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ABSTRACT

We study the relationship between the structure and star formation rate (SFR) of X-ray selected low and moderate luminosity active galactic nuclei (AGNs) in the two Chandra Deep Fields, using Hubble Space Telescope imaging from the Cosmic Assembly Near Infrared Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS) and deep far-infrared maps from the PEP-GOODS-Herschel survey. We derive detailed distributions of structural parameters and FIR luminosities from carefully constructed control samples of galaxies, which we then compare to those of the AGNs. At $z \sim 1$, AGNs show slightly diskier light profiles than massive inactive (non-AGN) galaxies, as well as modestly higher levels of gross galaxy disturbance (as measured by visual signatures of interactions and clumpy structure). In contrast, at $z \sim 2$, AGNs show similar levels of galaxy disturbance as inactive galaxies, but display a red central light enhancement, which may arise from a more pronounced bulge in AGN hosts or extinguished nuclear light. We undertake a number of tests of both these alternatives, but our results do not strongly favor one interpretation over the other. The mean SFR and its distribution among AGNs and inactive galaxies are similar at $z > 1$, AGNs show similar levels of galaxy disturbance as inactive galaxies, but display a red central light enhancement, which may arise from a more pronounced bulge in AGN hosts or extinguished nuclear light. We undertake a number of tests of both these alternatives, but our results do not strongly favor one interpretation over the other. The mean SFR and its distribution among AGNs and inactive galaxies are similar at $z > 1$, however, clear and significant enhancements are seen in the SFRs of AGNs with bulge-dominated light profiles. These trends suggest an evolution in the relation between nuclear activity and host properties with redshift, towards a minor role for mergers and interactions at $z > 1.5$.
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1. Introduction

The study of galaxy structure (or morphology, used interchangeably in this work) has provided important insights into galaxy formation and evolution and is an essential observable in constraints on theoretical models. It is conclusively linked to other important galaxy properties such as mass, baryonic content, star formation history, interaction state and environment (e.g., Dressler 1980; Roberts & Haynes 1994; Kennicutt 1998; Strateva et al. 2001; Wuyts et al. 2011). In this sense, structure is a sensitive measure of the history of galaxy growth and can be used to constrain galaxy evolution models. Another important tracer of the evolutionary state of a galaxy is the star formation rate (SFR). This is sensitive to the gas content and infall onto a galaxy, as well as the processes that inject energy and momentum into the ISM and regulate the overall efficiency of cold gas fragmentation and the formation of new stars.

* Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.
** Appendices are available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org
*** Corresponding author: D. Rosario, e-mail: rosario@mpe.mpg.de

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are found in a subset of galaxies where a central supermassive black hole (SMBH) is accreting material at a sufficient level to be detectable using many characteristic tracers of high-energy activity, such as strong X-ray, non-thermal radio, hot dust, or high excitation line emission. There are many theories about what triggers and fuels SMBH accretion (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2005; Fanidakis et al. 2012; Hirschmann et al. 2012; see Alexander & Hickox 2012, for a contemporary discussion). These may be broadly divided into secular processes (bars and spirals, possibly minor mergers) that take several galaxy dynamical timescales ($t_{\text{dyn}}$) to bring gas to the nucleus from galaxy scales, or violent processes (harassment, major mergers, violent disk instability), which operate through varying, typically external, torques that change over $t_{\text{dyn}}$, and are expected to circumvent secular inflow. Since $t_{\text{dyn}}$ is the characteristic duration over which a galaxy responds to a violent disturbance and relaxes into a new state of dynamical equilibrium, the relationship between galaxy structure and the occurrence or strength of nuclear activity is a vital indicator of the relative importance of violent and secular AGN fueling mechanisms.

Star formation is also affected differently by these two classes of processes. Stable galactic gas disks form stars with a relatively low efficiency, which current studies suggest is
modulated by the interplay of dense gas and stellar feedback (Kim et al. 2013; Hopkins et al. 2014a). Violent processes lead to the strong inflow of gas into the centers of galactic potential wells (Sanders et al. 1988) or into dense small-scale clumps (Dekel et al. 2009), both of which result in star-forming environments with an enhanced efficiency of star formation (Genzel et al. 2010; Daddi et al. 2010b). Observationally, star-forming galaxies show a clear correlation between SFR and stellar mass (\(M_\star\)) (Noeske et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; Daddi et al. 2007; Santini et al. 2009; Rodighiero et al., 2011), sometimes called the star formation (SF) sequence. The existence of this sequence implies that secular processes govern most star-forming galaxies and, indeed, structural studies of galaxies across much of cosmic time show that galaxies on the SF sequence are disk-dominated, indicative of low levels of dynamical disturbance ( Förster Schreiber et al. 2009; Wuyts et al. 2011). Starburst are defined to have an elevated SFR, placing them above the SF sequence (Rodighiero et al. 2011; Sargent et al. 2012), and are structurally consistent with being recently disturbed (Wuyts et al. 2011; Kartaltepe et al. 2012). This association between structure and SF patterns highlights alternate pathways for violent and secular processes, in terms of the connection between SF and the morphological change in galaxies.

The morphologies of AGN hosts have been extensively studied in various different ways, across a wide range of redshifts. In general, these studies have either used analytic measures of structure, such as light profile fitting, pixel distribution statistics or moment measures (Grogin et al. 2003, 2005; Pierce et al. 2007; Gabor et al. 2009; Simmons et al. 2011; Schawinski et al. 2011; Böhm et al. 2013; Villforth et al. 2014) or visual classification using a number of special-purpose schemes (Schawinski et al. 2007, 2010; Cisternas et al. 2011; Kocevski et al. 2012; Cimatti et al. 2013). Some studies of more luminous optically-unobscured AGNs have also been undertaken, involving the careful subtraction of nuclear point sources to reveal the host galaxy more clearly (e.g., Bahcall et al. 1997; McLeod & McLeod 2001; Dunlop et al. 2003; Jahnke et al. 2004; Sánchez et al. 2004; Gwyn et al. 2006; Veilleux et al. 2009). AGN hosts span a range of morphologies and levels of galaxy disturbance, with a sizeable fraction found in massive galaxies with substantial disks and low levels of merger activity. The most luminous systems tend to be in more bulge-dominated or early-type hosts.

In parallel, the SF properties of AGN hosts is topic of much current work. Various tracers of the SFR have been applied to AGNs, though traditional ones such as emission lines, UV continuum or optical spectral features can be strongly contaminated by nuclear light, especially among luminous Type I AGNs. Despite the limited sensitivity of current datasets, far-infrared (FIR) wavelengths (>50 \(\mu m\)) offer the best discrimination between emission from star formation heated dust and AGN-heated dust, since the latter almost always exhibits a warmer stellar distribution of temperatures, peaking in luminosity at \(\sim 20 \mu m\) with a sharp drop off to the FIR (Netzer et al. 2007; Mullaney et al. 2011; Rosario et al. 2012). Herschel-based SFRs of active galaxies across a range of luminosities have been shown to agree reasonably well with those of massive inactive (i.e., non-AGN) star-forming galaxies (Mullaney et al. 2012; Santini et al. 2012; Rovilos et al. 2012; Rosario et al. 2013b,c), but there is a strong tendency for X-ray bright AGNs to be found in SF hosts (Rosario et al. 2013b). Therefore, AGN hosts are primarily massive galaxies forming stars at a normal rate given their stellar content.

In this work, we present an exploration into connections between the SFR and structure of AGN hosts at intermediate to high redshifts (\(z = [0.5, 2.5]\)), towards constraining the degree to which AGNs exhibit the relationships known among inactive galaxies (i.e., those without substantial on-going SMBH accretion). The primary goal of this paper is to lay the groundwork for a more extensive and detailed analysis of such relationships using forthcoming datasets spanning a number of important extragalactic fields. Despite this, our work is already unique in its approach of testing SFR-structure trends in systems with significant AGN activity across an important range in redshifts. Our study builds on the best available deep extragalactic data at X-ray, optical, near-infrared (NIR) and FIR wavelengths, and leverages the substantial efforts of the extragalactic community towards building coherent datasets in the GOODS fields. Datasets, selections and methods are introduced in Sect. 2. We discuss specific structural patterns relevant to the galaxy population at these redshifts and compare them to the AGNs, revealing any differences between these two populations (Sect. 3).

In Sect. 4, we investigate trends between SFR and structure, again comparing the AGNs to the galaxy population. Finally, in Sect. 5, we discuss our results in the context of earlier work and weigh them qualitatively against the predictions of popular AGN triggering scenarios.

We adopt a standard \(\Lambda\)-CDM concordance cosmology, with \(H_0 = 70\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\) and \(\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7\). Stellar masses in this study are estimated assuming a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF).

### 2. Sample selection, datasets and methods

In this work, we concentrate on X-ray selected AGN, since luminous X-ray emission is an unambiguous hallmark of SMBH accretion activity. However, studies of the AGN population and the X-ray background suggest that X-ray selection systemically misses the most obscured AGNs. Our conclusions from this work are strictly applicable only to such AGNs as are traced by X-ray selection. This caveat must be borne in mind when setting this work into the overall context of AGN demographics.

#### 2.1. CANDELS HST imaging

A panchromatic spatially resolved view of substantial numbers of galaxies in the distant Universe is now available through the Cosmic Near-Infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS), which consists of HST ACS and WFC3 imaging of five separate deep extragalactic fields in multiple broad photometric bands spanning the observed UV to the NIR. Full details of the design, data reduction and available formats of the survey are discussed in Grogin et al. (2011) and Koekemoer et al. (2011). The great leap forward for galaxy structure work made possible by CANDELS comes from deep NIR imaging from the IR channel of the WFC3 camera. The reddest CANDELS band is F160W, which approximately corresponds to the H-band at 1.6 \(\mu m\). For galaxies at \(z = 2.5\), F160W lies completely redward of rest-frame 4000 Å. At these wavelengths, the continuum light from galaxies is representative of the total stellar content of the systems, and is significantly less biased by rest-frame UV light from young stars that can completely dominate in the observed optical and UV wavelengths. Earlier studies of galaxy structure over large galaxy samples at HST resolution were restricted to observed wavelength <1 \(\mu m\). With its capacity to capture the true stellar distribution of statistically complete samples of galaxies, CANDELS has greatly expanded the abilities of galaxy structural analysis at \(z \sim 2\).
We measure structure from the entire CANDELS mosaics in the two fields associated with the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS, Giavalisco et al. 2004). The CANDELS mosaic in GOODS-S consists of an ultradiffuse region (Hubble Ultra-Deep field; 2’ × 2.3) enclosed by a deep region (CANDELS-DEEP; 6.8’ × 10’), both of which are enclosed by a larger shallower region (CANDELS-Wide; 10’ × 16’). The CANDELS mosaic in GOODS-N is similar in size to that in GOODS-S, with Deep and Wide subregions but without an ultradiffuse section.

The HST bands cover different rest-frame wavelengths across the redshifts considered in this work. Therefore, it is important to keep in mind that when we discuss or highlight evolution in structural properties obtained from our own analysis, we specifically mean apparent evolution which may be subject to morphological K-corrections. The main conclusions of the paper are based on structural and SFR comparisons between galaxy populations at similar redshifts, which are not subject to K-corrections. As a rough gauge for the reader, F160W (H-band) covers a rest-frame band at ≈6000 Å at z = 1 and ≈5200 Å at z = 2. Two other HST optical bands relevant for appreciating the following analysis are F606W (V-band; ≈3000 Å at z = 1 and ≈2000 Å at z = 2) and F850LP (z-band; ≈4500 Å at z = 1 and ≈3000 Å at z = 2).

