1971; Antao and Hassan, 2009; Ye et al. 2012). This value is higher than those proposed by McKeown et al. (2003) for Sr borosilicates and also higher than the 6-7 coordination number found for Ca
aluminosilicate glasses with analogous compositions (Neuville et al., 2004b). A precise data analysis is underway, in order to confirm these fingerprint observations.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Structural changes along the SiO$_2$-SrAl$_2$O$_4$ join

The knowledge of the aluminosilicate glasses and melts structure is a key to understand the variation of macroscopic properties such as viscosity and glass transition temperature. This key may be lifted by coupling NMR and XANES studies, giving information about the short-range order, coordination number and speciation, and Raman spectroscopy which brings knowledge about the ring organization and polymerization of the glass and melt. Finally, both approaches provide information about the glasses and melts’ structure and can be directly correlated to the viscosity through the configurational entropy variation as shown by Neuville and Mysen (1996).

Common wisdom dictates that the tectosilicate glass network at both high and low silica content is fully polymerized and consists solely of corner sharing tetrahedral units TO$_4$ (T = Si, Al). In other words, in all compositions being on the charge compensation line, Al and Si are expected to stay as $Q^4$ species, i.e. only a very small amount of NBOs are expected to present (Farnan et al., 1992; Allwardt and Stebbins, 2004; Thompson and Stebbins, 2012). SiO$_2$ glass is the simplest model of a fully polymerized glass structure and can be approximated by using the central-force model (Sen and Thorpe, 1977; Galeener, 1979), used by Seifert et al. (1982) to propose that SiO$_2$ is composed of two different coexisting structures with different inter-tetrahedral angles: $Q^{4,1}$, and $Q^{4,II}$. These two interconnected structures in vitreous SiO$_2$ have subsequently been found in alkali and alkaline earth aluminosilicates by a range of Raman studies (Seifert et al., 1982; Neuville and Mysen, 1996; Neuville et al., 2004a,b, 2006, 2008a; Le Losq and Neuville, 2013). It should nevertheless be noted that no other techniques have yet evidenced such a bimodal distribution of Si-O-Si inter-tetrahedral angles.

For glasses along the SiO$_2$-SrAl$_2$O$_4$ join, the Raman spectra exhibit changes in the low and high frequency regions. In the silica’s spectrum in the low frequency region signals from 3-, 4- and higher-membered rings are observed (with a significant fraction of high-membered rings; see Figure 3a). With introduction of SrAl$_2$O$_4$ (e.g., SA75.12) the fraction of high-membered rings decreases and further addition of SrAl$_2$O$_4$ favours formation of low-membered rings (e.g., SA10.45). In the high frequency regions Raman spectra exhibit a continuous shift of the band frequencies as a function of the Al/(Al+Si) ratio as already seen in the NAS, MAS and CAS systems. The high frequency envelope of the Raman spectra of glasses along this join was simulated (Figure 4a) using three bands associated with two $Q^4$ units and the $T_{2g}$ band (Seifert et al., 1982; Neuville and Mysen, 1996; Neuville et al., 2004a; Le Losq et al., 2014; Neuville et al., 2014). In Figure 4b, the wavenumbers of
the three bands after deconvolution are plotted as a function of SiO₂ content showing no evidence for the appearance of new bands with decreasing silica content. The negative frequency change with increasing Al₂O₃ content is consistent with aluminium substitution for Si in these units (Neuville and Mysen, 1996; Mysen, 1999). This substitution produces a linear shift in the Raman frequency (Neuville and Mysen, 1996; Neuville et al., 2006, 2008a). The Raman spectra of SAS glasses follow a similar trend as the one observed for the glasses in CAS (Seifert et al., 1982; Neuville et al., 2006), MAS (Neuville et al., 2008a) and NAS (Seifert et al., 1982; Neuville and Mysen, 1996) systems. This is in good agreement with Merzbacher and White (1991) who have shown that the substitution of alkaline earth element produces a very small effect on the Raman spectra. This indicates that, on the tectosilicate join, Si/Al substitution has larger influence on the aluminosilicate network than the nature of a cation, which plays the role of a charge compensator. Nevertheless, Raman spectroscopy probing the medium range order is not able to investigate the short range order and detect minor species such as, for example, aluminium in the five-fold coordination state (Neuville et al., 2004a, 2006, 2008a). This is the reason why NMR spectroscopy was used to investigate aluminium environment.

