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ABSTRACT

Context. The formation of protostellar discs is severely hampered by magnetic braking, as long as magnetic fields remain frozen in the gas. The latter condition depends on the levels of ionisation that characterise the innermost regions of a collapsing cloud.

Aims. The chemistry of dense cloud cores and, in particular, the ionisation fraction is largely controlled by cosmic rays. The aim of this paper is to evaluate whether the attenuation of the flux of cosmic rays expected in the regions around a forming protostar is sufficient to decouple the field from the gas, thereby influencing the formation of centrifugally supported disc.

Methods. We adopted the method developed in a former study to compute the attenuation of the cosmic-ray flux as a function of the column density and the field strength in clouds threaded by poloidal and toroidal magnetic fields. We applied this formalism to models of low- and high-mass star formation extracted from numerical simulations of gravitational collapse that include rotation and turbulence.

Results. For each model we determine the size of the magnetic decoupling zone, where collapse or rotation motion becomes unaffected by the local magnetic field. In general, we find that decoupling only occurs when the attenuation of cosmic rays is taken into account with respect to a calculation in which the cosmic-ray ionisation rate is kept constant. The extent of the decoupling zone also depends on the dust grain size distribution and is larger if large grains (of radius $\sim 10^{-5}$ cm) are formed by compression and coagulation during cloud collapse. The decoupling region disappears for the high-mass case. This is due to magnetic field diffusion caused by turbulence that is not included in the low-mass models.

Conclusions. We conclude that a realistic treatment of cosmic-ray propagation and attenuation during cloud collapse may lead to a value of the resistivity of the gas in the innermost few hundred AU around a forming protostar that is higher than generally assumed. Forthcoming self-consistent calculations should investigate whether this effect is strong enough to effectively decouple the gas from the field and to compute the amount of angular momentum lost by infalling fluid particles when they enter the decoupling zone.

Key words. cosmic rays – ISM: clouds – ISM: magnetic fields

1. Introduction

The study of the formation of circumstellar discs around protostars still presents considerable theoretical challenges. Because of the presence of magnetic fields in the parent molecular clouds and cores (Crutcher 2012), assuming the strict conservation of angular momentum during cloud collapse and star formation is not warranted. In fact, according to recent numerical and analytical studies, the main effect of a magnetic field entrained by a collapsing cloud is to brake any rotational motion, at least as long as the field remains frozen in the gas and the rotation axis of the cloud is close to the mean direction of the field (e.g. see Galli et al. 2006; Mellon & Li 2008; Hennebelle & Fromang 2008). However, discs around Classes I and II young stellar objects are commonly observed (e.g. Williams & Cieza 2011; Takakuwa et al. 2012), and there is also some evidence of discs around Class 0 objects (Tobin et al. 2012; Murillo et al. 2013).

Different mechanisms have been invoked as alleviating the problem of magnetic braking during cloud collapse: (i) non-ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) effects (Shu et al. 2006; Dapp & Basu 2010; Krasnopolsky et al. 2011; Braiding & Wardle 2012a,b); (ii) misalignment between the main magnetic field direction and the rotation axis (Hennebelle & Ciardi 2009; Joos et al. 2012); (iii) turbulent diffusion of the magnetic
field (Setfried et al. 2012; Santos-Lima et al. 2013; Joos et al. 2013); (iv) flux redistribution driven by the interchange in- stability (Krasnopolsky et al. 2012); and (v) depletion of the in- falling envelope anchoring the magnetic field (Mellon & Li 2009; Machida et al. 2011).

Non-ideal MHD effects, namely ambipolar, Hall, and Ohmic diffusion, depend on the abundances of charged species and on their mass and charge. The ionisation fraction, in turn, is controlled by cosmic rays (CRs) in cloud regions of relatively high column density (visual extinction $A_v > 4$, McKee 1989) where star formation takes place. The CR ionisation rate is usually assumed to be equal to a “standard” (constant) value of $\zeta_{\text{H}} \approx 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$, often called the “Spitzer” value (Spitzer & Tomasko 1968). However, CRs interacting with $H_2$ in a molecular cloud lose energy by several processes, mainly by ionisation losses (see Padovani et al. 2009, hereafter PGG09). As a consequence, while low-energy CRs ($E \lesssim 100 \text{ MeV}$) are possibly prevented from entering a molecular cloud because of streaming instability (Cesarsky & Völk 1978), high-energy CRs are slowed down to energies that are relevant for ionisation (ionisation cross sections for protons and electrons colliding with $H_2$ peak at about 100 keV and 0.1 keV, respectively).

PGG09 show that $\zeta_{\text{H}}$ can decrease by about two orders of magnitude from “diffuse” clouds of column density $\sim 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ to “dense” clouds and massive envelopes with column densities of $\sim 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. A similar attenuation can in principle take place during the process of cloud collapse, resulting in a decrease in $\zeta_{\text{H}}$ in the inner region of a core where the formation of a protostellar disc is expected to occur. A further attenuation is predicted by the toroidal field component generated by rotation that increases the particles’ path length and enhances the losses by magnetic mirroring (Padovani & Galli 2011, hereafter PG11). A reduced CR ionisation rate results in a more efficient ambipolar diffusion, which may help to alleviate the magnetic braking problem (Mellon & Li 2009). The aim of this paper is to evaluate how variations in $\zeta_{\text{H}}$ can affect the resistivity of the gas, and, eventually, how CRs influence the dynamics of collapse and the formation of a circumstellar disc.