2.1.1. GALFIT models of galaxy structure

For our primary measure of galaxy structure in the two fields, we rely on light profile fits to the F160W (H-band) images of galaxies in CANDELS, performed using the GALFIT code (Peng et al. 2010). Details of the setup and fitting procedure, including the segmentation of the CANDELS mosaics, object identification, data preparations and initializations, can be found in van der Wel et al. (2012).

Galaxies are fit using elliptical Sérsic light profile models, yielding an estimate of the best-fit major-axis half-light radius $R_e$, the Sérsic index ($n$) and the ellipse axis ratio ($q$) for each galaxy through GALFIT. The Sérsic index is the principal parameter that governs the normalized light profile of galaxy, and, in a broad sense, is related to the dynamical state of the stellar matter (though the exact nature of these relationships and their evolution with redshift is yet unclear). The Sérsic index is permitted to vary in the range of 0.5 (sub-exponential) to 8.0 (super-De Vaucouleurs). To ensure the fidelity of the fits, we restrict our study to sources with a F160W magnitude $m_{160} < 24.0$ and with a GALFIT flag = 0, indicating a sensible fit.

We also include a set of GALFIT fits to CANDELS F160W and GOODS-S v2.0 F850LP (z-band) images of a subset of objects in the CANDELS GOODS-S field, those which overlap with the FIREWORKS multiband compilation (Wuyts et al. 2008). The GALFIT setup used for these fits is documented in Wuyts et al. (2011) and is very similar to that used for the H-band fits. We compared fits made using both setups for the FIREWORKS subset and found good consistency, with a median difference in $n$ of 0.04 and a scatter in $n$ of 0.25. About 15% differ by $\Delta n = 1$, typically towards a higher $n$ using the setup from van der Wel et al. (2012) and mostly among high Sérsic galaxies which have larger uncertainties in $n$.

For this work, we fit galaxies, whether active or inactive, using pure galaxy light profile models, without any special components to account for possible AGN contamination. Studies have shown that excess nuclear light from the AGN in the rest-frame optical bands at the level of tens of percent can systematically alter single profile galaxy fits, as well as other analytic measures of structure. Therefore, earlier studies have tended to include additional point source components to AGN profile fits, on the principle that such fits can distinguish between emission from a nuclear point source and the light of central bulges (which may appear very similar). There has been some calibration of this technique for AGN hosts imaged with the HST/ACS camera (Simmons & Urry 2008; Gabor et al. 2009). Even with the narrow ACS PSF, such studies found that this method, while generally sound, overestimates the nuclear point source fraction in a fraction of early-type systems, leading to an enhanced AGN component at the expense of a residual galaxy component with a lowered Sérsic index. With the broader and more complex HST/WFC3-IR PSF, it is expected that these systematics would be more pronounced. To avoid being affected by fitting based biases, we employ only single component fits for all galaxies and use various tests to check for the effects of AGN contamination when presenting results based on the GALFIT fits (Sect. 3.3.1).

2.1.2. Visual classification of galaxy structure and disturbance

In the CANDELS GOODS-S field, all galaxies with $m_H < 24.5$ were examined by at least three human classifiers, as part of a large on-going program of visual classification by the CANDELS team. Each classifier looked at four images of the galaxy – 2-orbit F160W and F125W images, as well as F606W and F850LP images from the GOODS-S v2.0 release – along with its SExtractor segmentation map on the F160W mosaic. Several details regarding a galaxy’s visual shape, color, asymmetry, clumpiness and disturbance were noted in a systematic fashion using a GUI tool. A set of metrics were used to order the various classifications down to a final reduced set, taking into account the averaged reliability of classifiers. More details about the plethora of visual classification outputs, the methodology of classification and a discussion of classifier reliability can be found in Kartaltepe et al. (in prep.).

In this work, we rely on visual estimates of the degree of gross galaxy disturbance, using two different benchmarks. One is the interaction metric ($IM$), a general measure of the level of interaction or merging in a galaxy. The CANDELS visual classification tool gives classifiers five choices for each examined object, along a sequence of increasing apparent degree of interaction. We assign a numerical value to each choice, which enables us to easily combine the outputs of different classifiers. Objects which are clearly undisturbed, with no obvious nearby neighbors and no signature of any interaction, are given an $IM = 0$. At the other extreme, objects with $IM = 1$ are in obvious late-stage mergers, with highly disturbed structure, strong asymmetries, much clumpiness, frequently showing tidal tails and/or double or multiple nuclei. $IM = 0.25$ denote objects in an apparent pair or multiple system separated by up to several arcseconds with no clear signs of interaction; these may be associated but may also be line-of-sight alignments. $IM = 0.5$ are given to objects with visual signatures of interaction with other galaxies outside their H-band segmentation maps – these may be pre-mergers or close encounters. $IM = 0.75$ are objects that have another galaxy within their segmentation areas and are probably in an interacting state, but still show distinct structure, likely because the merger is still at an early stage. The decisions of individual reliable classifiers may differ, but we average their $IM$ values leading to final $IMs$ that could be intermediate to the five principal assignments described above. The visual IM for distant galaxies is most sensitive to major mergers, i.e., those where the
optical luminosity ratio of the components $\sim 1$, or, alternatively, where the late-stage merger shows strong signs of disturbance. Minor mergers will only be detectable among the few big bright relatively local galaxies in the CANDELS fields, none of which are considered in this work.

To develop a sense of the level of disagreement in the visual assessment of interaction across different classifiers, we consider the scatter of $IM$ for all galaxies from CANDELS/GOODS-S in the redshift interval $0.5 < z < 2.5$. For each galaxy with $N$ classifications, we calculate the mean absolute value of the difference of $IM$ between the $N(N-1)/2$ pairs of classifications. Since the number of classifiers for a galaxy could be as low as $N = 4$, this quantity is not necessarily a statistically accurate uncertainty on the final metric, but considering a large number of galaxies together, its distribution allows a simple measure of the variation in $IM$. We show this distribution in the left panel of Fig. 1, splitting galaxies into 4 bins of their final combined $IM$ and considering four bins in the mean scatter. It is immediately apparent that galaxies classified as undisturbed or strongly interacting have only a small scatter among classifiers – most classifiers agree on the two extremes of the visual interaction sequence. Intermediate values of $IM$ are more uncertain. For example, more than $40\%$ of galaxies with $0.25 < IM < 0.5$ have mean scatters that span across most of the IM sequence ($0.50 < \text{scatter} < 0.75$). We are able to trust that a final classification for a galaxy as non-interacting represents a fair consensus among visual classifiers, but, if a galaxy shows signs of interaction, there may be some uncertainty as to the degree.

For this reason, we bin galaxies by interaction class quite coarsely: $0.0 \leq IM \leq 0.2$, $0.2 < IM \leq 0.5$ and $0.5 < IM \leq 1.0$, which represent isolated, interacting, and merging objects. Figure 2 shows some examples of massive galaxies at $1.5 < z < 2.5$: each row contains randomly chosen galaxies classified into the three coarse bins listed above.

In addition to $IM$, we identify a refined subset of galaxies with interacting or merging activity, which comes from a combination of visually identified features derived from the visual classification catalogs. It consists of galaxies explicitly listed as visual mergers or direct interactions (disregarding multiple galaxy systems with no clear signs of interactions) by at least $60\%$ of classifiers, or in which at least $60\%$ of classifiers noticed tidal tails or double nuclei. This multi-feature subset may exclude some very young stage or distant interactions where the galaxies have not yet been substantially disturbed, but will be a cleaner distillation of actual systems in a broad array of interaction states, less affected by scatter than the $IM$.

We also employ a clumpiness metric ($CM$). CANDELS visual classifiers identified clumpy structure by examining images of galaxies across multiple bands and were given a choice of three alternatives in the GUI. As for $IM$, we assign numerical values to these choices. Objects with smooth structure lacking any clumps are assigned $CM = 0$. Those with one or two clumps (disregarding any central bulge) are assigned $CM = 0.5$, while objects with three or more clear clumps are given $CM = 1$. Intermediate values come from averaging the decisions of multiple reliable classifiers.

In the right panel of Fig. 1, we show the distributions of the scatter in $CM$ calculated in the same manner as described above for $IM$. The fidelity of the clumpiness measure of galaxies is generally better than the interaction measure. Most classifiers agree on whether a galaxy is smooth, but there is a small amount of classifier scatter on the actual level of clumpiness in galaxies.

In addition to $IM$ and $CM$, we also use flags set by visual classifiers to exclude from our analysis sources with troublesome image artifacts and, as needed, those with signs of visual point-source contamination. It is worth noting that the various measures of structure used here are not independent of each other. Some dependencies are
Fig. 2. A montage of randomly chosen inactive galaxies at $1.5 < z < 2.5$ arranged in the fiducial bins of the visual Interaction Metric (IM). Each row of five galaxies is randomly selected from all objects in one of the three bins in IM (see Sect. 2.1.2). A redshift is written at the upper left of each panel and IM is written at the lower left. All three color (iJH) images are $6''$ on a side and are identically scaled with a sinh$^{-1}$ stretch.

Fig. 3. A montage of randomly chosen inactive galaxies at $1.5 < z < 2.5$ arranged in the fiducial bins of the visual Clumpiness Metric (CM). Each row of five galaxies is randomly selected from all objects in one of the three bins in CM (see Sect. 2.1.2). A redshift is written at the upper left of each panel and IM is written at the lower left. All three color (iJH) images are $6''$ on a side and are identically scaled with a sinh$^{-1}$ stretch.
physical: high Sérsic galaxies are generally massive, elliptical galaxies which tend to be smooth and, even if interacting, frequently show only weak signatures of obvious disturbance such as tidal tails. Some dependencies are based on the subjectivity of visual classification: if a galaxy is judged to be close enough to another in the HST images to satisfy a non-zero IM value, a diskyclumpy system frequently appears to be more visually disturbed and may be assigned a higher IM than a bulgy/smooth system, even if the clumpiness could be related more to the gas fraction or infall history of the galaxy rather than its current interacting state. For example, one of the high IM galaxies in Fig. 2 is also found in the high CM row of Fig. 3. When we compare distributions of individual structural parameters in course of this paper, the reader should keep in mind that there may be covariances between the various distributions. Disentangling these dependencies is neither trivial nor fruitful, since the principal limitation in the determination of trends in this work stems from the modest size of the AGN sample.

2.2. Herschel/PACS imaging and photometry

Our far-infrared data are composed of maps at 70 μm, 100 μm and 160 μm from a combination of two large Herschel/PACS programs: the PACS Evolutionary Probe (PEP), a guaranteed time program (Lutz et al. 2011) and the GOODS-Herschel key program (Elbaz et al. 2011). The combined PEP+GH (PEP/GOODS-Herschel) reductions are described in detail in Magnelli et al. (in prep.). While data at 100 and 160 μm are available in both fields, an additional deep map at 70 μm is also available in GOODS-S. The PACS 160, 100 and 70 μm fluxes were extracted using sources from archival deep Spitzer MIPS 24 μm catalogs as priors, following the method described in Magnelli et al. (2009); see also Lutz et al. (2011) for more details. 3σ depths are 0.90/0.54/1.29 mJy at 70/100/160 μm in the central region of GOODS-S and 0.93/2.04 mJy at 100/160 μm in GOODS-N. The GOODS-S maps are ∼80% deeper than the GOODS-N maps and probe farther down the FIR luminosity function at all redshifts (Magnelli et al., in prep.).

