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ABSTRACT

Aims. We present a multi-frequency study of the supernova remnant MCSNR J0512−6707 in the Large Magellanic Cloud.

Methods. We used new data from XMM-Newton to characterise the X-ray emission and data from the Australian Telescope Compact Array, the Magellanic Cloud Emission Line Survey, and Spitzer to gain a picture of the environment into which the remnant is expanding. We performed a morphological study, determined radio polarisation and magnetic field orientation, and performed an X-ray spectral analysis.

Results. We estimated the remnant’s size to be 24.9 (±1.5) × 21.9 (±1.5) pc, with the major axis rotated ~29° east of north. Radio polarisation images at 3 cm and 6 cm indicate a higher degree of polarisation in the northwest and southeast tangentially oriented to the SNR shock front, indicative of an SN compressing the magnetic field threading the interstellar medium. The X-ray spectrum is unusual as it requires a soft (~0.2 keV) collisional ionisation equilibrium thermal plasma of interstellar medium abundance, in addition to a harder component. Using our fit results and the Sedov dynamical model, we showed that the thermal emission is not consistent with a Sedov remnant. We suggested that the thermal X-rays can be explained by MCSNR J0512–6707 having initially evolved into a wind-blown cavity and is now interacting with the surrounding dense shell. The origin of the hard component remains unclear. We could not determine the supernova type from the X-ray spectrum. Indirect evidence for the type is found in the study of the local stellar population and star formation history in the literature, which suggests a core-collapse origin.

Conclusions. MCSNR J0512–6707 likely resulted from the core-collapse of high mass progenitor which carved a low density cavity into its surrounding medium, with the soft X-rays resulting from the impact of the blast wave with the surrounding shell. The unusual hard X-ray component requires deeper and higher spatial resolution radio and X-ray observations to confirm its origin.
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1. Introduction

Supernova remnants (SNRs) are formed via the interaction of ejecta from violent supernova (SN) explosions with the surrounding medium. The supernovae (SNe) can result from either the core-collapse (CC) of a massive star or from the explosion of a carbon-oxygen white dwarf in a binary system which exceeds the Chandrasekhar limit, either via accretion from a stellar donor or white dwarf mergers, so-called Type Ia explosions. The SN ejecta blasted into the interstellar medium (ISM) contain heavy elements produced in the stellar progenitors and via explosive nucleosynthesis which chemically enriches the ISM. The energy deposited by the explosions drive the mechanical evolution of the ISM and cosmic rays can be accelerated in the fast moving shocks (see Vink 2012, for a review). Studies of these objects in the Milky Way are problematic due to uncertain distance estimates and high foreground absorption, which particularly affects evolved SNRs whose low temperature plasmas are extremely susceptible to foreground absorption.

The Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) is an excellent galaxy for the study of SNRs due to its ideal observational properties. At a distance of 50 kpc (di Benedetto 2008) it is sufficiently close that its stellar population and diffuse structure is resolved in most wavelength regimes. The LMC is almost face-on (inclination angle of 30–40°, van der Marel & Cioni 2001; Nikolaev et al. 2004) and the modest extinction in the line of sight (average Galactic foreground N_H ≈ 7 × 10^{20} cm^{-2}) means optical and X-ray observations of SNRs are only slightly affected by foreground absorption, whereas its location in one of the coldest parts of the radio sky (Haynes et al. 1991) allows for improved radio observations without interference from Galactic emission. The total number of confirmed SNRs in the LMC now stands at ~60 (Maggi et al. 2015; Bozzetto et al. 2015; and references therein). Recent additions include Bozzetto et al. (2014), Maggi et al. (2014), Kavanagh et al. (2015a,b).

Typically, objects are classified as SNRs based on satisfying certain observational criteria. The Magellanic Cloud Supernova

* Based on observations obtained with XMM-Newton, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and NASA.
Remnant (MCSNR) Database\footnote{http://www.mcsnr.org/} requires that at least two of the following three observational criteria must be met: significant H\textalpha, [S II], and/or [O III] line emission with an [S II]/H\textalpha{} flux ratio $>0.4$ (Mathewson & Clarke 1973; Fesen et al. 1985); extended non-thermal radio emission; and extended thermal X-ray emission. A discussion of the significance of each of these classification criteria is given in Filipovic et al. (1998).