A full treatment of this problem, in which the propagation of CRs is computed self-consistently with the evolution of density and magnetic field, following at the same time the formation and destruction of chemical species, would be prohibitively time-consuming from a numerical point of view. Our approach is therefore a simpler one. First, (a) we sacrifice the self-consistency by taking snapshots at particular evolutionary times of magnetic field configurations and density distributions from ideal MHD simulations that do not include any resistivity of the gas. Second, (b) we propagate CRs in these configurations, and compute the spatial distribution of the CR ionisation rate. Then (c) we build a simplified chemical model (that can be used as a fast subroutine in any dynamical code) to approximately evaluate the chemical composition at each spatial position using as input the distribution of CR ionisation rates determined at the previous step. Finally, (d) we compute the microscopic resistivities (ambipolar, Hall, and Ohmic) and compare the time scale of magnetic field diffusion $t_d$ to the dynamical time scale $t_{\text{dyn}}$ at each point in the model to determine the region of magnetic decoupling, where $t_d < t_{\text{dyn}}$. In this region, the dynamics of the gas is unimpeded by magnetic forces, and whatever angular momentum is carried by fluid particles crossing its border, it will be conserved in its interior. In particular, centrifugally supported discs can form inside this region (but not outside). Clearly, the assumption of ideal MHD on which the simulations are based becomes invalid (by definition) inside the decoupling region. In this sense, our calculation is not fully self-consistent. However, our aims in this preliminary investigation are: first, to show whether the extent of the decoupling region can be sufficiently large to allow the formation of a realistic disc (at least $\sim 10$ AU in radius); second, to compare the size of this region obtained with the accurate treatment of CR attenuation with column density and magnetic field developed in our previous studies and with a constant value of $\zeta_{\text{H}}$, as usually assumed.

The paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 2, we provide a description of the model of CR propagation as well as of the numerical simulations adopted. In Sect. 3 we describe the basic features of the chemical code that we employed to compute the ionisation fractions, exploring the effect of different grain size distributions on abundances. In Sect. 4 we calculate the diffusion coefficients, and, in Sect. 5, we use them to calculate the corresponding diffusion time scales. Finally in Sect. 6 we summarise our conclusions. A full description of the chemical code is given in Appendix A. The dependence of the diffusion coefficients on the grain size distribution is examined in detail in Appendix B. Finally, in Appendix C we describe the contribution to the total diffusion time due to the different diffusion processes.

2. Description of the models

PG11 showed that the magnetic fields of dense molecular cloud cores (modelled as equilibrium configurations) can influence the penetration and propagation of CRs from the intercloud medium to the core centre, affecting the spatial distribution of the ionisation rate. In fact, since charged particles spiral around field lines, CRs propagating in complex magnetic configuration “see” a higher column density than particles moving on straight trajectories, and therefore suffer larger energy losses. In addition, Padovani et al. (2013, hereafter PHG13) found that the mirroring effect becomes stronger when the toroidal field component is larger than about 40% of the total field, in the central 300–400 AU where density is higher than $10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This makes the CR ionisation rate, $\zeta_{\text{H}}$ (hereafter we refer specifically to the ionisation of $H_2$), to drop well below $10^{-15} \text{ s}^{-1}$ down to about $10^{-20} \text{ s}^{-1}$, roughly equal to the ionisation level arising from the decay of long- and short-lived radionuclides within protoplanetary discs (Umebayashi & Nakano 1981; Cleeves et al. 2013). PHG13 performed a numerical study of the propagation of CRs in collapsing clouds using several snapshots of numerical simulations, adopting the formalism developed in PG11. They found that the value of $\zeta_{\text{H}}$ can be orders of magnitude lower than the standard “Spitzer” value in the inner region of a core where the formation of a protostellar disc is expected to take place. From their numerical results, they derived an approximate analytical expression to compute the CR ionisation rate taking both column density and magnetic field effects into account. This formula provides a fast and efficient way to calculate the distribution of $\zeta_{\text{H}}$ that can be employed to estimate the ionisation fractions of charged particles in order to determine the diffusion coefficients.

In the following, we compute the distribution of $\zeta_{\text{H}}$ for three different configurations obtained from numerical simulations performed with the AMR code RAMSES1 (Teyssier 2002; Fromang et al. 2006) with the goal to compute the diffusion time scales in the collapse region. The first two cases are low-mass 1 RAMSES simulations were analysed using PyMSES (Labadens et al. 2011).
models from Joos et al. (2012), while the third one represents a high-mass model from Commerçon et al. (in prep.). Table 1 summarises the parameters. We assumed the dependence of $\lambda_{\text{He}}$ on column density given by model $M$ in PHG13 (see their Fig. 1). This model is obtained by adopting the CR interstellar proton and electron spectra presented in Webber (1998) and Strong et al. (2000), respectively.