²⁷Al NMR data indicate that the majority (at least 95%) of aluminium atoms are in four-fold coordination. Figure 6a shows significant changes in δiso for Al⁴⁺ sites as a function of silica content, where, in agreement with previous values for MAS and CAS systems (Neuville et al., 2004a, 2006, 2008a), the δiso goes from 62 up to 79 ppm respectively for 76 and 0 mol% of SiO₂. This linear decrease of δiso results from a purely random substitution of Si by Al in the second coordination sphere of aluminium, which produces an approximate -3 ppm shift per substitution (Florian et al, 2012) and is to be related to the bands’ shifts also observed in the high frequency regions of the Raman spectra (vide supra). The evolution of the Al⁵⁺ population as a function of silica content (Figure 6b) for SAS glasses possesses a maximum (~4.5 % of five-fold aluminium) that is clearly observed between 42 and 50 mol% of SiO₂. This maximum is shifted to lower silica content (33-42 mol%) for the CAS system, while for the MAS system it is difficult to assume the same trend since no data are available in the low-silica region.

In the SAS system, the proportion of Al⁵⁺ is lower than that observed in MAS and CAS glasses which is in a good agreement with previous studies on alkali, earth alkaline and rare earth aluminosilicates which show that the increase of the cation field strength directly correlates with an increase of the Al⁵⁺ population (Allwardt et al., 2005b; Florian et al., 2007; Iftekhar et al., 2011; Thompson and Stebbins, 2012). In this respect, the SrO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ system has a behaviour similar to sodium aluminosilicate glasses which have a small to non-detectable amount of high coordinated Al species (Stebbins et al., 1992; Allwardt et al., 2005a,b). Contrarily to MAS and CAS systems, Al⁶⁺ is
not detected in SrO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ glasses apart from a couple of compositions for which it represents less than 0.5 % of the total intensity.

4.2 Influence of structural changes on macroscopic properties

It is well known that the SiO$_2$ glass network consists only of SiO$_4$ tetrahedra forming low- and high-membered rings (Figure 3a) hence $S^{\text{conf}}(T_g)$ is minimal in this case (Figure 8) and consists of a pure topological contribution (Richet and Neuville, 1992). Under substitution of SiO$_2$ by SrAl$_2$O$_4$ the following changes take place. The number of particles in the system increases (two AlO$_4$ units for one Sr$^{2+}$ cation), additional structural units (AlO$_4$, AlO$_5$) appear in the system and tetrahedral rings start to include not only SiO$_4$ but also AlO$_4$. As expected, the fragility (inset of Figure 1) increases with decreasing SiO$_2$ content. This proves that, when Al goes in tetrahedral position and substitutes Si, it produces Al-O-Al linkages which are weaker than Si-O-Si ones. In agreement with Angell (1991), the increase in fragility also well correlates with the increase in the $C_{p,l}/C_{p,g}$ from 1.1 up to 1.2 where $C_{p,l}$ values were calculated from the partial heat capacity of the liquid from Richet and Bottinga (1985) and $C_{p,g}$ values were calculated from partial heat capacity of the glass for SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ from Richet (1987) and for SrO from Robie et al. (1978). Starting from a pure topological contributions to the configurational entropy (5 J/mol·K for SiO$_2$ glass), some new topological and chemical contributions are added upon addition of SrAl$_2$O$_4$ into SiO$_2$ leading to an increase of $S^{\text{conf}}(T_g)$ (Figure 8) and to a rapid drop in $T_g$ under introduction of small quantities of SrAl$_2$O$_4$ into SiO$_2$-based network. Going from 75 to 42 mol% of silica $T_g$ decreases gradually (Figure 2) as a consequence of an increasing melts fragility, increasing disorder in the system and increasing fraction of AlO$_5$ (reaching a maximum of 4.5 % at 42-50 mol% of SiO$_2$; see Figure 6b). Below 42 mol% of silica the melts’ fragility continues to increase while the fraction of low-membered rings prevails and the AlO$_5$ content decreases down to 1.4 %. $S^{\text{conf}}(T_g)$ seems to reach a plateau around 21 J/mol·K in this region (Figure 8) and it is likely that different contributions to the configurational entropy start to compensate each other in this low-silica content region. For example, the number of particles continues to increase with addition of SrAl$_2$O$_4$, but at the same time the number of different structural units decreases (less SiO$_4$ and AlO$_5$). Considering the lack of the viscosity data (and hence values of $S^{\text{conf}}(T_g)$) for the compositions SA20.40, SA10.45 we can only assume that the observed increase in $T_g$ with further decrease in SiO$_2$ content is due to a progressive ordering of the system.