The ROSAT catalogue of X-ray sources in the LMC of Haberl & Pietsch (1999) contained the source [HP99] 483 at a J2000 position of RA = $05^h12^m28.0^s$ and Dec = $-67^\circ07'27''$, which is located in the wider DEM L97 HI region (Davies et al. 1976). The source is also projected near the edge of the molecular cloud [WHO2011] A126 (Wong et al. 2011) to its south and southwest. However, due to the faintness of the source, only coarse properties of [HP99] 483 were determined by Haberl & Pietsch (1999) and the source did not make it into the final list of ROSAT detected SNRs and candidate SNRs.

The study of Reid et al. (2015) identified the optical counterpart to [HP99] 483 using deep H\textalpha{} imaging of the LMC performed with the United Kingdom Schmidt Telescope (UKST). Spectroscopic follow-up of several regions in the object with the Anglo Australian Telescope and the 1.9 m telescope at the South African Astronomical Observatory revealed the characteristically high [S II]/H\textalpha{} values, consistent with an SNR. The radio counterpart was also detected using data from the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA), whose spectral index of $-0.52 \pm 0.04$ is consistent with the typical value of $-0.5$ for non-thermal emission from SNRs. Therefore, the object was confirmed as an SNR by Reid et al. (2015), who assigned the identifier MCSNR J0512–6707. A study of the surrounding dust emission using Spitzer data was also presented, with the authors noting that the SNR is located in a complex dust environment with the SNR appearing to be encased in dust, notably by a bright filament in the east and north. Using optical imaging, these authors determined the size of the remnant to be $13.5 \times 15.5$ pc and estimated the age to be 2–5 kyr assuming that the remnant is in the Sedov phase.

In this paper we present new X-ray observations of MCSNR J0512–6707 with XMM-Newton. As we will show, the new X-ray data revealed that, at 24.9 $(\pm 1.5) \times 21.9 \times (\pm 1.5)$ pc (see Sect. 4.1), the size of MCSNR J0512–6707 is significantly larger than determined by Reid et al. (2015), with the optical emission only present in the northeast of the remnant. In addition, we will show that MCSNR J0512–6707 is likely not in the Sedov phase (Sect. 4.2). We performed a similar study to assess the morphology and physical characteristics in light of these new findings, making use of the data from the Magellanic Cloud Emission Line Survey (MCELS, Smith et al. 2006), radio data from ATCA, and IR data from Spitzer reported by Reid et al. (2015).

Our work on the multi-frequency study of MCSNR J0512–6707 using X-ray, radio, IR, and optical emission line data is arranged as follows: the observations and data reduction are described in Sect. 2; data analysis is outlined in Sect. 3; results are given and discussed in Sect. 4; and finally we summarise our work in Sect. 5.

\section*{2. Observations and data reduction}

\subsection*{2.1. X-ray}

XMM-Newton (Jansen et al. 2001) observed MCSNR J0512–6707 on May 17 2014 (Obs. ID 0741800201, PI P. Kavanagh). The primary instrument for the observation was the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC), which consists of a pn CCD (Strüder et al. 2001) and two MOS CCD (Turner et al. 2001) imaging spectrometers. However, a "false radiation" warning due to a flaring optical source in the FOV affected the EPIC-MOS CCDs during this observation, resulting in both EPIC-MOS cameras being shut for extended periods. Because of this, the observation was repeated and a second dataset was obtained on June 8 2014 (Obs. ID 0741800301, PI P. Kavanagh). We reduced all available data (i.e., the usable data from Obs. ID 0741800201 and Obs. ID 0741800301) using the standard reduction tasks of SAS\footnote{Science Analysis Software, see http://xmm.esac.esa.int/sas/} version 14.0.0, filtering for periods of high particle background. This resulted in combined flare-filtered exposure times of $\sim 42$ ks for EPIC-pn, $\sim 48$ ks for EPIC-MOS1, and $\sim 46$ ks for EPIC-MOS2.

\subsection*{2.2. Optical}

The MCELS observations (Smith et al. 2006) were taken with the 0.6 m University of Michigan/Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO) Curtis Schmidt Telescope equipped with a SITE 2048 $\times$ 2048 CCD, producing individual images of 1.35$^\circ \times 1.35^\circ$ at a scale of 2.3$''$ pixel$^{-1}$. The survey mapped both the LMC (8$^\circ \times 8^\circ$) and the SMC (3.5$^\circ \times 4.5^\circ$) in narrow bands covering [O III], 5007 Å, H\alpha, and [S II], 6716, 6731 Å, in addition to matched green and red continuum bands. The survey data were flux calibrated and combined to produce mosaicked images. We extracted cutouts centred on MCSNR J0512–6707 from the MCELS mosaics. We subtracted the continuum images from the corresponding emission line images, thereby removing the stellar continuum and revealing the full extent of the faint diffuse emission. Finally, we divided the continuum subtracted [S II] image by the continuum subtracted H\alpha{} image to get a [S II]/H\alpha{} map of MCSNR J0512–6707, with regions of [S II]/H\alpha{} $> 0.4$ indicative of the presence of an SNR (Mathewson & Clarke 1973; Fesen et al. 1985).