### 2.1. Low-mass models

Models $L_1$ and $L_2$ have been already used by PHG13 to calculate maps of the CR ionisation rate (see their Figs. 7 and 10) and they represent two extreme situations: one snapshot taken at the beginning of the simulation and one at the end ($L_1$ and $L_2$, respectively). These ideal MHD numerical simulations describe the collapse of a rotating core with initial mass of $1 \, M_\odot$ and a density profile given by a modified power-law,

$$n(r) = \frac{n_0}{1 + (r/r_0)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $n_0 = 7.8 \times 10^6 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $r_0 = 4.68 \times 10^3 \, \text{pc}$ according to observations (André et al. 2000; Belloche et al. 2002). The ratio of thermal-to-gravitational energy is about 0.25 and the ratio of rotational-to-gravitational energy ratio is about 0.03. Model $L_1$ is a parallel rotator, i.e. the rotation axis is aligned to the magnetic axis, defined as the average direction of the field ($\alpha_{\text{B,L}} = 0$). No rotationally supported disc has formed at the time of this particular snapshot ($t = 0.924 \, \text{kyr}$ after the formation of the first Larson’s core). In this case, $\lambda_{\text{He}}$ decreases to values of $2 \sim 4 \times 10^{-18} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ in the inner 100–200 AU radius (see Fig. 7 in PHG13). Model $L_2$ is a perpendicular rotator ($\alpha_{\text{B,L}} = \pi/2$) and a late-time configuration ($t = 10.756 \, \text{kyr}$), showing a Keplerian disc perpendicular to the rotation axis. Here $\lambda_{\text{He}}$ drops to very low values, down to $2 \times 10^{-21} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ in the inner few tens of AU and it is lower than $10^{-18} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ in a region of about 200 AU radius (see Fig. 10 in PHG13). Clearly, at these low levels, CRs compete with short-lived radionuclides in determining the ionisation fraction (see e.g. Cleeves et al. 2013).

### 2.2. High-mass model

Model $H$ has similar initial conditions as in Commerçon et al. (2011). It consists in a 100 $M_\odot$, uniform temperature ($T = 10 \, \text{K}$) dense core, with the same density profile shape as in models $L_1$ and $L_2$ ($n_0 = 1.2 \times 10^7 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $r_0 = 1.87 \times 10^3 \, \text{pc}$, and a factor of 10 in density contrast between the centre and the border of the sphere). The turbulent-to-gravitational energy ratio is about 0.2, corresponding to an initial Mach number of 7, and the thermal-to-gravitational energy ratio is about 0.01. Following Hennebelle et al. (2011) and Commerçon et al. (2011), we apply initial perturbations to the velocity field only to account for initial turbulence in the core, which is not driven at large scales after the start of the calculations. There is no global initial rotation in the model, namely the angular momentum is built from the initial velocity fluctuations. The initial magnetic field is aligned with the $x$-axis and its intensity is proportional to the column density through the cloud.

In the following we focus on the densest fragment formed in the calculations whose properties are summarised in Table 1 and we discuss the results only for the $(x, y)$ plane, since it is close to the disc plane. As model $L_2$, model $H$ shows $\lambda_{\text{He}} \approx 10^{-21} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ in the inner few tens of AU, but in the latter case the region with $\lambda_{\text{He}} \lesssim 10^{-19} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ is even larger, with a mean radius of 150 AU. At a radius of 300 AU $\lambda_{\text{He}}$ increases only to up to $5 \times 10^{-18} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, while at the same radius model $L_2$ has already reached CR ionisation rate values larger than $10^{-17} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. This can be explained by noting the larger extent of the region with high density in the high-mass case with respect to the low-mass cases (see Fig. 1).

### 3. Abundances of charged species

To compute the ionisation fraction we adopt a “minimal” chemical network (a simplified version of more extensive networks, like e.g. in Umebayashi & Nakano 1990) that computes the steady-state abundance of $H^+$, $H_2^+$, a typical molecular ion $mH^+$ (e.g. HCO$^+$), a typical “metal” ion $M^+$ (e.g. Mg$^+$), electrons and dust grains as a function of the $H_2$ density, temperature and CR ionisation rate $\lambda_{\text{He}}$ at each spatial position in our models. For each species $i$, the abundance is given by $x(i) \equiv n(i)/n(H_2)$. Neutral hydrogen is assumed to be in the form of $H_2$, namely $n(H) = 2n(H_2)$. The fraction of charged vs. neutral grains is...
computed in a simplified way: if the density of electrons is higher than the density of grains, all grains are assumed to carry one electron; otherwise, the fraction of negatively charged grains is determined by charge balance with the positively charged species, and the residual number of grains is assumed to be neutral. Positively charged grains as well as multiple charged grains are ignored for simplicity. A detailed description of the chemical network adopted and a summary of the reactions included is given in Appendix A.

As a benchmark test, we compared our results with those obtained with the publicly available code ASTROCHEM\(^2\), that includes about a thousand of reactions, finding comparable results within a factor of 2 to 5. The use of our simplified code is justified by the fact that it allows the calculation of the ionisation fractions for each point of our models, in about 0.7 ms on average against about 20 s needed by ASTROCHEM. Thus, our minimal chemical network, combined with the fitting formula given by Eqs. (19)–(24) of PHG13 to compute \(\xi_{\text{H}^2}(N, B)\), is an effective tool to rapidly compute the fractional abundances and diffusion coefficients at each time step in non-ideal MHD simulations.

