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In Arabidopsis, lateral root primordia (LRPs) originate from pericycle cells located deep within the parental root and have to emerge through endodermal, cortical, and epidermal tissues. These overlaying tissues place biomechanical constraints on the LRPs that are likely to impact their morphogenesis. This study probes the interplay between the patterns of cell division, organ shape, and overlaying tissues to characterize their role in LRP morphogenesis by exploiting recent advances in live plant cell imaging and image analysis. Our 3D/4D image analysis revealed that early stage LRPs exhibit tangential divisions that create a ring of cells corolling a population of rapidly dividing cells at its center. The patterns of division in the latter population of cells during LRP morphogenesis are not stereotypical. In contrast, statistical analysis demonstrated that the shape of new LRPs is highly conserved. We tested the relative importance of cell division pattern versus overlaying tissues on LRP morphogenesis using mutant and transgenic approaches. The double mutant aurora1 (aur1) aur2 disrupts the pattern of LRP cell divisions and impacts its growth dynamics, yet the new organ’s dome shape remains normal. In contrast, manipulating the properties of overlaying tissues disrupted LRP morphogenesis. We conclude that the interaction with overlaying tissues, rather than the precise pattern of divisions, is most important for LRP morphogenesis and optimizes the process of lateral root emergence.

Recent advances in live biological imaging and image analysis (8, 9) now make it possible to address how Arabidopsis LRPs are built in three and four dimensions. Here, we report how 3D/4D image reconstruction reveals important divisions that are responsible for the transition from a bilateral to a radial symmetry of the LRP. Surprisingly, our study indicates that the pattern of cell division in LRP formation is much less precise and more variable than presumed. We present genetic evidence confirming that disrupting the pattern of cell divisions had only a minor effect on LRP shape, whereas it affected the dynamics of its growth. In contrast, manipulating the properties of overlaying tissues disrupted LRP morphogenesis. We conclude that the interaction with overlaying tissues, rather than the precise pattern of divisions, is most important for LRP morphogenesis.

Results

Three- and Four-Dimensional Image Analysis Reveals That LRPs Undergo Radialization During Emergence. In Arabidopsis LRPs originate from pairs of pericycle cells positioned opposite the root xylem pole (10). At the onset of lateral root initiation, these cells undergo asymmetric division, giving rise to two abutting short cells flanked by two longer daughter cells (Fig. 14). The longer daughter cells undergo several further rounds of asymmetric cell division to create a stage I primordium composed of a core of short daughter cells flanked by the remaining longer daughter cells (11). It is often overlooked that LRPs are derived from not one but three pairs of pericycle cells originating from three adjacent cell files, which undergo the same pattern of asymmetric cell divisions (12) (Fig. 14). However, it remains unclear how this structure with a bilateral symmetry can give rise to the radially organized 3D LRP.

To characterize the development of LRP 3D/4D shape, we initially used confocal microscopy to monitor primordia expressing pAUX1::AUX1–YFP (13) or a ubiquitously expressed plasma membrane marker pUB10::WAVE131–YFP (14). We collected z-stacks for several hundred LRPs (ranging from stage I to VI) to precisely document the orientation of cell divisions in 3D (see Fig. S1 for example images). Our confocal image reconstructions revealed that, in addition to previously reported anticlinal and periclinal divisions, LRPs undergo radialization during emergence (Fig. S2).
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periclinal divisions, daughter cells flanking the central file underwent division along a tangential plane (Fig. 1B). To independently validate our observations, we used light sheet fluorescence (LSF) microscopy to monitor early stage primordia expressing the plasma membrane marker pPIN1::PIN1–GFP (15). Short daughter cells derived from the flanking pairs of pericycle founder cells were clearly observed to undergo either tangential or radial divisions, whereas daughter cells derived from the central pair of pericycle founder cells continued to divide in anticlinal and periclinal planes (Fig. 1C). Cells undergoing these tangential or radial divisions appeared to “corral” the central bulk of small dividing daughter cells. Such a cellular arrangement was likely to function to buttress the flanks of the LRP to underpin the transition from bilateral to a radial structure.