\subsection*{2.3. Radio}

\subsubsection*{2.3.1. Radio continuum}

MCSNR J0512–6707 was observed with the Australian Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) on November 15 and 16 2011 (project C634), using the new Compact Array Broadband Backend (CABB). The ATCA array configuration EW367 was used and observations were taken simultaneously at $\lambda = 3$ and 6 cm ($\nu = 9000$ and 5500 MHz) using the dual-frequency mode. Baselines formed with the 6th ATCA antenna were excluded as the other five antennas were arranged in a compact configuration. The observations were carried out in the so called “snapshot” mode, totalling $\sim 50$ min of integration over a 14 h period. PKS B1934-638 was used for flux density calibration and PKS B0530-727 was used for secondary (phase) calibration. The phase calibrator was observed twice every hour for a total 78 min over the whole observing session. The MIRIAD\footnote{http://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/miriad/} and KARMA\footnote{http://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/karma/} (Gooch 1995) software packages were used for reduction and analysis.

The relatively small size of the SNR coupled with the observing array focusing on the shorter baselines, led to the remnant remaining unresolved from these observations, and...
therefore, the radio morphology of the remnant cannot be readily seen. As an alternative, we use archival observations from the ATCA (project C1395) at $\lambda = 20$ cm ($\nu = 1384$ MHz; bandwidth = 128 MHz). These observations were taken using ATCA arrays 1.5A and 6B on April 18 2005 and June 24 2005, respectively, for a combined integration time of 819.7 min. As these arrays focus on longer baselines, we were able to resolve the SNR, as seen in Fig. 1.

### 2.3.2. CO

To gain an understanding of the molecular environment in the region of MCSNR J0512−6707, we used data from the Magellanic Mopra Assessment (MAGMA) survey by Wong et al. (2011). MAGMA is the mapping survey of the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds in the CO(1–0) line using the 22 m Mopra Telescope of the Australia Telescope National Facility. The survey data have a spatial resolution of ~45″ corresponding to 11 pc at the LMC distance.

### 2.4. Infrared

The cold environment surrounding MCSNR J0512−6707 can be revealed by infrared (IR) emission. To aid in the discussion of the morphology and environment of the remnant, we make use of data from the SAGE survey of the LMC (Meixner et al. 2006) with the Spitzer Space Telescope (Werner et al. 2004). During the SAGE survey, a 7° × 7° area of the LMC was observed with the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC, Fazio et al. 2004) in the 3.6 $\mu$m, 4.5 $\mu$m, 5.8 $\mu$m, and 8 $\mu$m bands, and with the Multiband Imaging Photometer (MIPS, Rieke et al. 2004) in the 24 $\mu$m, 70 $\mu$m, and 160 $\mu$m bands. The MIPS 24 $\mu$m images provide us with a picture of the stochastically, thermally, and radiatively heated dust in the region of MCSNR J0512−6707, with spatial resolution comparable to XMM-Newton, to give an indication of the distribution of cool material. We obtained the 24 $\mu$m MIPS mosaicked, flux-calibrated (in units of MJy sr$^{-1}$) images processed by the SAGE team from the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive. The pixel sizes correspond to 4.8″ for the 24 $\mu$m band.

### 3. Analysis

The object was detected in radio, optical, and X-rays as an extended source with a size of $\sim 1:7 \times 1:5$ (see Sect. 4.1). Here we describe the analysis of the SNR in these multi-wavelength datasets.