### 3.1. Effects of the grain size distribution

Grains play a decisive role in determining the degree of coupling between the gas and the magnetic field. In fact, the electrical resistivity of the gas depends on the abundance and the size distribution of charged grains: larger grains have a smaller Hall parameter (see Sect. 4) than smaller grains, and therefore are less coupled to the magnetic field. To cover all possible situations, we run our chemical model for three different grain size distributions. In particular, we fix the maximum size, \(a_{\text{max}} = 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}\) (Nakano et al. 2002), while we vary the minimum grain size: (i) \(a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-5} \text{cm}\), representative of large grains formed by compression and coagulation during the collapse (Flower et al. 2005); (ii) \(a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-6} \text{cm}\), the minimum grain radius of a MRN size distribution (Mathis et al. 1977) that gives the same grain opacity found by Flower et al. (2005); and (iii) \(a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-7} \text{cm}\), a typical size for very small grains.

In Fig. 2 we compare the abundances computed with our minimal chemical network assuming a constant \(\xi_{\text{H}^2} = 5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}\) (hereafter “constant-\(\xi\)”) with those obtained from the spatially-resolved values of \(\xi_{\text{H}^2}\) computed by PHG13 (hereafter “variable-\(\xi\)”). The comparison is shown only for the model \(L_2\) (models \(L_1\) and \(H\) give similar results). As a general remark, independently of \(a_{\text{min}}\), the variable-\(\xi\) model gives larger abundances of charged species than the constant-\(\xi\) model at \(n \lesssim 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}\). Conversely, at higher densities, abundances from the variable-\(\xi\) model are well below those resulting from the constant-\(\xi\) model. This happens because the variable-\(\xi\) model is higher than the constant-\(\xi\) at \(n \lesssim 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}\), while it quickly decreases below \(\xi_{\text{H}^2} = 5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}\) at higher densities.

### 3.2. Dependence of chemical abundances on \(\xi_{\text{H}^2}\)

The relation between densities of charged species and neutrals is usually expressed in the form

\[
n(i) \propto (\xi_{\text{H}^2})^{k'},
\]

with \(k' \approx 1/2\) (e.g. see Ciolek & Mouschovias 1994, 1995). However, \(k'\) can differ from 1/2 depending on the grain size (except for molecular ions). Figure 3 shows the dependence of the chemical species computed with our minimal model as a function of \(\xi_{\text{H}^2}\). As shown by the figure, in the case of large grains the abundance of electrons and metal ions follows Eq. (2) with \(k' = 1/2\), while negatively charged grains are independent of \(\xi_{\text{H}^2}\). For small grain size \(k'\) increases towards 1 and 1/2 for electrons and negative grains, respectively, whereas metal ions become independent of \(\xi_{\text{H}^2}\). It is worth noting that in the case of strong depletion, \(\text{H}^+\) and \(\text{H}^0\) are the most abundant species

---

\(^2\) http://smaret.github.io/astrochem/
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and both $x(H^+_2)$ and $x(H^+)$ are proportional to $\zeta_{\text{HI}}$ irrespective of grain size and density.

**4. Diffusion coefficients**

The electrical resistivity of a plasma is a measure of the ability of the magnetic field and the charges attached to it to move (diffuse) with respect to the neutrals and/or other charges. In the weakly ionised gas that characterise dense cores, the resistivity is dominated by ambipolar diffusion, a process by which charged particles develop a drift velocity with respect to the neutral component, and the Lorentz force acting on the charges is conveyed to the neutral gas through collisions.

For each charged species $i$ in a sea of neutrals (molecular hydrogen), the parameter gauging the relative importance of the Lorentz and drag forces is the Hall parameter (e.g., Wardle & Ng 1999) defined by

$$\beta_{i,H_2} = \left( \frac{Z_i e B}{m_i c} \right) \frac{m_i + m_{H_2}}{\mu m_i n(H_2)(\sigma r)_{i,H_2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $m_i$ and $Z_i e$ are the mass and the charge of the species $i$, respectively, and $\mu = 2.36$ is the molecular weight for the assumed fractional abundances of $H_2$ and He. The momentum transfer rate coefficients $(\sigma r)_{i,H_2}$ have been parameterised as a function of temperature and relative speed in Pinto & Galli (2008).

Drifts of charged species with respect to neutrals determines different regimes for the magnetic diffusivity. The induction equation then becomes

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (B \times U) = \nabla \times \left\{ \eta_0 \nabla \times B + \eta_{\text{AD}}(\nabla \times B) \times \frac{B}{B} \right\} + \eta_{\text{AD}} \nabla \times \left( \frac{\nabla \times B}{B} \times \frac{B}{B} \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $U$ is the fluid velocity and $B$ the magnetic field vector. Ambipolar, Hall, and Ohmic resistivities ($\eta_{\text{AD}}, \eta_{H_2},$ and $\eta_O$, respectively) can be written as a function of the parallel ($\sigma_\parallel$),

![Fig. 3. Ionisation fractions as a function of the CR ionisation rate at density $n(H_2) = 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ assuming large grains ($d_{\text{min}} = 10^{-5}$ cm, solid lines) and small grains ($d_{\text{min}} = 10^{-7}$ cm, dotted lines). Dashed grey lines show the trend for $x_i \propto \zeta_{\text{HI}}$ and $x_i \propto (\zeta_{\text{HI}})^{1/2}$.](image_url)

![Fig. 4. Density contours (solid blue lines with labels indicating log$_{10}$(n/cm$^{-3}$) superposed to the velocity field (red arrows). The shaded areas show regions dominated by ambipolar (white), Hall (grey), and Ohmic (black) diffusion. The resistivities are calculated for three different values of $d_{\text{min}}$ and for the three models $L_1, L_2,$ and $H$.](image_url)
by looking at the trend of the different resistivity contributions as a function of the grain size and \( n(H_2) \) (see Appendix B). In fact, the ambipolar diffusion term does not increase monotonically with grain radius but, at densities of \( 10^6 - 10^9 \) cm\(^{-3} \), it shows an absolute minimum around \( a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-6} \) cm, while the Hall term continues to grow. At the highest densities the Ohmic term prevails, except for model \( L_1 \). The Hall term can play an important role down to densities of about \( 10^6 \) cm\(^{-3} \) for \( a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-6} \) cm.

