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the same time [fig. S5A (19)] are consistent with extracellular signals.

After a relatively brief (~40-s) period of extracellular signals, we observed several pronounced changes in recorded signals (Fig. 4, B and C, II and III) without application of external force to the PDMS/cell support. Specifically, the initial extracellular signals gradually disappeared (Fig. 4, B and C, II, pink stars). There was a concomitant decrease in baseline potential, and new peaks emerged that had an opposite sign, similar frequency, much greater amplitude, and longer duration (Fig. 4B, II, green stars). These new peaks, which are coincident with cardiomyocyte cell beating, rapidly reached a steady state (Fig. 4B, III) with an average calibrated peak amplitude of ~80 mV and duration of ~200 ms. The amplitude, sign, and duration are near those reported for whole-cell patch clamp recordings from cardiomyocytes (27, 28); thus, we conclude that these data represent a transition to steady-state intracellular recording (Fig. 4A, right) with the 3D nanowire probe.

Detailed analysis of the latter steady-state peaks (Fig. 4C, III) shows five characteristic phases of a cardiac intracellular potential (27, 28), including (a) resting state, (b) rapid depolarization, (c) plateau, (d) rapid repolarization, and (e) hyperpolarization. In addition, a sharp transient peak (blue star) and the notch (orange star) possibly associated with the inward sodium and outward potassium currents (28) can be resolved. Optical images recorded at the same time as these intracellular peaks (fig. S5B) showed the kinked nanowire probe tips in a possible intracellular region of the cell (19). When the PDMS/cell substrate was mechanically retracted from the 3D kinked nanowire devices, the intracellular peaks disappeared, but they reappeared when the cell substrate was brought back into gentle contact with the device. This process could be repeated multiple times without degradation in the recorded signal. When vertical 3D nanoprobe devices were bent into a configuration with angle θ < −50° with respect to the substrate, or when kinked nanowire devices were fabricated on planar substrates, we could record only extracellular signals. These results confirm that electrical recording arises from the highly localized, pointlike nanofET near the probe tip, which (i) initially records only extracellular potential, (ii) simultaneously records both extracellular and intracellular signals as the nanoFET spans the cell membrane, and (iii) records only intracellular signals when fully inside the cell.

Additional work remains to develop this new synthetic probe as a routine tool like the patch-clamp micropipette (10, 11), although we believe that there are already clear advantages: Electrical recording with kinked nanowire probes is relatively simple without the need for resistance or capacitance compensation (9, 11); the nanoprobes are chemically less invasive than pipettes, as there is no solution exchange; the small size and biomimetic coating minimizes mechanical invasiveness; and the nanoFETs have high spatial and temporal resolution for recording.
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Terrestrial Gross Carbon Dioxide Uptake: Global Distribution and Covariation with Climate

Christian Beer,1* Markus Reichstein,1 Enrico Tomelleri,3 Philippe Ciais,2 Martin Jung,1 Nuno Carvalhais,1,3 Christian Rödenbeck,4 M. A. Altf Arain,5 Dennis Baldocchi,6 Gordon B. Bonan,7 Alberte Bondeau,8 Alessandro Cescatti,9 Gitta Laslopp,1 Anders Lindroth,10 Mark Lomas,11 Sebastiaan Luyssaert,12 Hank Margolis,13 Keith W. Oleeson,7 Olivier Roupsard,14,15 Elmar Veenendaal,16 Nicolas Viovy,2 Christopher Williams,17 F. Ian Woodward,11 Dario Papale18

Terrestrial gross primary production (GPP) is the largest global CO2 flux driving several ecosystem functions. We provide an observation-based estimate of this flux at ±8 petagrams of carbon per year (Pg C year−1) using eddy covariance flux data and various diagnostic models. Tropical forests and savannas account for 60%. GPP over 40% of the vegetated land is associated with precipitation. State-of-the-art process-oriented biosphere models used for climate predictions exhibit a large between-model variation of GPP’s latitudinal patterns and show higher spatial correlations between GPP and precipitation, suggesting the existence of missing processes or feedback mechanisms which attenuate the vegetation response to climate. Our estimates of spatially distributed GPP and its covariation with climate can help improve coupled climate–carbon cycle process models.

Terrestrial plants fix carbon dioxide (CO2) as organic compounds through photosynthesis, a carbon (C) flux also known at the ecosystem level as gross primary production (GPP). Terrestrial GPP is the largest global carbon flux, and it drives several ecosystem functions, such as respiration and growth. GPP thus contributes to human welfare because it is the basis for food, fiber, and wood production. In addition, GPP, along with respiration, is one of the major processes controlling land-atmosphere CO2 exchange, providing the capacity of terrestrial ecosystems to partly offset anthropogenic CO2 emissions.