#### 3.1. Radio

Linear polarisation images of MCSNR J0512−6707 were created at the same resolution at 6 and 3 cm using the $Q$ and $U$ Stokes parameters from the MIRIAD task IMPOL. The mean fractional polarisation was calculated using flux density and polarisation:

$$P = \frac{\sqrt{S_Q^2 + S_U^2}}{S_I}$$

(1)

where $S_Q$, $S_U$, and $S_I$ are integrated intensities for the $Q$, $U$, and $I$ Stokes parameters. Mean polarisation across the remnant was found to be 12.0 (±1.4)% at 6 cm and 7.1 (±1.9)% at 3 cm. Figure 2 shows the polarisation for both wavelengths, where a signal-to-noise cut-off of 2$\sigma$ was used for the $Q$ and $U$ images, and a level of 6$\sigma$ for the intensity image. Values that fell below these cut-off levels are blanked in the output image. As we detected polarised emission at two frequencies, we attempted to determine the Faraday rotation through the MIRIAD task 1mrm. However, as there is not any notable rotation between the vectors in the two images, the resulting errors were in the same order as the detected rotation measure.

#### 3.2. X-ray imaging

We produced images and exposure maps in various energy bands from the flare-filtered event lists for each EPIC instrument in each observation. We filtered for single and double-pixel events (PATTERN $\leq 4$) from the EPIC-pn detector, with only single pixel events considered below 0.5 keV to avoid the higher detector noise contribution from the double-pixel events at these energies. All single to quadruple-pixel events (PATTERN $\leq 12$) were considered for the MOS detectors.

We used three energy bands suited to the analysis of the spectra of SNRs. A soft band from 0.3–0.7 keV includes strong lines from O; a medium band from 0.7–1.1 keV comprises Fe L-shell lines as well as Ne He$\alpha$ and Ly$\alpha$ lines; and a hard band (1.1–4.2 keV) which includes lines from Mg, Si, S, Ca, Ar, and nonthermal continuum if present.

We subtracted the detector background from the images using filter-wheel-closed data (FWC). The contribution of the detector background to each EPIC detector was estimated from the count rates in the corner of the images, which were not exposed to the sky. We then subtracted appropriately-scaled FWC data from the raw images. We merged the EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS images from each observation into combined EPIC images and performed adaptive smoothing of each using an adaptive template determined from the combined energy band (0.3–4.2 keV).

---

5 See also http://mmwave.astro.illinois.edu/magma/

6 See http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/SAGE/
3.3. X-ray spectral analysis

For the spectral analysis, we made use of the EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS data. However, because of a low number of total counts, the MOS data from Obs. ID 0741800201 were omitted from our analysis. We extracted source and background spectra from vignetting-weighted event lists for each EPIC instrument which include a correction for the effective area variation across the source and background. This was achieved using the SAS task eVigweight. The SNR spectra were extracted from elliptical regions encompassing the X-ray extent of the SNR. To ensure enough counts for a solid characterisation of its components, the background spectra were extracted from a larger annulus surrounding the SNR with point sources excluded. All spectra were rebinned so that each bin contained a minimum of 30 counts to allow the use of the $\chi^2$ statistic during spectral fitting. The EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS source and background spectra from each observation were fitted simultaneously using XSPEC (Arnaud 1996) version 12.8.2p with abundance tables set to those of Wilms et al. (2000), photoelectric absorption cross-sections set to those of Balucinska-Church & McCammon (1992), and atomic data from ATOMDB 3.0.1\(^7\) with the latest equilibrium and non-equilibrium data.

3.3.1. X-ray background

Detailed descriptions of the X-ray background constituents and spectral modelling can be found in Bozzetto et al. (2014) and Maggi et al. (2014). Here we briefly summarise the treatment of the X-ray background in the case of MCSNR J0512–6707.

The X-ray background consists of the astrophysical X-ray background (AXB) and particle induced background. The AXB typically comprises four or fewer components (Snowden et al. 2008; Kuntz & Snowden 2010), namely the unabsorbed thermal emission from the Local Hot Bubble, absorbed cool and hot thermal emission from the Galactic halo, and an absorbed power law representing unresolved background active galactic nuclei (AGN). The spectral properties of the background AGN component were fixed to the well known values of $\Gamma \sim 1.46$ and a normalisation equivalent to $10.5$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ at 1 keV (Chen et al. 1997). The foreground absorbing material comprises both Galactic and LMC components. The foreground Galactic absorption component was fixed at $5.1 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ based on the Dickey & Lockman (1990) HI maps, determined using the HEASARC N\textsubscript{H} Tool\(^8\), while the foreground LMC absorption component, with abundances set to those of the LMC, was allowed to vary in the spectral fits.