5. Diffusion time scales

The drift velocity of the magnetic field can be represented by the velocity of the charged species, which are frozen with field lines, with respect to neutrals. From the comparison of this velocity with the fluid velocity, it is possible to assess the degree of diffusion of the field and then to estimate the size of the region where gas and magnetic field are decoupled. In principle, a more direct estimate of the reduction of magnetic braking resulting from a decrease in the CR ionisation rate would come from a comparison between the magnetic braking time with the dynamical time of the flow. However, a simple estimate of the former is not straightforward, as it depends crucially on the field morphology, strength, and relative orientation of the average field direction and the cloud’s angular momentum. For this reason, we prefer to present a comparison, at each spatial position at a given time step, between the diffusion time of the field and the dynamical time of the flow as defined in the following.

The magnetic field drift velocity \( U_B \) can be written as a function of resistivities (Nakano et al. 2002), allowing to isolate the ambipolar (AD), Hall (H), and Ohmic (O) contributions, namely

\[
U_B = U_{\text{AD}} + U_H + U_O, \tag{11}
\]

where

\[
U_{\text{AD}} = \frac{4\pi \eta_{\text{AD}}}{cB^2} j \times B, \tag{12}
\]

\[
U_H = \frac{4\pi \eta_H}{cB^2} (j \times B) \times B, \tag{13}
\]

\[
U_O = \frac{4\pi \eta_O}{cB^2} j \times B \tag{14}
\]

and

\[
U_B = \frac{4\pi}{cB^2} \left[ (\eta_{\text{AD}} + \eta_O) j \times B + \eta_H (j \times B) \times B \right], \tag{15}
\]

where \( j = (c/4\pi) \nabla \times B \) is the current density. Thus, the diffusion time of the magnetic field, \( t_B \), can be written as a function of the time scales associated to the three diffusion processes,

\[
\frac{1}{t_B} = \frac{1}{t_{\text{AD}}} + \frac{1}{t_H} + \frac{1}{t_O}, \tag{16}
\]

where \( t_k = R/|U_k| \) (\( k = \text{AD}, \text{H}, \text{O} \)) and \( R \) a typical length scale of the region (in the following at each point we take \( R \) equal to the distance from the density peak). The diffusion time of the magnetic field can then be compared to the time scale of evolution of the fluid (for example, Nakano et al. 2002 compare \( t_B \) to the free-fall time of a spherical homogeneous cloud). In this work we define the dynamical time scale of the cloud as \( t_{\text{dyn}} = R/U \), where \( U \) is the fluid velocity, including both infall and rotation. In regions where \( t_B < t_{\text{dyn}} \) the magnetic field is partially decoupled and therefore has less influence on the gas dynamics while, if \( t_B > t_{\text{dyn}} \), diffusion is not efficient enough and the magnetic field remains well coupled to the gas. To stress the importance of properly taking the propagation of CRs inside a molecular cloud into account, we also compare the dynamical time with the diffusion time computed in the constant-\( \zeta \) case assuming \( \zeta = 5 \times 10^{-17} \) s\(^{-1} \) (hereafter \( t_{B,\text{var}} \)), and in the variable-\( \zeta \) case, taking \( \zeta = 5 \times 10^{-17} \) s\(^{-1} \) (hereafter \( t_{B,\text{const}} \)).

5.1. Low-mass models

Figure 5 shows contours of diffusion and dynamical time scales for the aligned rotator model \( L_1 \). Although the disc is not formed at this early stage (\( t = 0.824 \) kyr), for \( a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-5} \) cm in the variable-\( \zeta \) case the magnetic diffusion time becomes shorter than the dynamical time in a central region with a radius of about 20 AU. For smaller grains, \( t_{B,\text{var}} \) is always larger than \( t_{\text{dyn}} \) and no decoupling zone is formed. The diffusion time computed in the constant-\( \zeta \) case is about one order of magnitude longer. Even at later times (\( t = 11.025 \) kyr), Joos et al. (2012) find no disc formation for this aligned rotator simulation. However, the low ionisation rate found by PHG13 in a small central region of density higher than \( 10^9 \) cm\(^{-3} \) (see their Fig. 8) can presumably promote the formation of a centrifugally supported disc via enhanced Ohmic and Hall diffusion, at least in the case of large grains.
A more interesting case is shown by the model L2 (Fig. 6), where a protostellar disc of radius ~200 AU has formed. While \( t_{\text{B,\text{\text{-}const}}} \) is always larger than \( t_{\text{dyn}} \), \( t_{\text{B,\text{\text{-}const}}} \) is lower than \( t_{\text{dyn}} \) inside a decoupling zone whose size depends on \( a_{\text{min}} \). Magnetic decoupling is favoured by large grains (\( a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-5} \) cm) that are expected to form by coagulation of smaller grains during the collapse. In particular, the lower left panel shows that in the inner region with a radius of about 50 AU the gas experiences collapse while the magnetic field diffuses. The region of decoupling shrinks with decreasing \( a_{\text{min}} \), but even with the smallest \( a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-7} \) cm the decoupling occurs inside a region of about 20 AU of radius. This comparison definitely proves that CRs play a crucial role in determining the protostellar collapse time scale. In fact, the correct evaluation of the CR ionisation rate as a function of density and magnetic field allows the diffusion time to decrease up to three orders of magnitude. In Appendix C we also show separately the contribution to \( t_{\text{B}} \) resulting from ambipolar, Hall, and Ohmic diffusion, respectively.