To ascertain and quantify the radialisation of LRP shape, we followed in wild type plants (Columbia-0 (Col-0)) ubiquitously expressing the RFP marker fused to Histone 2B (H2B–RFP) and in 3D the growth of the LRP over 30 h (from initiation to emergence). Up to 28 h after initiation, the base of the primordium

Fig. 1. Arabidopsis LRP undergoes radialization during growth. (A) Lateral and frontal view of stage I LRP. Small cells resulting from the first round of asymmetric cell divisions are marked by a white star. (B) Tangential cell divisions during LRP development. (Upper row) Confocal imaging of AUX1–YFP marked LRP, 3/4 frontal view. (Lower row) Schematic representation of the symmetry breaking tangential division events allowing for establishment of radial symmetry. A stack of 180 images at 0.5 µm z-spacing was collected every 1.5 h. (C) Tangential and radial cell divisions during LRP development. (Upper row) Frontal view of light-sheet imaging of a LRP that stably expresses pPIN1:PIN1–GFP. (Lower row) Schematic representation of the constitution of circular buttresses by the tangential and radial cell divisions. Arrowheads highlight the tangential divisions. A stack of images were collected every 7.5 min (scale bar, 20 µm). (D) Light-sheet imaging of a LRP that stably express pUBI10:H2B–RFP. Maximum-intensity projections of four sections located at the indicated distance from the LRP base at the indicated time points are shown. The dashed lines delineate the primordium. The primordium is observed from the top and growing toward the observer (frontal view). In the inset (Left), a side view of the primordium presenting the position of the four sections, color coded, is presented as well as a 3D reconstruction depicting the three axes defined: length is parallel to shootward–rootward axis, width perpendicular to length, and height extends from the base of the primordium away from the main root. A stack of 233 planes each at a 1.29 µm z-spacing was recorded every 15 min for 29 h (scale bar, 20 µm). (E) Development of the L–W ratio according to height for three individual LRPs from light-sheet imaging (D). The L–W ratio was measured at a constant distance from the tip of the LRP (15 µm) and plotted for three independent plants (red, black, and green dots) as a function of the LRP height. The blue line is a Lowess fit of the three individual measurements. (F) 3D reconstruction of LRP from confocal z-stack images. (Upper row) Confocal z-stack at 0.5 µm z-spacing of marked LRPs expressing pAUX1:AUX1–YFP and counterstained with propidium iodide (Left) were treated according to the reconstruction protocol described by ref. 8 to generate 3D reconstruction. (Right; Movies S1 and S2) Spatial analysis of the reconstructed structure reveals a global elliptical profile for developing primordia, as delineated by the colored dashed lines in the bottom row. (Lower row) Isolated frontal view of the reconstructed LRP (Left). Colored outlines (Right) highlight the parts of the LRP corresponding to those observed in light-sheet microscopy (D). Primordium was imaged as a stack of 100 images at 0.5 µm z-spacing.
exhibited an ellipsoid shape (Fig. 1D). LRPs become progressively rounder near their tips as they grew past the cortex and epidermis (Fig. 1D and Movie S1). To quantify this transition, we measured the height, length, and width of the LRP at a fixed distance (15 μm) from the LRP tip and plotted the length-width (L-W) ratio as a function of height for three independent primordia (Fig. 1E). The L-W ratio decreased as the primordia grew, with a steep decline around a height of 40 μm corresponding roughly to the distance between the pericycle and cortex, confirming our observations. An analysis of the hourly growth rate revealed preferential growth along the height (away from the primary root) and width (perpendicular to the primary root) axis of the LRP (Fig. S2). The ellipse-to-round transition of the LRP therefore resulted from the expansion along the width axis.

To reconstruct a 3D surface view of the LRP shape, we used image analysis software (8) to integrate confocal z-stacks of LRP at selected stages (Movies S2 and S3). These data were used for an in-depth investigation of LRP morphogenesis in 3D up to the acquisition of meristematic identity. Light sheet and confocal image reconstruction suggested that although emerged lateral roots adopted a cylindrical shape near their tip, during emergence LRPs form an ellipsoid 3D shape (Fig. 1 D and F). Such a structure would be ideally shaped to push between cells in overlaying tissues to facilitate organ emergence.

**Pattern of Cell Divisions During LRP Development Is Not Stereotyped.** We next explored the patterns of cell division required to build LRP. The LRP atlas collected using confocal microscopy (see Fig. S1 for example images) was analyzed to reveal these patterns of divisions. Analysis of the number of cells in the centermost (median; Fig. 2C) optical plane of developing LRPs revealed that it is highly variable between different LRPs at a given stage (Fig. 2B), in accordance to the observed variable tissue structure of individual LRPs (Fig. S1). In contrast, the variation of cell numbers estimated within optical slices was found to be low along the z-direction above and below the median optical slices of a given LRP (Fig. S3). This observation is consistent with clonal analysis experiments that concluded that the majority of cells making up LRP originate from the central three pairs of pericycle cells (12).