The particle-induced background of the EPIC consists of the quiescent particle background (QPB), instrumental fluorescence lines, electronic read-out noise, and residual soft proton (SP) contamination. To determine the contribution of these components we made use of vignetting corrected FWC data. We extracted FWC spectra from the same detector regions as the observational source and background spectra. The EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS FWC spectra were fitted with the empirical models developed by Sturm (2012) and Maggi et al. (2015), respectively. Since these spectral components are not subject to the instrumental response, we used a diagonal response in XSPEC. The resulting best-fit model was included and frozen in the fits to the observational spectra, with only the widths and normalisations

\footnote{http://www.atomdb.org/index.php} \footnote{http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/Tools/w3nh/w3nh.pl}
of the fluorescence lines allowed to vary. We also included a multiplicative constant to normalise the continuum to the observational spectra using the high energy tail ($E > 5$ keV) where the QPB component dominates. The residual SP contamination was fitted by a power law not convolved with the instrumental response (Kuntz & Snowden 2008), which was only required in the spectra of Obs. ID 0741800301.

### 3.3.2. Source emission

Depending on the age and ambient ISM density of an SNR, the X-ray emission is expected to be dominated by shock-heated ISM swept-up by the blast wave and/or reverse shock-heated ejecta. The swept-up ISM component should have abundances consistent with the LMC, whereas the ejecta component will be
characterised by strong emission lines from its metal content. A cursory scan of the extracted spectra showed emission lines below 1 keV, however, these did not appear to be significantly enhanced and do not suggest a shocked-ejecta component. The spectrum above 1 keV was notably featureless with no evidence for emission lines.

As a first attempt to model the X-ray emission from MCSNR J0512–6707, we included a single thermal plasma model of LMC abundance absorbed by foreground Galactic and LMC material on top of the AXB and particle-induced background. We initially assumed that the plasma was in collisional ionisation equilibrium (CIE), and therefore applied the vapec model (Smith et al. 2001) model. The abundance of the LMC absorption component was fixed to the LMC values (0.5 Z⊙, Russell & Dopita 1992). The best-fit vapec model (see Table 1 for fit results), with \( kT \sim 0.2 \) keV, adequately accounts for the emission below \(~1\) keV but cannot explain the harder emission above 1 keV (see Fig. 4-left). This is reflected in the fit-statistic with reduced \( \chi^2 \) (\( \chi^2_{\nu} \)) = 1.31. In initial test fits we also allowed the O and Fe abundance parameters in the vapec component to vary to search for signatures of ejecta emission, with O and Fe being the dominant constituents of CC and Type Ia explosive nucleosynthesis products, respectively. However, the resulting confidence ranges on these parameters were consistent with the LMC values, and we therefore kept the fixed LMC abundances.

We relaxed our assumption of a CIE plasma and made use of a non-equilibrium ionisation (NEI) model in XSPEC appropriate for SNRs, namely the plane-parallel vpshock model (Borkowski et al. 2001). The vpshock model features a linear distribution of ionisation ages behind the shock which is more realistic than single ionisation age models such as vnei. While this model resulted in a substantially improved fit-statistic (\( \chi^2 \nu = 1.11 \)), the best-fit model suggested a very high plasma temperature (\( kT > 4 \) keV) and very low ionisation parameter (\( \tau_e < 10^{10} \) s cm\(^{-2} \)). Such spectral parameters are necessary to account for the hard tail above 1 keV. Allowing abundance parameters to vary to account for possible ejecta signatures did not improve the fit. Given the very high plasma temperature and very low ionisation parameter, we were reluctant to take the fit results as the true physical description of the SNR emission, though it was clear that some component must be introduced to account for the harder emission above 1 keV.

Therefore, we applied a simple two component fit with a thermal plasma and an additional power law (powerlaw in XSPEC). In trial fits we applied both the vapec and vpshock model to represent the softer emission component. However, since the plasma temperature and ionisation parameter (though poorly constrained) are consistent with a plasma in CIE, we proceed using the vapec model to account for the soft component. The vapec+powerlaw model resulted in an improved fit over both single thermal plasma models with \( \chi^2_{\nu} = 1.04 \). The vapec model parameters are largely consistent with the single vapec fit (\( kT \sim 0.2 \) keV), and the harder emission is accounted for by the power law with \( \Gamma = 2.07 \) (1.81–2.26), see Table 1 and Fig. 4-right. We note here that we also tried a two-temperature fit employing the vpshock model for the harder component. While this yielded a good fit (\( \chi^2_{\nu} = 1.10 \)) the best-fit temperature and ionisation parameter of the vpshock component were poorly constrained with the best-fit driven to high temperature and low ionisation parameter to handle the hard, featureless emission. However, we note that the hard emission may be modelled with either a power law or a thermal plasma.