### 5.2. High-mass model

The high-mass case (Fig. 7) shows a similar behaviour to model L2, but in the case of \( a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-5} \) cm, the decoupling between gas and field is allowed in a even larger region, of about 100 AU of radius where both \( t_{\text{B,\text{\text{-}var}}} \) and \( t_{\text{B,\text{\text{-}const}}} \) are lower than \( t_{\text{dyn}} \). Decreasing the grain size, the region of decoupling becomes narrower and vanishes for \( a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-7} \) cm. The lack of a decoupling region in this high-mass case is at variance with the low-mass case discussed in the previous Section. This depends on the field diffusing faster in the high-mass case owing to the turbulent nature of the flow, as already stressed by Hennebelle et al. (2011) and Joos et al. (2013). This diffusion is largely due to numerical effects associated to the finite spatial resolution of the simulation. This “numerical” field diffusion results in a reduced strength of the magnetic field with respect to the (non-turbulent) low-mass case, and this in turn makes the microscopic resistivity smaller (especially ambipolar diffusion, which is proportional to \( B^2 \)). In this case, the microscopic resistivity is not sufficient to produce a significant decoupling region. Of course, if turbulence actually affects the field diffusion, the microscopic resistivity is not relevant.

### 6. Conclusions

In this paper we investigated the role of CRs in the formation of protostellar discs, using the results of PHG13 to evaluate CR ionisation rate in collapsing low-mass clouds accounting for both
column density and magnetic effects. We developed a simple chemical code based on a minimal set of reactions to calculate, at each position in space at any given time, the abundances of the charged species, the corresponding electric resistivities, and the times scales associated to the main magnetic diffusion processes (ambipolar, Hall, and Ohmic). We applied this formalism to selected snapshots of numerical MHD simulations of the collapse of low- and high-mass clouds. Comparing the magnetic diffusion and the dynamical time scale, we determined the extent of the area where the gas is dynamically decoupled from the field. Inside this region, field freezing is invalid, and magnetic braking is ineffective.

We performed our calculations in two cases: assuming a spatially uniform CR ionisation rate of $\xi_{H^2} = 5 \times 10^{-17}$ s$^{-1}$, and adopting the formalism of PHG13 to evaluate the attenuation of CRs in a magnetised cloud. We found that the ionisation fraction is significantly lower in the second case, and therefore the coupling with the magnetic field is weaker than usually assumed in the central region of a collapsing cloud. In particular:

1. For model $L_2$, a late-time snapshot of the collapse of a low-mass cloud with mean magnetic field perpendicular to the rotation axis, a decoupling zone of radius of 50–100 AU around the central protostar is found in the case of variable $\xi_{H^2}$, but not when $\xi_{H^2}$ is assumed constant. This size compares well with the size of protostellar discs. This stresses the importance of accounting for CR attenuation when computing ionisation fractions.

2. No decoupling zone is found in model $L_1$, an early time snapshot of the collapse of a low-mass cloud with aligned field and rotation axis. In this model, the time scale of field diffusion remains longer than the dynamical time scale everywhere, either for a uniform or attenuated CR ionisation rate. In this case, the relatively modest increase in the density and field strength by compression are insufficient to attenuate the CR flux to levels low enough to produce significant decoupling.

3. A decoupling zone of size $\sim$100 AU is also found in the case of model $H$, a snapshot from a typical high-mass collapse simulation. However, its size becomes smaller for smaller grains, and disappears altogether for grains smaller than $10^{-6}$ cm.

Although the models adopted do not represent a time sequence, they nevertheless suggest that a decrease in the ionisation and/or an increase in the resistivity occurs in the innermost region of a cloud some time after the onset of collapse, but not earlier. In fact, the conditions for a substantial increase in the magnetic diffusion time are that the field is considerably twisted (compare the late-time misaligned model $L_2$ to the early-time aligned model $L_1$) and that dust grains had time to grow by coagulation (compare the upper and lower panels of Figs. 5–7). It is tempting to speculate that large, 100 AU-size discs are only allowed to form at a later stage when the powerful magnetic brake on the infalling gas has been relieved by either (or a combination) of these effects.

Other results of our study are:

1. The dominant diffusion processes are ambipolar and Hall diffusion, with Ohmic resistivity becoming important only at the highest densities reached in our models ($n \geq 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$), where $\eta_0$ is a factor of few larger than $\eta_{AD}$ and $\eta_{H}$ (see black regions in Fig. 4). In general, ambipolar and Hall diffusion are comparable over the innermost few hundred AU around a forming protostar. Thus, Hall diffusion should not be neglected in non-ideal MHD collapse calculations. However, the region where a diffusion process dominates over the others depends sensitively on the grain size distribution. In fact, while Hall and Ohmic resistivities have a monotonic dependence on the grain size (all else being equal), ambipolar diffusion has a minimum for a mean grain radius of about 1–3 x $10^{-6}$ cm especially at high densities.