For practical purposes, we use the monochromatic luminosity of a galaxy at 60 μm rest (L_{60}) as a measure of its FIR luminosity. The PACS bands cover the rest-frame 60 μm over much of the redshift range probed in this work and we estimate L_{60} from a simple log-linear interpolation of PACS measurements in bands that bracket 60 μm in the rest-frame. The use of L_{60} obviates the need to apply an uncertain correction between monochromatic and total FIR luminosities. As a rough guide for the reader, a star-forming galaxy with an IR spectral energy distribution (SED) similar to M 82 with L_{60} = 10^{15} L_⊙ has a SFR of approximately 80 M_⊙/yr. The exact transformation depends on the SED shape, the SF history of the galaxy and on many other factors relating to the distribution of dust and stars in a system.

In cases where a mean L_{60} is desired for a sample consisting of a mix of PACS detected and undetected sources, we follow a technique developed in earlier works from our team (Shao et al. 2010; Santini et al. 2012) and briefly outlined here. We bin sources in this study by redshift and structure. We employ fiducial redshift bins: 0.5 < z < 1.0, 1.0 < z < 1.5, 1.5 < z < 2.0 and 2.0 < z < 2.5 (though, in some analyses, we combine the last two redshift bins to improve statistics). A fraction of sources in each bin are detected in two or more PACS bands. L_{60} is calculated for these using their individual redshifts and a log-linear interpolation of PACS fluxes. Of the remaining sources, some are detected in only one PACS band, while the majority are undetected in the FIR data. For the latter, stacks were performed at the optical positions of the sources on PACS residual maps in all available bands, from which mean fluxes were measured using PSF photometry. The stacked fluxes in a band were averaged with the fluxes of sources only detected in that band, weighting by the number of sources in each category. This gives mean fluxes for the partially detected and undetected AGNs in both bands, from which a mean L_{60} was derived using the median redshift of these sources. The final 60 μm luminosity in each bin was computed by averaging over the linear luminosities of detections and non-detections, weighted by the number of sources. This procedure was only performed for bins with more than three sources in total.

Errors on the infrared luminosity are obtained by bootstrapping. A set of sources equal to the number of sources per bin is randomly chosen 100 times among detections and non-detections (allowing repetitions), and L_{60} is computed per each iteration. The standard deviation of the obtained L_{60} values gives the error on the average 60 μm luminosity in each bin. The error bars thus account for both measurement errors and the scatter in the population distribution.

2.3. Chandra deep field (CDF) X-ray catalogs

Cospatial with the two GOODS survey fields (Giavalisco et al. 2004), the Chandra Deep Fields (CDFs) are the deepest pencil-beam X-ray surveys in the sky. The 2 Msec exposure in the full CDF-North (CDF-N) has produced a point source catalog consisting of 503 sources (Alexander et al. 2003), while in GOODS-South, the recent 4 Msec CDF-South (CDF-S) catalog comprises 740 sources (Xue et al. 2011). However, only ∼60% of the full CDFs are imaged by CANDELS.

We have extensively characterized the data and catalogs in both fields, in which careful associations have been made with optical and NIR counterparts, using, where possible, probabilistic crossmatching models (Luo et al. 2010; Xue et al. 2011). In addition to the deep X-ray data, the wealth of deep spectroscopy and multiwavelength photometric data in the GOODS fields have enabled accurate spectroscopic or AGN-optimized photometric redshifts to be determined for the majority of the X-ray sources (e.g., Szokoly et al. 2004; Luo et al. 2010). We estimate absorption-corrected hard-band X-ray luminosities (L_X) of sources with redshifts using spectral modeling techniques (Bauer et al. 2004). As a result of the small area and great depth of the CDF exposures, most X-ray sources are low or moderate luminosity AGNs — only ∼5% of the sources have log L_X (2–10 keV) > 44 erg s^{-1}. These may be thought of as X-ray selected equivalents of the local Seyfert galaxy population. In this work, we only consider sources with log L_X > 42 erg s^{-1}, to prevent contamination from powerful starbursts, in which emission from high-mass X-ray binaries can potentially overpower the emission from nuclear activity.

2.4. Multiwavelength photometry and stellar masses

We employ multiwavelength galaxy catalogs in both GOODS fields to define a general galaxy sample, the properties of which we will compare to the AGNs. In GOODS-S, we use the updated GOODS-MUSIC database (Santini et al. 2009; Grazian et al. 2006), while in GOODS-N we use a catalog developed for the PEP team using a similar methodology (Berta et al. 2010, 2011). The former catalog selects galaxies with observed magnitudes in the HST F850LP band <26 or in the ISAAC K_s band <23.5, while the latter is primarily selected to have K < 24.2. In order
multiwavelength photometry. For inactive galaxies, we perform modulus minimization model templates and AGN SED templates to fit $F_{850LP}$ (Brammer et al. 2008) in GOODS-N. For details on the catalog preparation, characterization and photometric redshift estimation, we refer the reader to Santini et al. (2009) for GOODS-S and Berta et al. (2010) for GOODS-N.

We have developed a custom technique to estimate the stellar masses ($M_*$) in AGNs, by linearly combining galaxy population synthesis model templates and AGN SED templates to fit multiwavelength photometry. For inactive galaxies, we perform a $\chi^2$ minimization of Bruzual & Charlot (2003) synthetic models, assuming a Salpeter IMF and parameterizing the star formation histories as exponentially declining functions. For AGNs, we also include an AGN template from Silva et al. (2004), which accounts for a variable fraction of the total light of the galaxy. The AGN template reflects the classification of the X-ray source, derived from information about its SED and spectrum, where available. For sources classified as Type I (broad lines in the spectrum, clear AGN contribution in the rest-frame optical and UV), an unobscured AGN SED was used. For the rest, a Type II template was used if the estimated X-ray absorption column $N_H < 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2}$, and a Compton-thick template for more heavily absorbed systems, though in practice, the final choice of the last two templates makes little difference. For further details of the method, performance evaluations, tests and limitations, we refer the reader to Santini et al. (2012).

While AGN are selected by their X-ray emission, we define our inactive galaxy population as all galaxies that are undetected in X-rays (excluding even those which have log $L_X < 42 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) and Spitzer/IRAC colors that are unlike those of bright AGNs following the criteria of Donley et al. (2012). In practice, only a very small fraction of the general galaxy population are rejected on the basis of these criteria, but they tend to be massive galaxies and could potentially sway the statistics of SF comparisons among such systems by an inordinate degree. We also impose a minimum mass of $M_*>10^{10} M_\odot$ on both AGNs and inactive galaxies. Very few AGNs in our redshift range of interest lie at lower masses and the GOODS galaxy catalogs become increasingly incomplete below this mass limit at $z \sim 2$ (Santini et al. 2012).

Galaxies from the multiwavelength catalogs were matched to Herschel sources through the positions of the 24 $\mu$m priors, which are, in turn, tied to IRAC catalogs in the GOODS fields (Magnelli et al. 2009). Therefore, the cross-matching tolerances are $\approx 1''$. The rate of spurious crossmatches is $\approx 3\%$, estimated from the asymptotic behavior of the cross-match offsets between the IRAC catalog and the CANDELS $H$-band catalog in GOODS-S.

In Table 1, we list the numbers of AGNs and inactive galaxies from the parent samples – sources that lie in the overlap of the CANDELS imaging and Herschel/PACS maps, additionally restricted by the footprint of the CDFs (for AGNs) or the multiwavelength catalogs (for the inactive galaxies). Along with the parent sample numbers, we also list numbers of AGNs and inactive galaxies that satisfy our criteria for good GALFIT measurements (in both GOODS fields) and good visual structure estimates (in GOODS-S only).

2.4.1. Bootstrapped stellar mass-matched control samples

Moderately luminous AGNs are inherently rare among galaxies. In the small fields considered in our study, only few to several tens of AGNs that satisfy our the minimum criteria for a valid structural measurement may be found in each redshift bin. Direct constraints on the relationships between SFR, redshift and structure based solely on the AGNs themselves are severely limited by small number statistics.

On the other hand, the inactive galaxy population far outnumber X-ray selected AGNs in both fields and at all redshifts. We take advantage of this by creating multiple control samples of inactive galaxies matched to the AGNs using Monte-Carlo bootstrap techniques, from which we constrain the measurements and distributions of structural and SF properties more rigorously, as well as account for the uncertainties arising from the small sample size of the AGNs and complex scatter associated with the structural measurements. The observed measurements and distributions shown by the AGNs may then be compared to those of the control samples. Since the uncertainties in the latter are also estimated, we can ascertain whether or not the observed AGNs are consistent with being drawn from the inactive galaxy population.

We match galaxies to AGNs on the basis of stellar mass. All AGNs and inactive galaxies in each of the fiducial redshift bins are further binned into narrow mass intervals, of $\Delta M_*=0.2 \text{ dex}$ for $M_*>10^{11.5} M_\odot$ and $\Delta M_*=0.5 \text{ dex}$ for $M_* > 10^{11.5} M_\odot$. The increase of the matching tolerance at high $M_*$ is due to the paucity of high mass galaxies, as well as the high AGN incidence at these masses, which reduces the pool of control galaxies substantially. For each AGN in a redshift and mass bin, we randomly choose one counterpart from the corresponding set of inactive galaxies, allowing duplications. This yields a single set of inactive control galaxies of the same number as the AGNs, sharing their stellar mass distribution within the matching tolerance. We repeat this process hundreds of times to get multiple independent control samples. These are used to determine a statistical distribution of any parameter of interest, which encapsulates both the mean distribution and the statistical scatter in the distribution coming from real and sampling variance of both the inactive galaxy population and the measurements of the parameter itself. We then evaluate whether the distribution of the parameter for the AGNs is consistent with arising as a single draw of the control sample. In this sense, the approach taken in this work is purely comparative and no attempt is made to correct the distributions for incompleteness or biases associated with the

### Table 1. Total AGN/inactive sample sizes after the application of different selections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>$0.5 &lt; z &lt; 1.0$</th>
<th>$1.0 &lt; z &lt; 1.5$</th>
<th>$1.5 &lt; z &lt; 2.0$</th>
<th>$2.0 &lt; z &lt; 2.5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CANDELS/both fields + $M_*&gt;10^{10} M_\odot$ (parent)</td>
<td>91/974</td>
<td>89/877</td>
<td>69/625</td>
<td>34/594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANDELS/both fields + $M_*&gt;10^{10} M_\odot$ (parent) + good GALFIT</td>
<td>87/919</td>
<td>82/841</td>
<td>60/570</td>
<td>22/460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANDELS/GOODS-S + $M_*&gt;10^{10} M_\odot$ (parent) + visual classification</td>
<td>52/320</td>
<td>46/362</td>
<td>39/211</td>
<td>20/246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANDELS/GOODS-S + $M_*&gt;10^{10} M_\odot$ (parent) + visual classification</td>
<td>44/293</td>
<td>39/332</td>
<td>33/198</td>
<td>17/229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. Top panels: physical half-light semi-major axis radii plotted against stellar mass $M_\ast$ for AGNs (large red points), inactive control galaxies (large black points) and the overall galaxy population (small grey points), in four distinct redshift bins. Samples from both GOODS fields are combined and one hundred control sample draws are used in all panels of this figure. The lines in the upper panels show parameterizations of galaxy size-mass relationships across redshift from van der Wel et al. (2014); dashed lines for late-type galaxies and solid lines for early type galaxies. Vertical histograms compare the size distributions of the AGNs (red lines) and the inactive control. Middle panels: Sérsic index $n$ plotted against stellar mass $M_\ast$ for AGNs (large red points), inactive control galaxies (large black points) and the overall galaxy population (small grey points), in four distinct redshift bins. Samples from both GOODS fields are combined here. Vertical histograms compare the size distributions of the AGNs (red lines) and the inactive control. In each panel in both rows, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) probability ($P_{KS}$) that the vertical distributions come from the same parent sample is shown in the upper left corner. Bottom panels: a comparison of the $M_\ast$ distributions of AGNs (red histograms), the inactive control sample (black histograms) and the overall galaxy population (grey filled histograms). The importance of stellar mass-matching in constructing a valid comparison sample is clear, and the performance of our mass-matching procedure may be appreciated at a glance.