4. Results

4.1. Multi-wavelength morphology

The X-ray emission from MCSNR J0512–6707 is approximately circular, though slightly extended in the northeast-southwest direction, with the brightest region of emission in the northeast (Fig. 3 top-left). Emission from the remnant is detected in all energy bands with the softest emission tracing the outer edge, and the hardest emission localised in the northeastern region and correlated with the brightest radio emission (Figs. 3 bottom-left and 1) and the enhanced [SII] emission detected in the optical (Figs. 3 top-left and top-right). The MIPS 24 μm image (Fig. 3 bottom-right) reveals a possible reason for this. The remnant is projected against a denser eastern region, as evident by a large arm of cool material emanating from the [WHO2011] A126 molecular cloud to the south and southwest, bordering the eastern front of the SNR, as noted by Reid et al. (2015). If indeed the SNR is expanding into this cool material to the east, the higher density in the east compared to the west can explain the observed multi-wavelength morphological properties of MCSNR J0512–6707. There is no evidence that the SNR is interacting with [WHO2011] A126 itself.
4.2. X-ray emission

The X-ray spectrum of MCSNR J0512−6707 is rather puzzling. There are obvious thermal features below ∼1 keV, which are easily fitted with a thermal plasma component in CIE. However, this leaves significant residuals above ∼1 keV. An NEI plasma can account for both the soft and hard parts of the spectrum, but the resulting parameters do not provide a satisfactory physical interpretation of the X-ray emission. The addition of a second component in the form of a power law, provides the best fit to the spectrum, though again presents problems in the physical interpretation, which we now discuss.

4.2.1. Soft emission

We found no spectral signatures of ejecta, suggesting that the soft thermal plasma is dominated by swept-up ISM. This, and the low plasma temperature ($kT \sim 0.2$ keV), are consistent with an SNR in the Sedov phase of its evolution. Using the best-fit vapec parameters for both the single vapec and vapec+powerlaw models, and the Sedov solution, we estimated some properties of the remnant (see Sasaki et al. 2004; Kavanagh et al. 2015b, for example). However, for both the one and two component models, the derived SNR properties indicate a breakdown of the Sedov model with the determined upper limit on initial explosion energy an order of magnitude less than the canonical $10^{51}$ erg. Therefore, the soft thermal component of the...
MCSNR J0512–6707 spectrum is not consistent with an SNR in the Sedov phase.

If the remnant is not in the Sedov phase, then, given its relatively small size of ~25 × 22 pc, we might expect to see at least some ejecta contribution. One possible explanation that can explain both the soft thermal emission of LMC abundance and the lack of ejecta emission is that the blast wave may have recently encountered a shell of dense material which is now propagating into. This would be the case if MCSNR J0512–6707 resulted from a CC explosion and the progenitor stellar wind has modified the ambient medium creating a large, low-density cavity surrounded by a dense shell of ISM. Such stellar wind blown bubbles can have radii of the order of 10 pc (e.g., Weaver et al. 1977; Chen et al. 2013), which is in agreement with the radius of MCSNR J0512–6707 of ~12 pc. In such a scenario, the remnant would have initially swept-up the circumstellar medium, then expanded into the low-density wind-blown cavity, before interacting with the dense shell (Dwarkadas 2005). When the blast wave encounters the dense shell, a shock is transmitted into the shell with significantly reduced velocity and a reflected shock travels back into the low-density interior which contains the ejecta. However, as shown by Dwarkadas & Dewey (2013) applied to the case of the Galactic remnant Kes 27, the emissivity of the shocked ejecta is much lower than the shocked shell material, given the dramatic difference in densities. Therefore, only the shocked-shell emission would be observed which, given reduced transmitted shock velocity and the shell composition, would exhibit a soft thermal spectrum with ISM abundances. In Sect. 4.3, we refer to secondary evidence in the literature that MCSNR J0512–6707 does indeed result from a CC explosion and, therefore, the early evolution of the SNR into a wind-blown cavity is possible.