2. In general, the size of the decoupling zone decreases for smaller grain size. The maximum grain size assumed here, ($a_{\text{min}} = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ cm), is likely a realistic value for the condition expected in disc-forming regions, where larger grains are predicted to form by compression and coagulation of smaller grains. Both Models $L_2$ and $H$, referring to low- and high-mass cases, respectively, predict the formation of a protostellar disc, but for model $H$ the decoupling region disappears for smaller grains ($a_{\text{min}} = 10^{-7}$ cm), since the magnetic field becomes weaker.

3. The dependence of the fractional abundances of charged species on the CR ionisation rate may differ from the usually assumed $\sqrt{\eta_{H^2}}$ dependence. Our minimal chemical model shows that depending on the grain size distribution, the abundance of several species can scale as $\xi_{H^2}$ or become independent on $\xi_{H^2}$, and in general the dependence is not the same for all charged species. Thus, care should be taken when the ionisation fraction is scaled with the CR flux.

To summarise, we demonstrated that a correct treatment of CR propagation can explain the occurrence of a decoupling region between gas and magnetic field that in turn affects the disc formation. We emphasise, however, that our calculations are not strictly self-consistent, because we computed microscopic resistivities using the density and magnetic field strength obtained from ideal MHD simulations. If diffusion processes were included self-consistently in the numerical simulation itself, the line twisting would be presumably reduced. This would attenuate the effect of cosmic-ray mirroring, and the decrease in $\xi_{H^2}$ would not be so strong as found in this paper. In this sense, our study should be considered a proof of concept showing how a correct evaluation of $\xi_{H^2}$ can affect the protostellar disc formation.
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Appendix A: Chemical model

In this Appendix we describe the "minimal" chemical model introduced in Sect. 3 to compute the ionisation fraction. The charged species considered are H\(^+\), H\(_3^+\), molecular ions mH\(^+\) (e.g. HCO\(^+\)), "metal" ions M\(^+\) (e.g. Mg\(^+\)), electrons \(e\), and negatively charged dust grains, whereas the neutral species are H\(_2\), heavy molecules \(m\) (e.g. CO), metal atoms M, (e.g. Mg) and neutral grains. Charged and neutral species are collectively indicated as \(g\). We indicate with \(x(i)\) the abundance of each species \(i\) with respect to H\(_2\). The abundance of the neutral species is fixed. In particular, we assume \(x(m) = 6 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(x(M) = 4 \times 10^{-8}\). All rate coefficients are estimated at \(T = 10\) K.

A.1. Minimal chemical network

Protons are produced by CR ionisation of H\(_2\) at a rate \(\epsilon e^{\text{HI}}\), with \(\epsilon = 0.05\) (Shah & Gilbody 1982). They are mainly destroyed by charge transfer (CT) with molecules (at a rate \(\beta = 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}\)) and by recombination on grains (at a rate \(\alpha_{gr}\), see Eq. (A.8))

\[ \epsilon e^{\text{HI}} n(H_2) = [\beta n(m) + \alpha_{gr} n(g)] n(H^+) \]  

(A.1)

The formation of H\(_3^+\) is driven by CR ionisation of H\(_2\) at a rate \((1 - \epsilon) e^{\text{HI}}\), while destruction is due to CT with heavy molecules, disassociative recombination (DR, at a rate \(\alpha_{dr} \approx 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}\)), and recombination on grains

\[ (1 - \epsilon) e^{\text{HI}} n(H_2) = [\beta n(m) + \alpha_{dr} n(e) + \alpha_{gr} n(g)] n(H_3^+) \]  

(A.2)

The formation of molecular ions mH\(^+\) occurs by CT of H\(_2^+\) and heavy molecules, while destruction occurs by DR and recombination on grains

\[ \beta n(H_2^+) n(m) = [\alpha_{dr} n(e) + \alpha_{gr} n(g)] n(m). \]  

(A.3)

Metal ions are formed by CT with H\(_2^+\) and mH\(^+\), and destroyed by recombination with free electrons and on grains

\[ \beta n(M) [n(H_2^+) + n(mH^+)] = [\alpha_{nc} n(e) + \alpha_{gr} n(g)] n(M^+). \]  

(A.4)

Note that CT with metal atoms can be neglected with respect to DR if \(x(e) \gg (\beta/\alpha_{dr}) x(M) = 10^{-5} x(M)\).

Dust grains are assumed to be negatively charged (charge \(-1\)) or neutral. The total number density of grains is obtained from the MRN size distribution (Mathis et al. 1977)

\[ \frac{dn(g)}{da} = C a^{-3.5}, \]  

(A.5)

between a minimum \((a_{\text{min}})\) and a maximum \((a_{\text{max}})\) grain radius (see also Sect. 3.2). The normalisation constant \(C\) is obtained by imposing that the mass density of grains is equal to a fraction \(q = 0.01\) of the gas density. We assume that grains are spherical and have density \(\rho_g = 2 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\) (Flower et al. 2005). For \(a_{\text{max}} \gg a_{\text{min}}\) we obtain

\[ C = \frac{3q m_{\text{H}}}{4\pi \rho_g a^{2.5}_{\text{max}} n(H_2)}. \]  

(A.6)

Under these assumptions, the number density of grains is

\[ n(g) = \frac{3q m_{\text{H}}}{10\pi \rho_g a^{2.5}_{\text{max}} a^{2.5}_{\text{min}} n(H_2)}. \]  

(A.7)

and is strongly dependent on \(a_{\text{min}}\).