Photometric cuts and the quality cuts applied for structural measurements. Since both AGNs and galaxies are tied to the same multiwavelength catalogs, the same biases are expected to apply to both populations.

Throughout the rest of this paper, we will use the term inactive galaxies to specifically denote the population of massive galaxies without detectable nuclear activity with a stellar mass distribution matching those of the AGNs, i.e., the stellar mass-matched control samples described above.

3. Setting the stage: structural patterns for AGNs and inactive galaxies

We start by highlighting a few important structural relationships inherent for galaxies, as uncovered by our morphological measurements. These serve as context for understanding differences between AGNs and inactive galaxies in terms of their relationships between SF and galaxy structure.

3.1. Size-mass relationship

Galaxies show a clear trend towards larger sizes at higher stellar mass, a relationship that is discernible to $z > 2$. We consider the size-mass relationship in the upper panels of Fig. 4, where we plot $H$-band half-light radii $R_e$ vs. $M_\ast$ for galaxies and AGNs combining the two GOODS fields. As a guide to the eye, lines are used to illustrate the typical size-mass relationships for star-forming galaxies and quiescent galaxies as recently determined from the CANDELS-based study of van der Wel et al. (2014). Since current star formation is correlated with the light/mass profile of galaxies, early- and late-type galaxy populations with different Sérsic indices ($n$) are also differentiated by their characteristic size-mass relationships. High $n$ galaxies show a much steeper relationship between size and mass and are generally smaller than low $n$ galaxies at the same $M_\ast$. In addition, the size-mass relationship of high $n$ galaxies evolves more strongly to $z = 2$ (Trujillo et al. 2006, 2007; Bruce et al. 2012). The differences between these relationships and their evolution place important constraints on the buildup of stellar mass in galaxies from $z = 2$.

The AGNs and 100 sets of mass-matched control galaxies are shown in this diagram as larger red and black points respectively. For the most part, AGNs occupy the same range and scatter in size as shown by inactive galaxies of the same stellar mass. This is shown more clearly through the vertical histograms on
the side of each panel, which compare the size distributions of the AGNs and the control galaxies. The similarity of the distributions is measured using a two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test and the probability that the two distributions are drawn from the same parent population \( P_{KS} \) is listed in the upper left corner of each panel. We see some systematic variation in the relative size distributions with redshift. The KS tests suggest that the two distributions are very consistent between \( z = 1 \) to \( z = 2.5 \), but differs in the lowest. At \( 0.5 < z < 1.0 \), AGN hosts are larger than the control sample. However, a detailed look in Sect. 3.3 suggests only minor differences, which may be driven by cosmic variance.

3.2. Sérsic index-mass relationship

The presence of two size-mass relationships among galaxies is related to differences in their light profiles, as parameterized by the Sérsic index \( n \) (Trujillo et al. 2006). This is believed to be driven by their different dynamical evolution (e.g., Baugh et al. 1996; Mo et al. 1998; Naab et al. 2009). Galaxies with \( n \approx 1 \) have primarily exponential light profiles and are likely to be disk-dominated. Since disks are dynamically colder systems with substantial rotation, low Sérsic galaxies are unlikely to have suffered a violent event within several dynamical times of our view of them. On the other hand, galaxies with \( n \approx 4 \) are classical spheroids, which are dynamically hot and have low rotation. This suggests that their most critical recent evolutionary event was violent enough to redistribute any cold components that may have existed in the progenitors of these galaxies. This, and the relative importance of minor mergers and recent gas accretion, play a role in the interpretation of the different size-mass relationships shown by low and high Sérsic galaxies. Some complexity to this picture comes from suggestions that modest Sérsic indices could be achieved by disk-dominated galaxies in the high redshift Universe (e.g., van der Wel et al. 2011).

In the middle panels of Fig. 4, we plot \( n \) vs. \( M_\ast \) for galaxies and AGNs for the two GOODS fields combined. At \( M_\ast < 10^{10} M_\odot \) (with possibly some differences over redshift), the vast majority of galaxies have low Sérsic indices of \( n < 2.5 \). Towards \( M_\ast > 10^{10} M_\odot \), the typical Sérsic index of galaxies increases considerably, reflecting the increased spheroidal dominance of high mass galaxies seen both in the local and distant Universe (e.g., Buitrago et al. 2013). There is clear change in the fraction of high \( n \) galaxies with redshift over the range we study here. At \( 0.5 < z < 1.0 \) and \( M_\ast > 10^{10} M_\odot \), 35% of galaxies have \( n > 2.5 \), which changes to 18% among equally massive galaxies at \( 2.0 < z < 2.5 \). This is a well-established result in the CANDELS era (e.g., van der Wel et al. 2011).

As before, we show the AGNs and control galaxies using larger red and black points, and compare their Sérsic index distributions visually using vertical histograms in each panel and analytically with two-sided KS tests. At \( z < 1.5 \), AGNs and inactive galaxies have statistically indistinguishable distributions. However, at higher redshifts, AGNs show a significant surfet of high Sérsic systems. In the next subsection, we examine the differences between AGNs and inactive galaxies more carefully to gain some insight into the causes for these observed differences.

3.3. Light profile parameter distributions

The large number of inactive galaxies from the parent catalogs enables the construction of statistical distributions of \( n, R_e \) and the ellipse axis ratio \( q \) for the mass-matched inactive control sample, combining both GOODS fields to maximize the sample size and minimize cosmic variance. In Fig. 5, each row shows histogram distributions for a different light profile parameter output by GALFIT. In each panel, the dark/light grey regions show, for each histogram bin, the 1\( \sigma \)/2\( \sigma \) range in the number of inactive galaxies in that bin, determined from 1000 control sample draws. The distribution for the AGNs are shown as red open histograms. If the AGN histogram lies within the dark grey regions, their distributions are completely consistent with those of inactive galaxies within 1 standard deviation.

By and large, the distributions of all the structural parameters among AGNs are not radically dissimilar from the control sample across all redshifts. Closer scrutiny suggests some systematic differences. The distributions of Sérsic index of the AGNs, are broadly consistent with those of the control galaxies at \( z < 1.5 \) but deviate significantly towards higher \( n \) at \( z > 1.5 \). At these high redshifts, the shapes of the AGNs are a bit rounder, with a \( q \) distribution skewed slightly towards higher values than the inactive galaxies. AGNs also tend to be a bit larger than inactive galaxies at \( 0.5 < z < 1.0 \) and a bit smaller than inactive galaxies at \( 1.5 < z < 2.5 \).

An interesting trend to note is that the light profile distributions of AGNs change very little across redshift, unlike that of the inactive galaxies. Between \( z = 0.5 \) and \( z = 2.5 \), the Sérsic index distribution of the AGNs has a roughly fixed median value of \( \approx 2.7 \), while that of the inactive galaxies ranges from \( \approx 3.0 \) at \( 0.5 < z < 1.0 \) to \( \approx 1.5 \) at \( 2.0 < z < 2.5 \). In parallel, inactive galaxies also become more elongated, a sign of the increased prominence of massive disks with redshift. AGNs, however, remain rounder, with only a slight shift towards more elongated profiles between the low and high redshift bin. The median sizes of the control galaxies increases slightly with redshift, while those of the AGNs decreases slightly.

Taken together, these systematics can be attributed to two possible effects. A small amount of point source contamination from the nucleus may be affecting AGN light profiles, mostly in the high redshift bin where the AGNs are more luminous and the H-band traces the rest-frame B and V bands (4400–6000 Å). Alternatively, there may be a preference for AGNs to be found in more bulge-dominated hosts, as suggested by earlier visual classification studies (Kocevski et al. 2012). Both effects lead to a greater concentration of light coming from the central region of the galaxy, resulting in the small differences in light profile structure we observe.

3.3.1. Possible origins of the central light excess

An AGN can be a profuse source of energy emitting across most wavelengths. Unextinguished UV-to-optical continuum emission from a nucleus originates from the hot accretion disk and is very blue, rising in flux rapidly at shorter wavelengths towards a putative peak in the extreme UV. On this continuum may be superimposed emission lines from the various AGN-ionized regions. Regarding its effect on the appearance of galaxy structure, unextinguished AGN appear as nuclear point sources with blue colors. However, dust along the line of sight to the nucleus can redden the point source, making it harder to distinguish against the light from the bulge of the host galaxy.

The influence of strong AGN point sources on galaxy structural measurements can be quite profound. Even point source fractions as low as a few tens of percent can lead to appreciably bulgier, rounder and more centrally concentrated effective light profiles (Pierce et al. 2010). It is worth noting, given the nuclear luminosities of the AGNs in the GOODS fields (as estimated from their X-ray emission), only a small fraction
should be powerful enough to severely contaminate their host galaxy light, even if unextinguished by dust (see the Appendix of Rosario et al. 2013a, for a discussion). Earlier GALFIT studies have revealed frequent red nuclear excesses among AGNs in the GOODS field (Simmons et al. 2011; Schawinski et al. 2011), attributable to obscured AGN point sources. These sources are generally much fainter than their hosts and would not strongly alter their measured structure. However, pervasive weak nuclear excesses among AGNs could explain some of the mild systematic trends we find in the light profile parameter distributions of AGN hosts.

In Appendix A, we undertake a brief investigation into the nuclear contamination of the light profiles of AGNs at $1.5 < z < 2.5$. We fit AGNs and mass-matched inactive galaxies using a combination of a Sérsic galaxy model and a central PSF. The inclusion of a point source in the GALFIT fits leads to a lower effective Sérsic index distribution for the AGN hosts (Fig. A.1), but it also systematically lowers the effective indices for inactive galaxies. As strong nuclear emission is not expected in the latter population, we conclude that such two component fits are subtracting away the light of a central bulge as well. This is not unreasonable given the similar sizes of bulges and WFC3/F160W PSFs at $z \sim 2$ (e.g., Bruce et al. 2012). An accurate treatment of the influence of AGN nuclear emission requires multi-component fits, as well as careful simulations with the addition of fake point sources to real images of massive inactive galaxies in order to understand the systematics inherent in the consideration of a second structural component in GALFIT. This will be
pursued in future work built upon this study. We can, nonetheless, perform some simple tests for the importance of strong blue excesses to the light profiles of AGNs.

In Fig. 6, we plot the Sérsic indices of AGNs against their absorption-corrected 2–10 keV X-ray luminosity $L_X$, combining the samples from both GOODS fields. The underlying contours show the distribution in this plane of 1000 draws of a mass-matched control sample of inactive galaxies. To be placed in this figure, each control galaxy is assigned the X-ray luminosity of the AGN to which it is matched, generating, in effect, a large simulated AGN sample with the same mass and X-ray luminosity distributions as the real AGNs. The four contour levels encompass 90%, 75%, 50% and 25% of the simulated AGNs in this plane.