4.2.2. Hard emission

The origin of the hard emission presents a significant problem in its physical interpretation. We initially considered that the hard component may be due to a point source embedded in the extended emission of the SNR, most likely a background active galactic nucleus (AGN). During our analysis we performed a source detection using the SAS task edetect_chain (see Kavanagh et al. 2015b, for a full description of the detection method). However, the resulting sources located within the extent of SNR were identified as false detections due to the extended emission. We also searched in AGN catalogues for sources located within the extent of MCSNR J0512–6707 but found nothing. A further test of a possible AGN origin lies in the X-ray spectrum. If the hard component is the result of a background AGN, we should expect that the hard component is more absorbed than the soft thermal component. Therefore, we performed spectral fits allowing for the additional absorption of the hard component. However, the hydrogen column density tended to zero, with an upper limit of ~1 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}, indicating no additional absorption of the hard emission. For these reasons, we conclude that the hard X-ray emission is associated with MCSNR J0512–6707 and not due to a point source.

The hard emission is well fitted with either a simple power law or a high-\(kT\), low-\(\tau\) thermal plasma model of LMC abundance. Unfortunately, we are hampered in statistically distinguishing between these models because of the low count statistics at energies above ~2 keV. Therefore, we now discuss possible non-thermal and thermal origins of the emission.

Morphologically, the SNR emission above ~2 keV is enhanced towards the northeast of the remnant, with the brightest hard X-ray emission correlated with the brightest region of radio emission (see Fig. 6). In addition, this approximately correlates with the region where the polarisation fraction of the magnetic field is low and the magnetic field is oriented quasi-parallel to the SNR shock front (see Fig. 2), conditions favourable for particle acceleration and magnetic turbulence generation (Reynoso et al. 2013). This suggests that the hard X-ray emission could be due to synchrotron emission from very high energy electrons. However, shock speeds of the order of 10^3 km s^{-1} are still required for diffusive shock acceleration and X-ray synchrotron emission (see, e.g., Vink 2012). The temperature of the soft thermal X-rays is not compatible with such shock speeds. The reflected shock velocity may be high enough, especially relative to the expanding ejecta which it is moving into, though it is unclear if the synchrotron emissivity could explain the observed luminosity.

To assess if the radio and X-ray data are compatible with the emission from a single electron population, we created a spectral energy distribution (SED). Integrated flux densities in the radio regime were reported in Reid et al. (2015, their Table 4). We adopt these values for the radio points on the SED. For the X-ray points, we used the EPIC-pn spectrum from Obs. ID 0741800201, the EPIC spectrum with the most counts. We then subtracted the background spectrum to ensure as much as possible that only X-rays due to MCSNR J0512–6707 were present. We confined our analysis to the 2–7 keV energy range to isolate the portion of the spectrum where the hard component is dominant. The radio and X-ray data points are shown in Fig. 7.

We initially fitted the SED with a straight power law but it was immediately clear the X-ray fluxes were much lower than suggested by the radio data, which is expected if the
Fig. 7. SED of MCSNR J0512−6707. The data points at the lower frequencies are the radio flux densities reported in Reid et al. (2015). The data at the higher frequencies correspond to the background-subtracted EPIC-pn spectrum from Obs. ID 0741800201 in the 2−7 keV range, which excludes the contribution of the soft X-ray component. The red dotted line shows the power-law fit to the radio data, the green dashed line shows the exponentially cut-off power law fit to the X-ray data with $\alpha$ fixed to $-0.7$, and the blue solid line shows the exponentially cut-off power law fit to the X-ray data with $\alpha$ fixed to $-0.52$ determined by Reid et al. (2015). The grey lines indicate the upper and lower limit of the photon index in the X-ray power law fit (see Table 1).

The X-ray data only, resulting in the blue curve shown in Fig. 7. Comparing the blue curve to the radio data points indicates that the expected radio flux densities for $\alpha = -0.52$ are more than two orders of magnitude lower than observed. However, we expect this to be a lower limit since young remnants with very fast shocks have radio spectral indices of $\alpha \sim -0.7$. Fixing $\alpha$ in the cut-off function to this value results in the magenta dash-dot line in Fig. 7, and the expected radio flux densities are now about one order of magnitude below the observed value, which is not an unreasonably low or high estimate. Unfortunately, without deeper and higher spatial resolution radio and X-ray observations, it is impossible to create SEDs for individual regions of the SNR and, therefore, to confirm if the hard X-rays result from synchrotron emission.

Thermal

The reflected shock may also be employed in a thermal origin for the hard X-rays. While the transmitted shock is slowed significantly as it moves into the dense shell, the reflected shock travels back through the still expanding ejecta, further heating the already shocked gas. Such a reflected shock-heating scenario has been used to explain the observed morphology at shock-cloud interaction regions in the Cygnus Loop (Levenson et al. 2002).