A similar behaviour has been already observed in the CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system, where the viscosities and glass transition temperatures first decrease with SiO$_2$ content decreasing down to 30 mol% and then increase with further decreasing SiO$_2$ (Neuville, 1992; Neuville et al., 2004b). It is worth noticing that the maximum in Al$^{[4]}$ content (Figure 6b), observed for the composition SA42.29, corresponds to the minimum in $T_g$ (Figure 2). The same trend has been seen for the Ca tectosilicates.
where a maximum in Al$^{[5]}$ and a minimum in $T_g$ are observed for the composition CA33.33 (Neuville et al., 2004a,b, 2006). Thus, five-fold coordinated Al can be seen as having a similar role as Si$^{[5]}$ species in promoting the viscous flow (Farnan and Stebbins, 1994). Such a participation of the AlO$_5$ units in the network mobility has been proposed by Poe et al. (1994) for aluminate melts and more recently by Le Losq et al. (2014) for aluminosilicate melts. In other words, since in both systems, SAS and CAS, the minimum in $T_g$ matches with the maximum in AlO$_5$ content we can conclude that minor species such as AlO$_5$ should significantly influence the transport mechanisms for the tectosilicate compositions (Neuville et al., 2008b).

5. CONCLUSION

Spectroscopic investigations of SrO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ glasses were made using Raman, NMR and X-ray absorption spectroscopy in order to obtain information on short and medium range order on glass structure and correlate those to viscosity measurements close to the glass transition temperature. All these experiments give a better knowledge on this ternary system, in particular:

- Al$^{[5]}$ is present in all studied glasses, though in quantities less that for Mg and Ca aluminosilicates with similar compositions. A maximum of approximately 4.5 % of five-fold aluminium environments has been found for the compositions around 40-50 mol% of SiO$_2$.

- X-ray absorption spectroscopy indicates that the first coordination shell of strontium in tectosilicate glasses is close to that found in strontianite. This suggests a coordination number of Sr close to 9, which is higher than that of Mg and Ca for analogous compositions in MAS and CAS systems. A detailed analysis is underway and should allow us to shed light on Sr coordination in these compounds.

- Aluminium randomly replaces silicon in tetrahedral units when SrAl$_2$O$_4$ added to silica leading to a linear shift of the high frequency Raman bands as well as of the average chemical shifts of Al$^{[4]}$ and Al$^{[5]}$. Such linear trends and negative correlation between $^{27}$Al NMR and Raman has been previously observed for Mg and Ca aluminosilicates.