Fig. 6. GALFIT-derived Sérsic index vs. absorption-corrected 2–10 keV X-ray luminosity of AGNs from both Chandra Deep Fields combined (white circle points). The contours show the density of points from 1000 draws of a mass-matched control sample of inactive galaxies. To place the control galaxies on this plot, the X-ray luminosity assigned to each control galaxy is that of the AGN to which it is matched, producing, in effect, a large simulated AGN sample with the same mass and X-ray luminosity distributions as the real AGNs. The four contour levels encompass 90%, 75%, 50% and 25% of the simulated AGNs in this plane.

In Fig. 7, we plot the Sérsic indices of AGNs against their absorption-corrected 2–10 keV X-ray luminosity $L_X$, combining the samples from both GOODS fields. The underlying contours show the distribution in this plane of 1000 draws of a mass-matched control sample of inactive galaxies. To be placed in this figure, each control galaxy is assigned the X-ray luminosity of the AGN to which it is matched, generating, in effect, a large simulated AGN sample with the same mass and X-ray luminosity distributions as the real AGNs. The four contour levels encompass 90%, 75%, 50% and 25% of the simulated AGNs in this plane.

In Fig. 7, we plot the Sérsic indices of AGNs against their absorption-corrected 2–10 keV X-ray luminosity $L_X$, combining the samples from both GOODS fields. The underlying contours show the distribution in this plane of 1000 draws of a mass-matched control sample of inactive galaxies. To be placed in this figure, each control galaxy is assigned the X-ray luminosity of the AGN to which it is matched, generating, in effect, a large simulated AGN sample with the same mass and X-ray luminosity distributions as the real AGNs. The four contour levels encompass 90%, 75%, 50% and 25% of the simulated AGNs in this plane.

We perform another simple test of these alternatives by employing GALFIT fits of the same galaxies in a bluer band. If blue nuclear contamination is the reason for the systematic deviation of $n$ in AGN hosts at $z > 2$, and assuming that AGN spectra do not change systematically with redshift, then we should see a qualitatively similar deviation at $0.5 < z < 1.0$ when we examine $n$ distributions from F850LP (z-band) fits, since this band traces the rest-frame $B$-band for these galaxies. In addition, the deviation should be much more pronounced for the higher redshift systems where F850LP traces rest-frame UV light.

In Fig. 7, we plot the $n$ distributions of galaxies and AGNs in the GOODS-S/FIREWORKS catalog, for which consistent fits using the same setup are available in both $H$- and $z$-bands (see Sect. 2.1.1). A quick inspection of the top row of panels in this figure and in Fig. 5 will show that the basic $H$-band $n$ distributions and trends are qualitatively preserved whether one is considering the complete AGN sample or just this subset in GOODS-S. Comparing the two rows of panels in Fig. 7, we see that, while the overall distributions for both AGNs and control are slightly diskier in the z-band, the AGNs do not show more concentrated light profiles in these bands, as one would have expected from prominent blue central point sources. In particular, the AGNs appear to be diskier than the control at $0.5 < z < 1.0$, not bulgier. In addition, both AGNs and inactive galaxies show strongly disky light profiles at $z > 1.5$, arguably closer to that of the control in the rest-frame UV (z-band) than in the rest-frame optical (H-band). The greater similarity of the AGN and control $z$-band $n$ distributions at $z > 1.5$ suggests that the excess light in the AGN hosts is at least as red or redder than the typical color of the control galaxies, since it only becomes prominent at optical wavelengths. Therefore, if nuclear light is the major source of the central excess, it must be reddened considerably by dust along the line of sight to the nucleus. However, this will also act to preferentially extinguish the light from the nucleus, decreasing the contrast of nuclear emission with respect to the host galaxy.

We explore the consistency of the notion that wide-spread reddened AGN light can influence the centers of the more luminous host galaxies at $z ~ 2$ using Fig. 8, in which we contrast a typical galaxy spectrum to AGN spectra with varying degrees of extinction. The galaxy template shown in the figure is a typical Sa galaxy spectral type from the SWIRE template library (Polletta et al. 2007), normalized to a luminosity at $z = 2$ that corresponds to an observed frame magnitude of $H = 24$. The AGN spectrum comes from a composite HST/UV spectrum of radio-quiet quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) from Telfer et al. (2002) stitched to a composite optical spectrum from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Vanden Berk et al. 2001). Since it is empirical, this base spectrum already includes a mild degree of extinction. The QSO spectrum is normalized to the characteristic luminosity of an AGN with $L_X = 10^{43.5}$ erg s$^{-1}$, using the X-ray to optical

---

1 The tabulated spectrum is available at [http://www.pha.jhu.edu/~rt19/composite/](http://www.pha.jhu.edu/~rt19/composite/)
Fig. 7. Distributions of the Sérsic index ($n$) from GALFIT fits for AGNs and inactive control galaxies in two HST bands: the ACS/F850LP band (bottom panels) and the WFC3/F160W band (top panels). Panels left to right span three distinct bins in redshift. Only the subset of sources contained in the FIREWORKS catalog are here. 1000 draws of a mass-matched control sample are analyzed to determine the 1σ/2σ scatter in the $n$ distributions for inactive galaxies, shown as dark/light grey zones in the histograms. Red open histograms show the parameter distributions for the AGNs. Sérsic indices are typically lower in the bluer F850LP band. Especially at $1.5 < z < 2.5$, the $n$ histograms of the AGNs are more consistent with those of the inactive galaxies from the F850LP fits.

Fig. 8. Comparison of a typical galaxy spectrum (red solid line) and AGN spectra with different levels of extinction (blue lines). The base galaxy spectrum is the Sa template from the SWIRE library (Polletta et al. 2007) scaled to correspond to $H = 24$ mag if placed at $z = 2$. The base AGN spectrum (blue solid line) is stitched together from composite radio-quiet QSO spectra in the UV from Telfer et al. (2002) and the optical from Vanden Berk et al. (2001), scaled to correspond to $L_X = 10^{43.5}$ erg s$^{-1}$ using optical/X-ray relation defined by Lusso et al. (2010); the error bars show the expected scatter in the relation. The blue dashed lines show the effects of Calzetti-law extinction on the base AGN spectrum with $E(B-V)$ as indicated on the right below the corresponding extinguished spectrum. The approximate position of the observed F850LP ($z$) and F160W ($H$) bands at $z = 2$, relevant for the interpretation of Fig. 6, are shown as grey bands.

An X-ray AGN at $z = 2$ with the typical luminosity of those in our sample can produce roughly as much light at 5000 Å as a galaxy at the faint limit considered in this structural study ($H = 24$). However, even modest amounts of extinction quickly weaken the relative blue light of such an AGN, leaving the light from the host galaxy dominant. For an AGN to simultaneously account for more than 10% of the total light of a system yet be as red as a galaxy spectrum, it would have to be considerably more luminous than $L_X = 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and only a handful of such sources are found in our AGN sample. This analysis does not preclude that these rather low luminosity systems could have a much lower X-ray/optical luminosity ratio ($\alpha_{OX}$) than calibrations based on bright QSOs (Vignali et al. 2003; Lusso et al. 2010) or a much redder intrinsic spectrum than those of QSOs, such as those used to construct the template shown in Fig. 8. Most studies, however, find a higher $\alpha_{OX}$ in low-luminosity AGN (e.g., Steffen et al. 2006).

All together, these tests lend some support to the notion that high redshift AGNs have a more prominent bulge than equally massive inactive galaxies, and that the more luminous AGNs are...
D. J. Rosario et al.: Structure and SFR of AGN in CANDELS/CDF

3.4. Distributions of the interaction metric

A comparison of the distributions of the visual interaction metric (IM) allows us to quantify the fraction of AGNs in interacting or merging systems relative to inactive galaxies and explore the relationship between galaxy interactions and the triggering of nuclear activity. In Fig. 9, we plot the statistical distributions of IM for inactive galaxies, divided coarsely into the three bins delineating isolated, interacting, and merging systems (Sect. 2.1.2). The histograms come from 1000 draws of mass-matched control samples in GOODS-S. These may be compared to the IM distributions of the CDF-S AGNs (red histograms) in the three fiducial redshift bins.

It is important to note that the majority of sources throughout the redshift range $0.5 < z < 2.5$ lie in isolated systems, with $IM = [0.0, 0.2]$. The fraction of galaxies classified as interacting or merging ($IM = [0.2, 1.0]$) appears to evolve with redshift (from 18% at $0.5 < z < 1.0$ to 30% at $1.5 < z < 2.5$, estimated directly from the control samples). However, as noted before, morphological K-corrections makes such evolution potentially hard to interpret.

From Fig. 9, we find a significant difference in the interaction properties of AGNs and inactive galaxies only at $z < 1$. In the low redshift bin, there is an excess of merging systems among AGNs (several $\sigma$) at the expense of a smaller number of isolated systems. In both the higher redshift bins, the IM distributions of AGNs and inactive galaxies are consistent within the scatter.

Another approach to test the relevance of mergers is to consider the fraction of AGNs and inactive galaxies in the “multi-feature” subset of merging/interacting galaxies, described in Sect. 2.1.2. In Fig. 10, we plot as black points the median fractions of the mass-matched inactive control sample from 1000 draws in each of the three redshift bins. The errors on these fractions represent the scatter among inactive galaxies from the draws. The observed fraction of interactions among the AGNs are shown as red points, and may be compared to the median and scatter of the interaction fractions among inactive galaxies. In parallel to what we find in the IM distributions, the AGNs show a significant excess of interacting systems at $z < 1$, but are consistent within the scatter with the interaction fractions of inactive galaxies at $z \sim 2$.

3.5. Distributions of clumpiness metric

A measure of the level of disturbance in a galaxy is the clumpiness metric (CM), which is based on the visual prominence of clumps in galaxies. Galaxy simulations suggest that the torques driven by large clumps can increase the inflow of gas onto SMBHs, triggering AGN activity (Bournaud et al. 2011; Gabor & Bournaud 2013). X-ray stacking studies of clumpy galaxies at $z \sim 1$ support this theoretical insight (Bournaud et al. 2012). From 1000 draws of mass-matched control samples, we determine the statistical distributions of CM for inactive galaxies in our fiducial redshift bins, as shown in Fig. 11. There is a sharp dropoff in the distribution with CM; most galaxies only
show low levels of visual clumpiness. The fraction of clumpy systems (defined here as $CM > 0.2$) increases mildly with redshift from 25% at $0.5 < z < 1.0$ to 32% $1.5 < z < 2.5$. This is expected given the current understanding of galaxy evolution where more turbulent high redshift galaxies contain more clumpy disks. However, since the bluest band ($F606W$) used for the visual assessment of clumpiness changes from rest-frame $≈ 3500 \, \text{Å}$ in the low redshift bin to $≈ 2000 \, \text{Å}$ in the high redshift bin, a morphological k-correction could also play some role in this apparent evolution.