Another, though somewhat less likely, thermal explanation for the hard emission is the presence of a background galaxy cluster projected against the SNR. The hot intracluster medium of these objects can be described by CIE models with $kT$ values up to $\sim 10$ keV (for a review, see Böhringer & Werner 2010). At such high temperatures, the spectrum is effectively featureless, which is especially true for low metallicity plasmas. Trial fits with a CIE plasma model in place of the power-law result in acceptable fits of equivalent goodness. However, the required chance alignment of a background galaxy cluster, located at the position of MCSNR J0512−6707 with a comparably rich morphology, makes this explanation for the hard component extremely tenuous. In addition, the correlation of the synchrotron radio emission with Bremstrahlung dominated galaxy cluster X-ray emission makes no physical sense.

We have briefly discussed some possible origins for the hard X-ray emission from MCSNR J0512−6707 here but we cannot offer a definitive explanation. Deep high spatial resolution observations with Chandra would allow the morphology of the hard emission region to be properly traced, helping to better identify the origin of the hard X-rays.

4.3. Progenitor type

The apparent association of MCSNR J0512−6707 with the DEM L97 HII region (Davies et al. 1976) and the proximity to the [WH02011] A126 molecular cloud (Wong et al. 2011) would qualitatively favour a CC origin for the SNR. Unfortunately, given the lack of ejecta signatures in the X-ray spectrum, it is not possible to suggest a supernova remnant type directly.

A secondary indicator for the SN type is the local stellar population and star formation history (SFH). For example, a local stellar population harbouring many massive early-type stars and a corresponding recent burst of star formation would indicate that the SNR is most likely the result of a core-collapse explosion. Similarly, the absence of such a high mass stellar population and recent star formation burst would point to a Type Ia origin. While this typing method is not infallible (see Maggi et al. 2015, for a detailed description of the method and caveats) it still offers a good indication of the likely SN type and has been
used in the past to gauge the explosion scenarios for a number of evolved LMC remnants (e.g., Kavanagh et al. 2013; Maggi et al. 2014; Bozzetto et al. 2014).

Maggi et al. (2015) performed a stellar population and SFH analysis of all confirmed SNRs in the LMC using photometric data from Zaritsky et al. (2004) and the spatially resolved SFH maps of Harris & Zaritsky (2009). They identified 25 massive OB stars located within 100 pc of MCSNR J0512−6707, inferring an expected CC/Type Ia ratio for the region of 5.56±1.26 (their Table C.1). These factors indicate that MCSNR J0512−6707 most likely resulted from a CC event.

5. Summary

We presented a study of MCSNR J0512−6707 in the LMC and supplemented this with optical, radio, and IR data to gain an understanding of the physical properties of the SNR. The main findings of our analysis can be summarised as follows:

- We showed that the ROSAT source [HP99 483] is the X-ray counterpart of the supernova remnant MCSNR J0512−6707 identified by Reid et al. (2015). However, we determined that the remnant is larger than determined by Reid et al. (2015) from the optical emission. We suggested that the optical emission is confined to a region where the remnant appears to be expanding into a denser ambient medium.
- The 20 cm radio morphology exhibits a shell-like structure. Radio polarisation images at 3 cm and 6 cm in particular, indicate a higher degree of polarisation in the northwest and southeast, tangentially oriented to the SNR shock front, indicative of an SNR where the expanding shell has compressed the magnetic field threading the ambient ISM.
- The X-ray spectrum of MCSNR J0512−6707 is unusual in that it exhibits soft emission lines which are consistent with a soft thermal plasma in collisional ionisation equilibrium, but also shows featureless hard emission. We suggested that the soft thermal X-rays can be explained by a remnant initially evolving into the wind-blown cavity of a progenitor massive star and is now interacting with the surrounding dense shell. We discuss some possible thermal and non-thermal emission mechanisms for the hard X-ray component, though the true origin of these X-rays remains unclear. We found no spectral signatures of shocked ejecta.
- The location of MCSNR J0512−6707 in the DEM L97 HII region and at the edge of the [WH02011] A126 molecular cloud points to a core-collapse origin to the remnant. Due to the lack of ejecta signatures, we cannot directly determine the supernova type from the X-ray spectrum. Indirect evidence for the explosion mechanism is found in the study of the local stellar population and star formation history study of Maggi et al. (2015), which, because of the large number of nearby OB stars and recent star formation burst, suggest a core-collapse origin. This is consistent with the SNR evolution into a the wind-blown cavity suggested above.
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