- Viscosity and DTA measurements show the presence of a minimum in $T_g$ for the composition with 42 mol% of silica. With further addition of SrAl$_2$O$_4$ $T_g$ increases slightly. Similar observations have been made for Ca tectosilicates. Calculated values of $S^{\text{conf}}(T_g)$ increases gradually while SiO$_2$ content decreases pointing to an increase of disorder in the system while replacing Si by Al in the glass network.
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Table 1. Chemical composition (in wt%)* and density (in g/cm$^3$) of studied glasses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA75.12</th>
<th>SA63.18</th>
<th>SA57.21</th>
<th>SA50.25</th>
<th>SA42.29</th>
<th>SA33.33</th>
<th>SA26.37</th>
<th>SA20.40</th>
<th>SA10.45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>62.54(16)</td>
<td>48.59(21)</td>
<td>42.73(9)</td>
<td>36.15(3)</td>
<td>29.72(11)</td>
<td>22.55(15)</td>
<td>16.35(1)</td>
<td>12.96(10)</td>
<td>7.00(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>18.01(9)</td>
<td>24.68(5)</td>
<td>27.36(10)</td>
<td>30.35(4)</td>
<td>33.37(3)</td>
<td>36.88(22)</td>
<td>39.67(8)</td>
<td>41.25(25)</td>
<td>46.08(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>19.45(12)</td>
<td>26.73(26)</td>
<td>29.91(19)</td>
<td>33.49(2)</td>
<td>36.91(8)</td>
<td>40.57(37)</td>
<td>43.98(7)</td>
<td>45.78(30)</td>
<td>46.92(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2.636(1)</td>
<td>2.837(3)</td>
<td>2.928(12)</td>
<td>3.024(1)</td>
<td>3.132(3)</td>
<td>3.211(2)</td>
<td>3.298(1)</td>
<td>3.359(2)</td>
<td>3.426(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Average of 5-10 analyses made with a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe for each glass at 15 kV and 10 nA with 90 s counting time.
Table 2. Viscosity measurements performed on Sr aluminosilicate glasses. Viscosity is in log(Pa·s) and temperature is in K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA75.12</th>
<th>SA63.18</th>
<th>SA50.25</th>
<th>SA42.29</th>
<th>SA33.33</th>
<th>SA26.37</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>η</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>η</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>η</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1153.1</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>1148.9</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>1112.0</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>1137.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1169.5</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>1158.9</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>1135.0</td>
<td>12.95</td>
<td>1145.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1186.3</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>1161.3</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>1166.0</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>1152.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1196.4</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>1181.4</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>1180.0</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>1161.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1206.7</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>1186.6</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>1193.0</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>1168.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221.4</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>1191.3</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>1213.0</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>1177.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1231.3</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>1196.2</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>1232.0</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>1183.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1237.7</td>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>1204.8</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>1214.8</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>1185.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1247.1</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>1209.2</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>1219.2</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>1192.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Measured using a micro-penetration technique.
- Interpolated values.
Table 3. \( T_g \) and VFTH parameters \((A, B, T_1)\) retrieved from the fitting of low-temperature viscosity data. \( m \) is fragility of melts. \( C_{p,g}, C_{p,l} \) and Adam-Gibbs parameters \((A_e, B_e, S^\text{conf}(T_g))\) determined from the viscosity data presented in Figure 1. See explanation in the text on how the values of \( C_{p,g} \) and \( C_{p,l} \) were obtained. \( T_g, T_1, T_0 \) are in K; \( C_{p,g}, C_{p,l} \) are in J/mol; \( A_e \) is in log(Pa·s), \( S^\text{conf}(T_g) \) is in J/(mol·K).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( T_g )</th>
<th>( A )</th>
<th>( B )</th>
<th>( T_1 )</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( C_{p,g} )</th>
<th>( C_{p,l} )</th>
<th>( C_{p} )\text{^conf} ( T_0 )</th>
<th>( A_e )</th>
<th>( B_e )</th>
<th>( S^\text{conf}(T_g) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA75.12</td>
<td>1169.2</td>
<td>-5.7944</td>
<td>10614.0</td>
<td>572.7</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>77.21</td>
<td>88.97</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>-2.6425</td>
<td>1.9656</td>
<td>11.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA63.18</td>
<td>1159.8</td>
<td>-14.0710</td>
<td>18048.0</td>
<td>467.5</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>79.58</td>
<td>92.46</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>-2.6278</td>
<td>2.2416</td>
<td>13.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA50.25</td>
<td>1154.1</td>
<td>-6.3440</td>
<td>7771.7</td>
<td>730.4</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>82.18</td>
<td>96.22</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>-3.1003</td>
<td>3.0132</td>
<td>17.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA42.29</td>
<td>1153.0</td>
<td>-8.1320</td>
<td>9314.8</td>
<td>690.3</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>83.80</td>
<td>98.57</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>-3.4952</td>
<td>3.8054</td>
<td>21.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA33.33</td>
<td>1153.1</td>
<td>-9.8495</td>
<td>10226.0</td>
<td>685.1</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>85.56</td>
<td>101.14</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>-3.4188</td>
<td>3.9249</td>
<td>22.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA26.37</td>
<td>1158.1</td>
<td>-23.8770</td>
<td>21514.0</td>
<td>493.6</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>87.11</td>
<td>103.49</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>-3.1614</td>
<td>3.6818</td>
<td>20.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA20.40</td>
<td>1185.8</td>
<td>-20.3770</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88.59</td>
<td>106.32</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA10.45</td>
<td>1214.0*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90.91</td>
<td>110.64</td>
<td>19.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* \( T_g \) value was obtained from DTA measurements
Table 4. Gaussian bands’ positions ($V_i$ cm$^{-1}$) and areas ($A_i$ a.u.) obtained from the deconvolution of the high-frequency region of the Raman spectra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA75.12</th>
<th>SA63.18</th>
<th>SA57.21</th>
<th>SA50.25</th>
<th>SA42.29</th>
<th>SA33.33</th>
<th>SA26.37</th>
<th>SA20.40</th>
<th>SA10.45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_1$</td>
<td>990.1</td>
<td>956.0</td>
<td>936.5</td>
<td>935.2</td>
<td>934.2</td>
<td>923.4</td>
<td>836.9</td>
<td>831.1</td>
<td>813.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_2$</td>
<td>1099.7</td>
<td>1038.3</td>
<td>1012.5</td>
<td>1003.7</td>
<td>988.9</td>
<td>958.0</td>
<td>939.7</td>
<td>935.4</td>
<td>926.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_3$</td>
<td>1181.9</td>
<td>1139.8</td>
<td>1117.4</td>
<td>1106.0</td>
<td>1096.0</td>
<td>1046.0</td>
<td>1014.6</td>
<td>1013.1</td>
<td>1007.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_3$</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. $^{27}$Al MAS NMR parameters obtained from the deconvolution of the spectra using a Czjzek model. $\delta_{iso}$ is isotropic chemical shift (in ppm) and $C_Q$ is quadrupolar coupling constant (in MHz). Population is in %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA75.12</th>
<th>SA63.18</th>
<th>SA57.21</th>
<th>SA50.25</th>
<th>SA42.29</th>
<th>SA33.33</th>
<th>SA26.37</th>
<th>SA20.40</th>
<th>SA10.45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{iso}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlO$_4$</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlO$_5$</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_Q$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlO$_4$</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlO$_5$</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlO$_4$</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlO$_5$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure captions