The distribution of clumpiness in AGNs is overplotted in the figure as a red solid line. In many ways, the trends found in IM in Fig. 9 are also reflected in CM. At $0.5 < z < 1.0$, AGNs are more likely to be found in clumpy galaxies, at a significance of several times the scatter. At these redshifts, we estimate a clumpy fraction among AGNs of $39 \% \pm 3 \%$, while the fraction of clumpy AGNs drops to $28 \% \pm 5 \%$, though it is formally consistent with the clumpy fraction of AGNs all redshifts. This fraction is comparable to the clumpy fraction of inactive galaxies at the same redshifts, and an examination of the right panel in Fig. 11 also shows that AGNs and inactive galaxies have similar CM distributions at higher $z$. This may be contrasted with their rather different distributions at low redshift. Broadly, there appears to be a preference for the clumpy fraction of AGNs to change less slowly with redshift, akin to their light profile parameter distributions. This implies that the characteristic structure of AGN hosts may remain approximately invariant with redshift between $z = 2.5$ and $z = 0.5$.

4. SFR-structure relationships

Valuable insight into the scenarios that link AGN fueling and host galaxy properties comes from combining information about SFR and host structure. In this section, we explore these relationships using the deep $Herschel$/PACS FIR data in the two GOODS fields. We employ two main types of measurements in this analysis. Firstly, we use mean FIR luminosities of AGNs and inactive control galaxies. These are estimated directly from PACS fluxes for the subset of sources detected in the PACS maps, and from stacks on the residual maps for the PACS-undetected sources (Sect. 2.2). Secondly, we employ the FIR detection fraction, defined as the fraction of sources detected in both the $100$ and $160 \, \mu m$ PACS maps. The PEP-GOODS-$Herschel$ photometry detects massive galaxies that lie on or just below the SF sequence out to $z = 2.5$ (Rosario et al. 2013b; Magnelli et al. 2013). Therefore, the FIR detection fraction among a certain population of galaxies is a measure of the fraction of moderate and strongly star-forming
In absolute terms, this measure is sensitive to the depth and completeness of the PACS catalogs, and the redshift-dependent FIR luminosity function. We only use it here as comparative tool to understand differences between AGNs and inactive galaxies, and specifically warn against the over-interpretation of any trends with redshift.

### 4.1. SFR as a function of Sérsic index

In Fig. 13, we compare, in the upper row of panels, the mean $L_{60}$ of AGNs (red points) and mass-matched control galaxies (black points) in bins of redshift and Sérsic index $n$. The errors on the mean $L_{60}$ for AGNs come from a bootstrap resampling of the AGN subsample in each bin in redshift and $n$. The errors on the inactive galaxy measurements (black points) come from the analysis of an ensemble of mean $L_{60}$ from 100 random draws of mass-matched control galaxies. In the lower two rows, each panel is split into two subpanels. $L_{60}$ distributions and PACS detection fractions for AGNs and inactive galaxies are plotted in the left subpanels for two coarse bins in Sérsic index. Histograms show the $L_{60}$ distributions of PACS-detected AGNs and inactive galaxies. The 100 random draws of mass-matched control galaxies are used to determine the $1\sigma$/$2\sigma$ scatter in $L_{60}$ for inactive galaxies, shown as dark/light grey zones in the histograms. The red histograms are the $L_{60}$ distributions for AGNs. The mean $L_{60}$ corresponding to these histograms are plotted for PACS-detected AGNs as red star points and for inactive galaxies as black star points. Error bars on the latter are the rms scatter of the mean of the control samples. The mean $L_{60}$ of PACS-undetected AGNs (red circle points) and inactive galaxies (black circle points) are also compared similarly. PACS detection fractions are shown in the right subpanels. Red cross points denote AGNs and black cross points denote inactive galaxies, with rms scatter shown as vertical error bars on the latter points. See Sect. 4.1 for a discussion.
AGN sample itself (Sect. 2.2), while the errors on the mean $L_{60}$ for the inactive galaxies are determined from 100 draws of mass-matched controls.

For the control sample, we find a clear and consistent drop in the mean $L_{60}$ with $n$ in the low and intermediate redshift bins, although the trend appears to flatten out at $1.5 < z < 2.5$. This is as expected – most of the SF in the local Universe and at higher redshifts is associated with galaxies having substantial disks (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2003; Wuyts et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2013), although at $z \sim 2$, a sizeable population of blue star-forming compact spheroids is also found (Barro et al. 2013). In contrast to the inactive galaxies, the AGNs show a much flatter dependence of mean $L_{60}$ with $n$, such that low Sérisc galaxies and AGNs have comparable FIR luminosities, but, especially at $z < 1.5$, high Sérisc AGNs are significantly more luminous than high Sérisc galaxies. In essence, this means that the enhancement in mean SFR among AGNs is more pronounced in bulgy or early-type galaxies.

In the lower panels, we compare the $L_{60}$ distributions of AGNs and inactive galaxies, splitting them by redshift and coarse bins in Sérisc index: $0.5 < n < 2.5$ cover disk-like exponential light profiles, while $2.5 < n < 5.5$ include bulge-like De Vaucouleurs profiles. The histograms show the $L_{60}$ distributions of PACS-detected sources. As before, the dark/light grey shaded regions show the $1\sigma/2\sigma$ scatter of the mass-matched control sample, and the AGNs are represented by colored open histograms. The mean $L_{60}$ of the PACS-detected objects are shown as star points, where error bars represent one standard deviation in the mean $L_{60}$ of the control sample. The $L_{60}$ distributions of PACS-detected AGNs are statistically very similar to those of the control sample, except among isolated galaxies at high redshift, where the AGNs show a slightly lower fraction of FIR bright systems.

We also compare the mean $L_{60}$ of PACS-undetected sources based on stacks, which are shown in Fig. 13 as large circle points. Again, where measurable, the AGNs have comparable mean FIR luminosities to the inactive galaxies, except in the low redshift bin, where they appear to be more luminous by a factor of a few. Finally, we show the PACS detection fraction of AGNs and inactive control galaxies as cross points in the insets to each panel of the lower figure. As we have noted in earlier studies, AGNs as a whole are significantly more likely to be detected in PACS than equally massive inactive galaxies, suggesting that nuclear activity prefers SF hosts (Santini et al. 2012; Rosario et al. 2013b). The biggest consistent difference between AGNs and control galaxies can be found in the detection fractions. For low $n$, AGNs have a mildly elevated FIR detection fraction over the control, comparable to the full AGN sample. However, high $n$ AGNs are several times more likely to be detected in PACS than inactive galaxies with similar structure. This is the likely reason for the enhanced mean $L_{60}$ seen among bulgy AGNs in the upper panels.

### 4.2. SFR as a function of interaction metric

Our analysis of Sect. 3.4 suggests that merger rates among AGNs at $z < 1$ are enhanced. If substantial fuelling of AGNs and bursts of SF are both instigated by gas-rich mergers, nuclear activity is expected to be preferentially found in those interacting systems that also exhibit strong SF. We test this notion in GOODS-S using the visual interaction metric to separate isolated, interacting and merging systems.

In Fig. 14, we compare, in the upper row of panels, the mean $L_{60}$ of AGNs (red points) and mass-matched control galaxies (black points) in bins of redshift and IM. In general, we find that the FIR luminosities are not strongly dependent on IM for both classes of sources. There is a rise between isolated and merging systems, by a factor of $\sim 0.5$ dex in the two higher redshift bins. The mean $L_{60}$ of the AGNs is somewhat enhanced with respect to inactive galaxies at $z < 1.5$, by $\approx 0.3$ dex. This is broadly consistent with the level of FIR enhancement found for all X-ray AGNs in Santini et al. (2012). There is a hint that the enhancement may be higher among interacting galaxies in the lowest redshift bin, but this pattern is not observed at intermediate redshift. At $1.5 < z < 2.5$, AGNs and inactive galaxies show similar mean $L_{60}$.

In the lower panels, we compare the $L_{60}$ distributions of AGNs and inactive galaxies divided by redshift and into bins of $0.0 < IM < 0.2$ (isolated galaxies) and $0.2 < IM < 1.0$ (all interacting and merging systems for sufficient statistics). The $L_{60}$ distributions of PACS-detected AGNs are generally indistinguishable from those of the control sample, except among isolated galaxies at high redshift, where the AGNs show a slightly lower fraction of FIR bright systems. The mean $L_{60}$ values (star points) are consistent with the visual appearance of the distributions and also indicate the significance of the low mean $L_{60}$ among AGNs detected by PACS at $1.5 < z < 2.5$.

PACS-undetected AGNs and inactive galaxies also have comparable mean FIR luminosities. From the detection rates comparison in the inset panels, the general detection rate of AGNs is significantly higher than that of inactive galaxies among both isolated and interacting systems. However, the difference in detection rates between AGNs and inactive galaxies is not clearly dependent on the IM of the hosts, suggesting that starbursts and nuclear activity are not any more coevally connected in mergers than in isolated galaxies.

### 4.3. SFR as a function of clumpiness metric

We have shown in Sect. 3.5 that AGNs are more likely to be in clumpy galaxies, at least at $z < 1.5$. In Fig. 15, we explore trends between FIR luminosity and the visual clumpiness of galaxies. As before, we look first at the mean $L_{60}$ of AGNs and the inactive control sample in bins of redshift and CM (top row of the figure). Firstly, there is a trend towards mildly elevated $L_{60}$ among clumpy galaxies at all redshifts, which is expected since clumps are a signature of unstable star-forming disks (Dekel et al. 2009; Ceverino et al. 2010). At $z < 1.5$, the enhancement in mean $L_{60}$ for AGNs is small or non-existent among clumpy systems, but appears to be larger for smooth systems. The differences between the mean $L_{60}$ of AGNs and inactive galaxies as a function of CM reduces and possibly goes away by $z \sim 2$.

The two lower rows of Fig. 15 compare $L_{60}$ distributions of the two populations. To allow sufficient number statistics, we use coarser bins in CM than in the upper panel: $0.0 < IM < 0.3$ indicates smooth galaxies, while $0.3 < IM < 1.0$ includes both mildly and strongly clumped galaxies. AGNs and inactive galaxies show fairly similar distributions in $L_{60}$ in both CM bins, both among PACS-detected and – undetected systems. In the high redshift bin, the AGNs are mildly weaker in mean star-forming properties compared to the inactive population. A comparison of PACS detection fractions shows again that AGNs tend to be detected a little more often than the control sample. There is a mild indication that the differences in detection rates are smaller among clumpy systems than among smooth systems.
5. Discussion

5.1. Differences in structure and star formation between AGNs and inactive galaxies

In earlier sections, we analyzed structural and SFR patterns of X-ray selected AGN host galaxies and compared them to equally massive inactive galaxies. Broadly, AGNs are structurally similar to non-AGNs, whether in terms of galaxy light profiles, clumpiness or the incidence of interactions/mergers. Both sets of galaxies are primarily isolated, smooth and moderately bulgy systems. AGNs of all structural categories are more likely to detected in the FIR, although their SFR distributions, tracked using $L_{60}$ distributions and stacks, are not vastly different from inactive galaxies (a more extensive treatment can be found in Rosario et al. 2013b). Despite this, we do uncover some significant differences through the use of a careful comparison of statistical distributions.

First, AGNs show little systematic variation in their rest-frame optical light profiles despite the obvious changes in the profiles of non-AGNs with redshift. At $z \approx 1$, massive inactive galaxies are primarily bulgy, with a typical Sérsic index of 3, similar to the AGNs. By $z \approx 2$, inactive galaxies become mostly disk-like and show Sérsic indices peaking at $n = 1$. In contrast, the typical Sérsic index of AGNs changes little between $z = 2.5$ and $z = 0.5$. Comparisons of axis ratio distributions also highlight the rounder profiles of AGN hosts at $z \approx 2$.