While the number of cells in the median optical slice in a population of LRPs appears to be a linear function of the developmental stages of these LRPs, each individual LRP can pass through its developmental stages with markedly different numbers of cells from other LRPs (Fig. 2B). This indicates that the global rate of cell division is constant throughout LRP morphogenesis. This was confirmed by analysis of the total number of cell nuclei of a LRP observed from stage I to VII using LSF microscopy. The total number of cells making up a given stage, scored according to the number of layers present as defined by Malamy and Benfey (7), varied from one- to twofold (Fig. 2C).

Our observation that two consecutive developmental stages may have a similar number of cells (Fig. 2B) suggests that LRP morphogenesis is not a stereotypical sequence leading from one stage to the next. If this were the case, then the passage from one stage to the next would always occur after the same number of cell divisions, and there could be no overlap between the ranges of cell number for each stage. To directly test whether or not LRPs follow stereotyped developmental paths from one stage to the next, we analyzed the developmental time series of individual LRPs (Fig. 2D and Fig. S4). Sequences of cell divisions appeared to occur differently between distinct LRPs (Fig. S4). While the rate of cell division was similar between distinct LRPs, their respective developmental sequences diverged greatly, with LRPs passing through the same developmental stage containing markedly different numbers of cells (Fig. 2D). We conclude that although the progression in terms of number of layers, which defines the stage classification, is one of the key invariants in LRP development, there is no unique sequence of formative cell divisions during LRP development and several patterns of cell division converge onto the same morphological structure.

**LRP Shape but Not the Pattern of Cell Division Is Highly Constrained During Organogenesis.** Following our observation that the pattern of cell divisions is variable in LRPs, we investigated its impact on organ shape. We performed statistical shape analysis on LRPs using the same z-stack confocal database used to monitor LRP cell numbers. The outer structure of a LRP was defined as a Bezier curve with 26 control points (Fig. 3A) that outlined the shape of the LRP observed in its previously defined median plane (Fig. 3B). The outermost pair of points on each side of the LRP corresponded to the corners of the flanking cells as defined by 3AUX1: AUX1–YFP primordium expression. The remaining points were chosen to be equally spaced along the LRP. We used these 26 control points as “landmarks” to perform statistical shape analysis.

For each stage of primordium development, we carried out Generalized Procrustes Analysis (GPA) of all of the LRP shapes at that stage (16) (Fig. 3C). This involves translating, rotating, and scaling the shapes to minimize the sum of squared error (Materials and Methods). We found that for a given stage, LRP profiles appeared homogeneous (Fig. 3C). To quantify the profile similarity between different LRPs, we calculated the distances of the shapes...
at that stage. The root mean square distance is analogous to the SD as a measure of the variability of the distances from the mean shape at a given stage. The root mean square distance values at each of the different stages were found to be very low (Fig. 3D), demonstrating that LRP outer shape is conserved for a given developmental stage.

We estimated the centroid size of the “mean shape” at each stage and found that significant shape size changes occurred at the transition between stages IV and V/VI (Fig. 3E). Analysis of confocal time series of pAUX1:AUX1–YFP-expressing LRPs revealed that this transition corresponds to a drastic shape change between “flat-topped” (Fig. 3F) and “dome-shaped” (Fig. 3G) as the LRP breaks through the endodermis. This shape transition occurs in less than 2 h and is not related to an increase in cell proliferation at that stage (Fig. 2C and Fig. S4). Instead, this shape transition is most likely due to structural constraint relaxation after the Casparian strip breaks (Movie S4). Indeed, a high time resolution movie (down to every 7.5 min) detected the LRP rupturing the endodermis within minutes, causing the promordial to appear to “jump” (Movie S5). The Casparian strip is a lignified net-like structure that cements endodermal cells together to form an impermeable barrier (17, 18) through which LRPs are required to pass to emerge into the soil environment.