Figure 1. Viscosity curves of studied glasses as a function of reciprocal temperature. Lines are VFTH fits. Error bars are less than symbols’ size. *Inset*: viscosity data for Sr aluminosilicates plotted versus $T_g/T$, representing melt’s fragility. High-temperature data for SA75.12, SA50.25 and SA26.37 are reproduced from Urbain et al. (1982) and viscosities for the intermediate compositions were estimated linearly from the end-members. Lines are only guide for the eye.

Figure 2. $T_g$ versus silica content. Points for SA10.45 and SA20.40 compositions were obtained using DTA. Error bars are less than the size of symbols except for SA10.45 and SA20.40 for which they were reasonably set at ±5 K level. Line is a guide for the eye. A dashed part of the line shows expected behaviour of $T_g$ curve in the region of 75-100 mol% of SiO$_2$ (no experimental data available for the SAS system). $T_g$ of 1473 K for vitreous silica is from Bruckner (1970).

Figure 3a. Uncorrected normalized Raman spectra of Sr tectosilicate glasses. SiO$_2$ spectrum corresponds to one of INF302 silica glass.

Figure 3b. Boson peaks of uncorrected spectra of SAS glasses. The line shows a trend in the evolution of a Boson peak with changing composition.

Figure 4a. Deconvolution of the high-frequency region of corrected and normalized Raman spectra of SAS glasses. Orange bands are related to $T_{2g}$ vibrational mode, blue and green ones – to vibrations of $Q^4_{II}$ and $Q^4_{I}$ species, respectively.

Figure 4b. Dependence of Raman shift of Gaussian bands on silica content obtained from fitting of the high-frequency region of Raman spectra. □ – $T_{2g}$ vibrational mode, ■ – vibrations of $Q^4_{II}$ species, ◻ – vibrations of $Q^4_{I}$ species. Information on vitreous SiO$_2$ (black symbols) is from Mysen et al. (1982). Orange symbols correspond to Na tectosilicates (Neuville and Mysen, 1996), blue symbols correspond to Mg tectosilicates (Neuville et al., 2008a) and green symbols correspond to Ca tectosilicates (Neuville et al., 2004a). New data on Sr aluminosilicates are represented by red symbols. Lines are only guide for the eye.

Figure 5. *Left*: 1D $^{27}$Al Quantitative 1 pulse MAS NMR of studied glasses. A shift to higher values of $\delta_{iso}$ is clearly visible. *Right*: Deconvolution of the $^{27}$Al NMR spectrum for SA50.25 using the GIM model (Massiot et al., 2002). Black solid line is the original spectrum, red dashed line is the sum, blue solid line – the signal from AlO$_4$ sites, blue dashed line with asterisk – a spinning side band, green solid line – the signal from AlO$_5$ sites.

Figure 6a. Evolution of isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{iso}$ of Al$^{[4]}$ and Al$^{[5]}$ for SAS (red), CAS (green, Neuville et al., 2004a) and MAS (blue, Neuville et al., 2008a) glasses. Error bars are less than symbols’ size.

Figure 6b. Population of Al$^{[5]}$ in SAS (red), CAS (green, Neuville et al., 2004a) and MAS (blue, Neuville et al., 2008a) glasses. Lines are only guide for the eye.
Figure 7. XANES spectra at the Sr K-edge for Sr tectosilicate glasses with a crystalline standard (SrCO$_3$).

Figure 8. $S_{\text{conf}}/T_o$ as a function of SiO$_2$ content. Line is a guide for the eye. Error bars was set as ±5 % after Neuville and Richet (1991).
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The figure shows a graph where the entropy of configurational entropy ($S_{\text{conf}}(T_g)$) in J/mol.K is plotted against the silica content (SiO$_2$) in mol%. The graph displays a downward trend as the silica content increases, indicating a decrease in entropy with increasing silica content.