Comparing $H$-band and $z$-band light profile distributions reveals that these differences are produced by a central light excess in AGNs that is at least as red as the rest of the galaxy.
Fig. 15. Mean 60 μm monochromatic luminosities ($L_{60}$) and luminosity distributions of AGNs and inactive control galaxies in GOODS-S, as a function of visual Clumpiness Metric (CM). Panels left to right span three distinct bins in redshift. In the top row, the mean $L_{60}$ from combinations of detections and stacks are compared in bins of CM. In the lower two rows, each panel is split into two subpanels. $L_{60}$ distributions and PACS detection fractions for AGNs and inactive galaxies are plotted in the left subpanels for two coarse bins in CM. PACS detection fractions are shown in the right subpanels. Details of the plot are identical to those of Fig. 13, except for a difference in the structural measure. See Sect. 4.3 for a discussion.

Rest-frame UV light profiles of AGNs and non-AGNs at these redshifts are similar to their rest-frame optical light profiles. This suggests that the star-forming disk component, which likely dominates the rest-frame UV light, is comparable in shape in both populations of galaxies, whereas the central red excess is more pronounced in AGNs. At $z > 1$, the higher Sersic AGN hosts are also more luminous, pointing to a possible relationship between the origin of the light excess and the nuclear luminosity. While this excess could arise from reddened nuclear emission from an obscured active nucleus, the low luminosity of most X-ray selected AGNs in the CDFs implies instead that a more prominent stellar bulge may exist in AGN hosts (Sect. 3.3.1 and Rosario et al. 2013a). Noting the important caveats in this conclusion outlined in Sect. 3.3.1, we proceed with the cautious implication of our results that the relationship between bulges and the existence of super-massive black holes was already in place at $z \sim 2$, although we refrain from any speculation about the nature of that relationship or its evolution based on our present analysis. In the discussion on AGN fueling modes (Sect. 5.3), we will consider the implications of both alternatives: AGNs are in more bulge-dominant hosts at $z \sim 2$, or AGNs are in structurally similar hosts to inactive massive galaxies at $z \sim 2$.

While the light profiles of AGNs are similar to inactive galaxies at $z \sim 1$ (and probably not affected by AGN contamination), their SFRs show different trends with Sersic index. In particular, AGNs do not share the strong drop of SFR with $n$ characteristic of inactive galaxies. An examination of the SFR...
found for local hard X-ray selected Swift redshift appears to become less pronounced at higher redshifts.

Enhancement in AGN activity in mergers seen in local and low Koss et al. 2010). Towards generally associated with gas-rich galaxies (Santini et al. 2012; Rosario et al. 2013b). We do not discern any clear indication that the SFRs of interacting galaxies hosting AGNs are additional classification based on the gas content, mass ratios of the same coin, tracing much of the same population of host galaxies.

Additionally, our study allows us to compare the relative incidence of interacting or merging systems in AGNs and equally massive inactive galaxies, using the visual interaction metric (IM) or the multi-feature merger subset. At \( z < 1 \), both tracers suggest a significant enhancement of mergers among AGN hosts. The fraction of interacting systems among AGNs in the 0.5 < \( z < 1.0 \) redshift bin is quite consistent with the fractions found for local hard X-ray selected Swift/BAT AGNs (18–25%; Koss et al. 2010). Towards \( z \sim 2 \), AGNs and inactive galaxies have essentially identical IM distributions. Therefore, the enhancement in AGN activity in mergers seen in local and low redshift appears to become less pronounced at higher redshifts.

Across IM, AGNs at \( z \sim 1 \) typically show substantially higher mean SFRs than the control sample. These differences are driven by a combination of mildly higher individual SFRs and a consistently higher FIR detection rate. The enhancement in AGNs is consistent with the notion that nuclear activity is generally associated with gas-rich galaxies (Santini et al. 2012; Rosario et al. 2013b). We do not discern any clear indication that the SFRs of interacting galaxies hosting AGNs are additionally boosted over isolated galaxies hosting AGNs, although this is predicted by most models of co-evol SMBH fueling and starbursts in galaxy interactions. If there is such a secondary enhancement, it is minor when considering the ensemble of visually identified interacting systems. On the other hand, this ensemble includes many gas-poor mergers, minor mergers or fly-bys, which do not necessarily conform to the predictions of merger simulations. A careful treatment of merger samples, with additional classification based on the gas content, mass ratios of the merging components, as well as the stage of the interaction, may reveal finer relationships. This may be possible in future work that uses the entire CANDELS area, but is beyond the statistical capability of our analysis.

5.2. Comparison with existing studies of X-ray selected AGNs

A number of studies using various methods have explored the structural properties of AGN hosts at intermediate and high redshifts, sometimes using samples that overlap significantly with those used here. One advantage of our study is a combination of visual and analytic measures of galaxy structure over a wide range in redshift, which enables us to compare our results to a broader subset of relevant work from the literature.

We are generally consistent with earlier studies which used analytic measures of AGN host structure, typically at \( z < 1 \) (Grogan et al. 2005; Pierce et al. 2007). Some of the differences between the AGNs and inactive galaxies in these earlier studies, such as higher early-type fractions or bluer colors among AGNs, are due to the improper choice of control samples, for example through matching by a blue optical luminosity rather than stellar mass. Such control samples contain a larger number of lower mass blue star-forming galaxies than can be found among AGN hosts, which biases both structural and SF measures. The need for a stellar mass–matched comparison sample is critical for a fair assessment of the AGN hosts (e.g., Villforth et al. 2014).

Kocevski et al. (2012) compared AGNs and mass-matched control galaxies at 1.5 < \( z < 2.5 \) in CANDELS/CDF-S using a visual classification scheme archetypical to the one in this paper. While we do not employ the visual separation into spheroids and disks as used in Kocevski et al. (2012), we have verified, using our visual classification catalogs, that our results are completely consistent with this earlier work (Appendix B). Among AGNs at these redshifts, most host galaxies are classified as disks, with a low merger/disturbed fraction and only a minor enhancement in the visual sphericity over inactive galaxies. At first glance, this seems to be at odds with the high Sérsic indices seen among AGNs at \( z \sim 2 \). These differences stem primarily from the higher sensitivity of visual classifiers to the appearance of disks and a rather weak ability to discern variations in steepness of light profiles among spheroidal systems. An elongated \( n \sim 2.5 \) galaxy will be classified as a disk as easily as an elongated \( n = 1 \) galaxy. Only GALFIT light profile modeling can adequately reveal the central light excess we find in AGN hosts at \( z \sim 2 \). A similar limitation will apply to visual studies of lower redshift AGNs from optical images such as Cisternas et al. (2011), although the higher resolution of the HST/ACS imaging could potentially reveal bulges more effectively. Visual methods are considerably more sensitive to distortions, asymmetries and disturbances in galaxies.

From HST/WFC3 early release science imaging in GOODS-S, Schawinski et al. (2011) determined that AGN hosts at 1.5 < \( z < 3 \) were mostly in disky hosts, with typical GALFIT-based Sérsic indices peaking at \( n = 1 \). This result is in conflict with our finding that AGNs at 1.5 < \( z < 2.5 \) have typical \( n \) of 2.5. One major difference lies in the fact that the AGNs in Schawinski et al. (2011) were fit using a two component galaxy model, with the assumption that the central light excess in these objects arises due to nuclear contamination. We only fit our galaxies with a single model profile and our two band studies demonstrated that the central excess is red and likely because of a bulge. By subtracting away a large part of the central bulge component, Schawinski et al. (2011) may be lowering the effective Sérsic indices in their AGNs (see Appendix A for a demonstration of this effect). To better understand the differences between these results, careful multi-component modeling of the WFC3 images of AGNs and inactive galaxies is needed. Simulations of the influence of multi-component fits on...
the recovery of bulge and disk parameters in realistic galaxies is needed, akin to the approach taken by Simmons & Urry (2008) and Gabor et al. (2009) for AGNs imaged with ACS.

On the topic of merger incidence, the higher merger rates we find among AGNs at $z < 1$ is consistent with the level of enhancement of AGN activity in low redshift mergers (Silverman et al. 2011; Sabater et al. 2013). In addition, the weakening of a merger connection at $z \sim 2$ is consistent with Kocevski et al. (2012). Our results at $z < 1$ are in some tension with the HST/ACS study of XMM-COSMOS AGNs from Cisternas et al. (2011), which found no enhancement in the interaction fraction over the control sample of inactive galaxies in an overlapping redshift range, despite a similar statistical power to our own study. This may arise because of the higher median luminosities of the XMM-COSMOS sample, roughly an order of magnitude greater than the CDFs at these redshifts. Alternatively, and more likely, the differences may stem from the different approaches used to construct a control sample; we match galaxies by stellar mass, while Cisternas et al. (2011) match in redshift and apparent $F814W$ (F-band) magnitude, correcting for any emission from a nuclear point source. The use of a blue optical rest-frame band to match galaxies will allow lower mass star-forming galaxies with a low M/L to enter the control, leading to a different stellar mass distribution between AGNs and the control sample (Xue et al. 2011; Rosario et al. 2013a). While it is not immediately apparent how this can affect merger incidence, a careful assessment of matching criteria is required before these differences are to be understood.

5.3. Insights into AGN triggering scenarios

A radiatively luminous AGN, including one bright in the X-rays, is triggered by dense gas falling onto an accretion disk around an SMBH. A major area of inquiry in the field of active galaxies pertains to how gas gets from scales of the host galaxy down to the black hole. Violent processes, such as galaxy mergers, are very effective at stripping angular momentum from gas, sending it into the centers of galaxies to produce dense compact structures which can fuel synchronized starbursts and luminous AGNs. However, secular processes can also bring gas into the vicinity of the SMBH, as evidenced by the gas-rich circumnuclear environments of settled disk galaxies such as our own Milky Way (e.g., Morris & Serabyn 1996; Kruizsien & Longmore 2013). This gas can amply fuel low and moderate luminosity AGNs (Hopkins & Hernquist 2006), and, depending on the mechanisms relevant for the small-scale inflow of gas around the nucleus, could even fuel luminous phases such as quasi-stellar objects (e.g., Gabor & Bournaud 2013).

The morphology of a galaxy is an aggregate of its evolution over many epochs. Once a galaxy’s stars are redistributed by violent processes into a spheroid, this marker of its merging and inflow history is preserved, even if further inflow of gas and stars may settle into a later-forming disk. Folding together the morphological information of an AGN host, as a measure of its integrated evolution, and its SFR, as a measure of its current evolutionary state, can potentially constrain the importance of various AGN triggering models.

We use the results of our study at $z \leq 1$ to demonstrate, through a simple heuristic example, the way structure and SFR may be jointly employed in testing fueling mechanisms. More quantitative and discriminatory tests will involve a comparison to observable predictions from cosmological semi-analytic models or hydrodynamical simulations.