To test this hypothesis, we analyzed LRP development in the enhanced suberin1 (esb1-1) (19) and casparian strip membrane domain protein (casp1-1/casp3-1) (17) mutants. esb1-1 mutants present an increase in suberin deposition in the entire endodermis cell wall, while casp1-1/casp3-1 mutants exhibit deposit of Casparian strip material in the entire anticlinal endodermis cell wall rather than in a tight band on this wall. In agreement with our hypothesis, we observed an increase in LRP flattening in these mutants (Fig. S5A) and a delay in their emergence, resulting in lower emerged lateral root densities (Fig. S5B and C). Fine analysis of LRP emergence kinematics using gravstimulation to synchronize LRP development revealed that esb1-1 LRPs are delayed in early emergence, while casp1-1/casp3-1 LRPs are delayed in later emergence (Fig. S5D and E). Together these results indicate that the Casparian strip creates a biomechanical constraint influencing the LRP morphogenesis and emergence.

The rapid shape transition observed is only possible if the LRP is under internal pressure when the endodermis/Casparian strip finally breaks. Analysis of our image database revealed that the cell division rate in LRP is the same when first starting to push through the endodermis until it ruptures, and afterward while passing through the cortex (Fig. S6). Our results therefore suggest that the internal pressure of the LRP would rise through cell accumulation as it pushes against the endodermis. While LRPs exhibit significant variability in cellular organization for a given stage, organ shape is highly conserved at each stage, and a major shape change is associated with the breaking of the endodermis–Casparian strip barrier. Hence, our data indicate that LRP shape might be more influenced by the mechanical constraints imposed by the overlaying tissues rather than the exact pattern of cell divisions.

**Overlaying Tissues Rather Than Cell Division Pattern Impacts LRP Shape.** To probe the relative importance of patterns of cell division and overlaying tissues on LRP morphogenesis, we used a variety of genetic and transgenic approaches. We initially assessed the impact of disrupting the organized pattern of cell divisions on LRP shape. This was performed by analyzing the *aurora 1* aurora 2 (*aur1-2;2-2*) double mutant lacking key AURORA kinases that are required to correctly position the cell

Overlaying Tissues Rather Than Cell Division Pattern Impacts LRP Shape. To probe the relative importance of patterns of cell division and overlaying tissues on LRP morphogenesis, we used a variety of genetic and transgenic approaches. We initially assessed the impact of disrupting the organized pattern of cell divisions on LRP shape. This was performed by analyzing the *aurora 1* aurora 2 (*aur1-2;2-2*) double mutant lacking key AURORA kinases that are required to correctly position the cell
plate in LRP s following mitosis (20). This results in a chaotic pattern of cell plate deposition in LRP s (Fig. 4A and Fig. S7A). Despite this major disruption to the organized pattern of cell division, statistical shape analysis revealed that LRP morphogenesis in aux1-2;2 double mutants produced normal-shaped primordia (Fig. 4D and Fig. S8). Our observations using the aux1-2;2 double mutant thus imply that the pattern of cell divisions does not play a major role in the global LRP shape. We additionally investigated the impact of the aux1-2;2 double mutation on primordia 3D morphogenesis using light-sheet microscopy. We first analyzed the growth dynamics of the aux1-2;2 double mutant. Whereas the wild-type LRP grows monotonously, the mutant had a “stop and go” profile (Fig. 4B and Movie S6). In addition, the progressive radialization observed in the wild-type LRP was replaced by an erratic decrease in the aux1-2;2 double mutant (Fig. 4C and D), and we observed that radial and tangential divisions were not restricted to the daughter cells flanking the central file (Fig. S7B). Taken together, these findings suggest that while cell division patterns are not responsible for global LRP shape, LRP cellular organization contributes to the definition of LRP potential growth axis.

We next tested the impact of altering the mechanical properties of the tissues overlaying new LRP s to assess their effect on organ shape. Auxin originating from LRP s has been described to trigger a cascade of signaling events in overlaying cells, culminating in the induction of several cell wall remodeling enzymes that alter their mechanical properties (21). To block auxin responses in root cells overlaying new LRP s in an inducible manner, we have developed a steroid-regulated system that provides both spatial and temporal regulation. Our system employs a glucocorticoid receptor (GR) sequence fused in frame to the stabilized auxin transcriptional repressor IAA17/AXR3. This axr3-1–GR sequence is fused downstream of the UAS regulatory sequence, so that transgene expression can be targeted to selected tissues in a GAL4-dependent manner. The GAL4 driver line J0631 expressed in all cell layers of the root but not in the meristem or LRP (Fig. S9) was used for transactivation of UAS::axr3-1–GR to selectively block auxin responses in a steroid- (Dexamethasone, DEX) inducible manner in non-LRP tissues. In the absence of DEX, J0631–aux3-1–GR seedlings continued to form normal-shaped primordia (Fig. 4A). In contrast, in the presence of DEX, J0631–aux3-1 roots failed to form normal-shaped primordia (Fig. 4A and Fig. S8). Primordia development also appeared greatly delayed in the presence of DEX (Fig. S10). We conclude that disrupting the pattern of cell divisions does not impact LRP shape, but manipulating the properties of overlaying tissues disrupted LRP morphogenesis.