A.2. Evaluation of the ionisation fractions

The equation of charge neutrality is

\[ n(g) + n(e) = n(H^+) + n(H_3^+) + n(mH^+) + n(M^+). \]  

(A.9)

Equations (A.1)–(A.4) then become

\[ x(H^+) = \frac{\epsilon A}{q(m) + rx(g)}; \]  

(A.10)

\[ x(H_3^+) = \frac{(1 - \epsilon) A}{q(m) + rx(g) + xe}, \]  

(A.11)

\[ x(mH^+) = \frac{(1 - \epsilon) A}{rx(g) + xe}[q(m) + rx(g) + xe]; \]  

(A.12)

\[ x(M^+) = \frac{(1 - \epsilon) A}{rx(g) + sx(e)}[rx(g) + xe]; \]  

(A.13)

where we have defined

\[ A = \frac{\epsilon e^{\text{HI}}}{n(H_2) a_{dr}}, \quad q(m) = \frac{\beta x(m)}{a_{dr}}, \quad q(M) = \frac{\beta x(M)}{a_{dr}}. \]  

(A.14)

Thus, the equation of charge neutrality

\[ x(g) + x(e) = x(H^+) + x(H_3^+) + x(mH^+) + x(M^+), \]  

(A.15)

becomes

\[ x(g) + x(e) = \frac{\epsilon A}{q(m) + rx(g)} + \frac{(1 - \epsilon) A}{rx(g) + xe} + \frac{(1 - \epsilon) A q(M)}{rx(g) + sx(e)[rx(g) + xe]}. \]  

(A.16)

We solve Eq. (A.16) as a cubic equation for \(x(e)\) assuming that all grains have charge \(-1\). When \(x(e)\) becomes negative, we set \(x(e) = 0\) and we solve Eq. (A.16) for \(x(g)\).

Appendix B: Dependence of diffusion coefficients on grain radius

In this Appendix we compute the resistivities using Eqs. (5)–(7) varying the minimum radius of the grain size distribution and fixing the H\(_2\) density to evaluate the sensitivity of the diffusive terms to the grain size. For the magnetic field strength we assume...
Fig. B.1. Ambipolar (upper panel), Hall (middle panel), and Ohmic (lower panel) diffusion coefficients as a function of the mean grain radius computed at different molecular hydrogen densities: $10^5$ (thick solid line), $10^6$ (thick dashed line), $10^7$ (thick dash-dotted line), $10^8$ (dotted line), $10^9$ (thin solid line), $10^{10}$ (thin dashed line), $10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$ (thin dash-dotted line), and $10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ (thin dotted line).

\[ |B| = \left( n / cm^{-3} \right)^{0.47} \mu G \text{ (Crutcher 1999), in order to be independent on specific models. The MRN size distribution given by Eq. (A.5) implies that the mean value of the square of the grain radius weighted on the grain distribution (namely the quantity that enters the equation for the momentum transfer rate coefficients), defined by} \]

\[
\langle a^2 \rangle = \frac{\int_{a_{\text{min}}}^{a_{\text{max}}} a^2 C a^{-3.5} da}{\int_{a_{\text{max}}}^{a_{\text{min}}} C a^{-3.5} da} = 5 \frac{a_{\text{max}}^{0.5} - a_{\text{min}}^{0.5}}{a_{\text{max}}^{2.5} - a_{\text{min}}^{2.5}} \quad (B.1)
\]

\[ \eta_{AD} \]

\[ \eta_H \]

\[ \eta_O \]

Fig. C.1. Ambipolar, Hall, and Ohmic contribution to the diffusion time (red dotted lines) compared with the dynamical time (black solid lines). Labels show log$_{10} (t/\text{yr}).$

is close to $a_{\text{min}}^2$. We vary the minimum grain radius between $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-5}$ cm, fixing $a_{\text{max}} = 3 \times 10^5$ cm. This corresponds to values of $\langle a_{\text{gr}}^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ ranging from $2.2 \times 10^{-7}$ to $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm. Figure B.1 shows that the coefficient of ambipolar diffusion is not monotonic with the grain radius but presents an absolute minimum at larger radii with increasing H$_2$ densities. On the other hand, the Hall term increases with the grain radius for any value of $n$(H$_2$), starting to decrease only at very large grain size ($a_{\text{min}} \geq 10^{-5}$ cm). Finally, the Ohmic resistivity becomes important at high densities ($n \geq 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$) and increases monotonically with grain size.

Appendix C: Contributions to the diffusion time of the magnetic field

For the sake of completeness, in Fig. C.1 we show separately the diffusion time for ambipolar, Hall, and Ohmic diffusion computed with $\zeta^{11}$ from model $L_2$. As expected, at the high densities reached in the disc region, ambipolar diffusion time is more than one order of magnitude larger than the dynamical time scale. On the contrary, Hall and Ohmic diffusion times are comparable and lower than the dynamical time scale in a region whose radius decreases from about 50 AU to 25 AU with decreasing minimum grain size. This is another way to say that gas-magnetic field decoupling is due to Hall and Ohmic diffusion at densities higher than $n \sim 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$.