AGNs at all redshifts are found in all forms of massive galaxies: those with disks, bulges and pure spheroids; isolated, clumpy, interacting or merging. Clearly, neither purely violent processes or purely secular inflow can account for all AGN triggering. The median Sérsic index of $\approx 2.5$ implies that the typical AGN host is a disk galaxy with a substantial bulge, as is also confirmed by visual and analytic estimates from earlier studies (e.g., Grogin et al. 2005; Pierce et al. 2007; Georgakakis et al. 2009; Gabor et al. 2009). The prominence of a spheroid or bulge is a signature that violent processes likely played a role in the structural evolution of these galaxies, but the presence of a cold disk component, as well as the similarity of the Sérsic index distributions of active and inactive galaxies, implies that either those violent events directly fuel only a small fraction of AGNs, or that such violent mechanisms do not preclude the simultaneous formation or preservation of a galaxy disk (Robertson et al. 2006; Hopkins et al. 2009; Dekel et al. 2009; Ceverino et al. 2010). The typical SFR of AGN hosts in disk galaxies is comparable to those of inactive galaxies and consistent with that of the SF Sequence (Mullaney et al. 2012; Rosario et al. 2013b): AGN activity must be driven in these galaxies by secular processes that do not strongly disturb the star-forming equilibrium of their gas disks. Therefore, any consideration for AGN triggering scenarios must be mixed, with both secular and violent components. Theoretical insight suggests that this mix evolves with redshift in the low and moderate luminosity AGN population (Hopkins et al. 2014b).

We consider a scenario where AGN triggering is not directly linked to processes that govern the larger scale galaxy. In this view, the role of the outer galaxy and its environment is to simply supply gas to the inner kpc around the SMBH, which may arrive either through violent torques and relaxation, or through longer secular means. Once there, small-scale physics will govern the final infall of this gas to the accretion disk, modulating the duty cycle of the active phase. SF indicates the presence of cold gas in a galaxy, which is why we find AGN activity is enhanced in star-forming galaxies across almost all galaxy morphologies and forms. In galaxies with very low gas reservoirs, such as massive ellipticals, the chance of hosting enough gas in the circumnuclear regions is quite low. Indeed, only the small subpopulation of early type galaxies with significant gas content contain an X-ray bright AGN and also display detectable star formation. This explains the preponderance of SF in early-type AGN hosts. Among disk-dominated galaxies, the presence of clumps are a signature of higher gas fractions and more turbulent disks, which is why AGN hosts are mildly more clumpy at $z \lesssim 1$.

However, this simple scenario does not adequately explain the higher incidence of mergers among AGNs at these redshifts. Therefore, a channel must exist which involves a direct connection between merger-driven torques on galaxy scales and gas inflow on to the SMBH on nuclear scales (e.g., Hopkins & Quataert 2010). An potential signature of this direct violent channel is an average enhancement in the SFR of AGN-hosting mergers, which has been reported in detailed studies of local galaxy pairs and post-merger remnants (Liu et al. 2011; Ellison et al. 2011, 2013). Unfortunately, the number of inactive visually classified mergers in our sample is too small to obtain a strong FIR detection at $z < 1$, and we cannot test this notion adequately in this work, but will be able to address this more completely in future work using all five CANDELS fields.

In the discussion of the violent channel, the enhanced SF in high Sérsic AGN hosts could instead be taken as evidence of a starburst in these galaxies followed by rapid quenching within
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Appendix A: The inclusion of a central point source in GALFIT fits

Nuclear activity can systematically alter the appearance of AGN host galaxies by adding excess emission in the center, which concentrates the light profile, increases the resultant best-fit Sérsic index and makes the system appear more circular. Even at the highest attainable resolutions, the nucleus is completely unresolved in distant galaxies, appearing as a point source. It is reasonable, therefore, to model AGN host galaxies as a combination of galaxy structural components and a central PSF. However, bulges, which also make light profiles more central concentrated, cannot be easily distinguished from low levels of nuclear point source emission. Galaxy bulges at $z \sim 2$ have characteristic half-light diameters of $\sim 2$ kpc or 0.24″ (e.g., Bruce et al. 2012), only slightly larger than the FWHM of the WFC3/F160W PSF (0.15″). Therefore, accurately distinguishing between bulges and point sources requires careful modelling of the instrumental PSF, and even then may still be inaccurate, since galaxies do not usually have regular light profiles.

To test the performance of GALFIT fits with a PSF component for real $z \sim 2$ galaxies, we compared single Sérsic and two component (Sérsic + central PSF) fits to the F160W images of galaxies in the CANDELS GOODS-S field. This field has the deepest NIR imaging among the CANDELS fields. For this exercise, we used a subset of galaxies from the full sample introduced in Sect. 2.4, which have been carefully fit with different light profile models for a study of the bulge properties of distant galaxies by (Lang et al. 2014). Details of the methodology and fitting setup are published in that work. Only galaxies at $1.5 < z < 2.5$ were considered in this exercise, since it is at these redshifts where the difference in light profiles between AGNs and inactive galaxies is most pronounced (Sect. 3.3). The galaxies were all selected to have with $M_* > 10^{10} M_\odot$.

Each galaxy was first fit with a single elliptical Sérsic profile, iterated numerous times over a grid of initial values to prevent the best fit from falling into a local minimum. The best fitting single Sérsic profile was then used to initialise a second fit with the addition of a PSF component to the galaxy model. The center of the PSF was restricted to within 2 pixels (0.12″) of the center of the Sérsic profile, and its flux was initialised to 1% of the integrated magnitude of the galaxy. All galaxies, whether identified as inactive or active, were fit identically in this fashion.

In Fig. A.1, we compare the resultant Sérsic index distributions of the X-ray AGNs to those of mass-matched inactive galaxies, where the distributions for the inactive galaxies have been determined using the bootstrapping procedure discussed in Sect. 2.4.1. In the left panel, we show the results from single Sérsic fits. These are qualitatively similar to those shown in Figs. 5 and 7, in that AGNs typically show significantly higher Sérsic indices than inactive galaxies. In detail, we find a slightly higher fraction of $n = 4$ AGNs than in the full sample. However, given the small number of AGNs in this subsample, the difference could arise from Poissonian variation.

In the right panel, we plot the distributions of the best-fit Sérsic index of the galaxy component in the two component fits. As expected, including a PSF component has lowered the resultant $n$ of the AGN hosts, greatly increasing the fraction of disk-dominated systems. On the other hand, an comparison of the distributions of the inactive galaxies between both panels in the figure also demonstrates a reduction in the typical $n$ for these galaxies as well. Since the inactive population is not expected to show widespread nuclear point source emission, we conclude that simple two component fits as used in this exercise also tends to remove light from potential bulge components, systematically leading to best-fit Sérsic index distributions that are too disky.

![Fig. A.1. Distributions of the Sérsic index ($n$) from GALFIT fits to AGNs and inactive control galaxies in the WFC3/F160W band. 1000 draws of a mass-matched control sample are analyzed to determine the 1σ/2σ scatter in the $n$ distributions for inactive galaxies, shown as dark/light grey zones in the histograms. Red open histograms show the distributions for the AGNs. Left: results from fits of a single Sérsic elliptical model. Right: results from fits with two components – a Sérsic elliptical model and a central point source. The inclusion of a point source results in lower Sérsic indices for both AGNs and inactive galaxies.](#)
Appendix B: Comparison with the CANDELS study of Kocevski et al. (2012)

Kocevski et al. (2012) studied structural differences between AGN and mass-matched inactive control galaxies at $1.5 < z < 2.5$ in the CDF-S using essentially the same visual classification scheme as in this work. However, there are important differences related to sample selections that should be borne in mind when comparing our results to theirs.

The X-ray source catalogs used in the two CANDELS studies are based on distinct reductions and source detection algorithms, leading to different sized parent samples. We employ the CDF-S 4Msec catalog of Xue et al. (2011) which consists of 740 sources, while Kocevski et al. (2012) use a more conservative catalog of 569 sources. The differences between these catalogs are discussed in Rangel et al. (2014). In addition, we adopt AGN-specific photometric redshifts from Luo et al. (2010), while Kocevski et al. (2012) take redshifts from Wuyts et al. (2008) which are not optimized for AGNs. Despite these differences, the number of X-ray AGNs at $1.5 < z < 2.5$, after the application of a lower $L_X$ limit, is nearly the same in both studies ($\approx70$). Unlike us, however, Kocevski et al. (2012) do not restrict their sample to the GOODS-MUSIC footprint or apply cuts in $m_{B}$ and $M_\star$. Our sample of AGNs for the visual classification analysis at $1.5 < z < 2.5$ is 55, in total after cuts, a reduction of 25%. This smaller sample shares the same uniform analysis, quality checks and photometric selections of the full GOODS-MUSIC dataset, enabling a consistent analysis of stellar mass and other galaxy properties between AGNs and inactive galaxies in this work.

We can compare the fractions of disks and spheroids in our sample of AGNs with those reported by Kocevski et al. (2012). From their Table 1, $\approx80\%$ of AGNs are classified to have a visible disk or spheroid. In contrast, 100\% of our AGNs have one of these components. This is due to the number of X-ray AGNs at $1.5 < z < 2.5$ being higher than those in Kocevski et al. (2012), simply due to different sized parent samples. Therefore, we scale our fractions down by a factor of 1.25 to ease the comparison.

In our AGN sample, we find disk galaxy fractions of $55^{+5}_{-4}$ of which $13^{+5}_{-5}$ are pure disks, with no reported spheroid component. These fractions may be compared to $51^{+6}_{-5}$ and $17^{+5}_{-6}$ respectively from Table 1 of Kocevski et al. (2012). We also find pure spheroid fractions of $25^{+5}_{-5}$ compared to $26^{+6}_{-5}$ from Kocevski et al. (2012). As for morphologically disturbed systems (Sect. 3.4), the fractions of visual disks and spheroids are completely consistent in both CANDELS works. Our ability to reproduce the results of Kocevski et al. (2012), despite the differences of approach and numbers of classifiers, highlights the stability of the CANDELS visual classification scheme.

This being said, we prefer in this work to use an analytical measure of the galaxy light profile rather than visual measures of diskiness. As stated in Sect. 5.2, a visual classifier has difficulty discriminating between subtle variations in the light profile gradient. For e.g., inactive galaxies at $z \sim 2$ that are classified visually as having dominant disk components exhibit a range in Sérsic index of 0.7–3.2 (80th percentile), while the range is 1.7–6.6 for spheroid-dominated galaxies.

Another valuable test of these fits is a comparison of the fraction of light in the PSF and galaxy components for AGNs and mass-matched inactive galaxies. The nuclear luminosities of X-ray AGNs will be higher than any weak or heavily obscured nuclear emission that may remain undetected in the inactive population. If the central excesses are indeed due to widespread nuclear point source contamination, we expect to find higher PSF fractions among AGNs. In Fig. A.2, we plot histograms of the difference between the $H$-band magnitudes of the best-fit Sérsic component and the best-fit PSF components from our fits. In addition to the AGNs (red) and mass-matched inactive galaxies (grey regions), we also show the full distribution for galaxies with two-component fits (black dashed line), which includes many more low mass galaxies than generally found among AGN hosts. The latter histogram has been scaled down to overlap with the peak of the AGN histogram, to allow a simple visual comparison of the distributions.

Despite their higher nuclear luminosities, the two component fits yield essentially indistinguishable PSF fractions in the AGNs and equally massive inactive galaxies. This is not simply due to limitations of the fits or local minima, since the overall distribution of PSF fractions includes a long tail to very low values not found among the more massive AGN hosts (or other massive inactive galaxies). These two component fits were initialised with 5 mag between the PSF and the Sérsic component, but the best-fit difference is about 2.5 mag (10\%). Considering that the excess central light is found to a similar degree both in AGNs and inactive galaxies, one may conclude that the central excess is likely to arise in a bulge rather than in a nuclear point source. This is consistent with arguments based on central colors and energetics from Sect. 3.3.1. We refrain from commenting on bulge fractions here – this requires detailed bulge+disk decomposition fits to both AGNs and inactive galaxies.