Conclusion

Arabidopsis lateral root development has represented a model system to study root morphogenesis for the last two decades, yet our understanding has remained essentially 2D (7). Recent advances in live biological imaging and image analysis (8, 9) now make it possible to address how plant organs are built in three and four dimensions. LRP morphogenesis is characterized by a transition from bilateral to radial symmetry that reflects the origin of primordia founder cells from a limited starting cell pool (i.e., three pairs of xylem-pole pericycle cells). We observed that daughter cells derived from the flanking pairs of pericycle cells exhibit atypical tangential or radial cell divisions during LRP morphogenesis. These tangential and radial cell divisions play a critical role in the transition from bilateral to radial symmetry. Moreover, the ring of cells created by these tangential and radial divisions is likely to perform a buttress-like function for the population of rapidly proliferating inner cells that form the LRP apex (12). It will be interesting to identify the molecular

![Fig. 4](image-url) Overlaying tissues rather than cell division pattern canalize LRP shape. (A) Impact of aux1-2;2 double mutation or outer tissue-targeted axr3 expression on primordia shape development. LRP shapes are outlined in yellow. (B) Growth dynamics of primordia in aux1-2;2 double mutant. Wild-type and aux1-2;2 double mutant expressing the pPIN1::PIN1-GFP construct LRP were imaged in light-sheet microscopy. (C and D) L/W ratio as a function of LRP height for wild-type (C) and aux1-2;2 double mutant (D) primordia. The ratio was measured as described in Fig. 1D. The blue line is a Lowess fit.
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mechanism(s) responsible for this type of cell division as recently described for root periclinal cell divisions (22). The net result of these tangential and radial divisions is to create an ellipsoid-shaped LRP. From a functional point of view, an ellipsoid-shaped LRP would facilitate organ emergence through the outer tissue layers.

Live imaging also revealed that the precise pattern of cell divisions during Arabidopsis LRP morphogenesis is variable. LRPs passing through the same developmental stage contain markedly different numbers of cells. Although the total number of cells in LRPs can vary greatly for a given stage, the global rate of cell division is constant throughout LRP morphogenesis. This most likely reflects that LRPs contain variable numbers of rapidly proliferating inner cells that fill the central space created by the rise of cells created by tangential divisions. Hence, there appears to be no unique sequence of formative cell divisions during LR development, giving rise to the multicellular structure. Indeed, we demonstrated that disrupting the pattern of cell divisions using the aurr1 aurr2 double mutant had only a minor effect on LRP shape.

We also report that the development of LRP shape is highly canalized and primarily results from the mechanical constraints of overlaying tissues rather than the pattern of cell divisions. Using casparian strip mutants as well as targeted alteration of the overlaying tissue auxin response and mechanical properties, we show that the LRP shape can be modified. We additionally showed that while the precise cellular patterning of LRP is not responsible for LRP shape, it conditions the potential axis of growth of the LRP. This finding emphasizes the importance of coordinated processes between the developing LRP and its surrounding tissue for proper emergence.

Recent studies have highlighted the importance of the localized auxin signal originating from the tip of the LRP to induce specific physiological responses in overlaying tissues (22, 23). Biochemical properties targeted by auxin include production of cell wall remodeling enzymes (21) through the IDA-HAE/HSI.2 signaling pathway (24, 25) and down-regulation of water channels termed aquaporins to alter hydraulic properties of overlaying tissues (23). Mutants lacking these auxin targets exhibit altered LRP shape (23). LRP morphogenesis therefore appears to be “canalized” from mechanical feedback between the new organ and overlaying tissues. We hypothesize that such a regulatory arrangement would serve to optimize the process of organ emergence through overlaying tissues to minimize damage and thereby help limit the potential for infection by pathogenic soil microorganisms.

Materials and Methods