Although photosynthesis at the leaf and canopy level are quite well understood, only tentative observation-based estimates of global terrestrial GPP have been possible so far. Plant- and stand-level GPP has been previously calculated as two times biomass production (1, 2), with substantial
variation between biomes and sites (3–5). In the absence of direct observations, a combined GPP of all terrestrial ecosystems of 120 Pg C year$^{-1}$ was obtained (6) by doubling global biomass production estimates (7) without an empirical basis of spatially resolved biomass production and its relationship to GPP. A global terrestrial GPP of 100 to 150 Pg C year$^{-1}$ is consistent with the observed variation of $^{18}$OCO in the atmosphere (8, 9). However, the ability of $^{18}$OCO to constrain GPP depends critically on the isotopic imbalance between GPP and respiration, and large uncertainties remain associated with isotope fractionation processes (10). The coupled uptake of carbonyl sulfide and CO$_2$ by plants (11, 12) could potentially be used to further constrain terrestrial GPP by the combination of atmospheric [COS] measurements with an inversion of the atmospheric transport (13) once the ratio of CO$_2$ versus COS uptake, the additional COS deposition to soils, and the COS efflux from oceans is more precisely quantified.

As an alternative to directly constraining atmospheric data to estimate GPP, local information can be built into a process-oriented biosphere model, which is then applied globally. Knowledge of radiative transfer within vegetation canopies and of leaf photosynthesis has been used to represent GPP within process-oriented biosphere models, which explicitly simulate the behavior of the ecosystem as an interaction of the system components (e.g., leaves, roots, and soil) in a reductionist or mechanistic way. If these models are designed to also simulate a changing state of the biosphere (e.g., leaf area index and carbon pools), predictions of ecosystem dynamics under changing environmental conditions can be attempted (14). However, these process-oriented models are complex combinations of scientific hypotheses; hence, their results depend on these embedded hypotheses. A complementary approach is data-oriented or diagnostic modeling where general relationships between existing data sets are first inferred at site-level and then applied globally by using global grids of explanatory variables. Particularly when data-adaptive machine learning approaches are employed (e.g., artificial neural networks), results are much less contingent on theoretical assumptions and can be considered as data benchmarks for process models. However, being essentially a statistical approach, the diagnostic models do lack the capacity of extrapolating to completely different conditions and hinge on the availability of sufficient data. With the advent of a global network of ecosystem-level observations of CO$_2$ biosphere-atmosphere exchange (15) (www.fluxdata.org) and the development of new diagnostic modeling approaches, a data-oriented global estimation of GPP has become feasible. In this study, we estimate terrestrial GPP and its spatial details by diagnostic models and compare spatial correlations with climate variables to results from process-oriented models.

The diagnostic modeling comprises two steps, the parameterization of GPP in relation to explanatory variables at sites and the application of the model by using gridded information about these explanatory variables. For the first step, GPP was estimated by partitioning continuous measurements of net ecosystem exchange (NEE) into GPP and ecosystem respiration at flux tower sites (16). Two flux partitioning methods were considered using night-time or day-time NEE (16). Such site-level GPP data was then used to calibrate five highly diverse diagnostic models, which relate GPP to meteorology, vegetation type, or remote sensing indices at daily, monthly, or annual time scales (16). Two of these approaches are machine learning techniques: a model tree ensemble (MTE) (17) and an artificial neural network (ANN) (18). The Köppen-Geiger cross Biome (KGB) approach is a look-up table of mean GPP per ecoregion. GPP of whole river catchment areas is estimated by the water use efficiency approach (WUE) (19, 20).
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**Fig. 1.** (A) Distributions of global GPP (Pg C year$^{-1}$) for the five data-driven approaches that are most constrained by data, their combined global GPP distribution, and the GPP distribution by the Miami model. Shown are the median (red horizontal lines), the quartiles (blue boxes), and the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles (vertical black lines), indicating the 95% confidence interval. MTE is either driven by fAPAR only (MTE1) or by both fAPAR and climate data (MTE2) (16). (B) Spatial details of the median annual GPP (g C m$^{-2}$ a$^{-1}$) from the spatially explicit approaches MTE1, MTE2, ANN, LUE, and KGB. (C) Latitudinal pattern (0.5° bands) of annual GPP. The gray area represents the range of the diagnostic models MTE1, MTE2, ANN, LUE, and KGB. The red area represents the range of process model results (LP-DoVM, LPjml, ORCHIDEE, CLM-CN, and SDGVM). The thick lines represent the medians of both ranges. The dashed black line shows the results for northern extratropical regions from an independent diagnostic model. In this approach, we combined gridded information about the seasonal NEE amplitude based on atmospheric CO$_2$ data and an inversion of atmospheric CO$_2$ transport with empirical relationships between annual GPP and the seasonal amplitude of NEE derived at flux tower sites.
which combines recently derived global WUE fields with the long-term averaged evapotranspiration at the watershed scale. This is an important constraint at the global scale, but the spatial resolution is too coarse to use the WUE approach for estimating the spatial distribution of GPP. The light-use efficiency approach (LUE) (21, 22) was applied by combining in situ Bayesian calibration with an uncertainty propagation per vegetation and climate class. The Miami model (23) simply exploits the empirically obtained dependence of photosynthesis on temperature and precipitation. The second step, the mapping of flux tower GPP to the land surface, was performed by applying these diagnostic models to fields of remote sensing (24–26) and climatic data (27–29), which are now available with improved accuracy and high spatial resolution. In so doing, we take into account several sources of uncertainty, including uncertainty from model parametrization and from explanatory variables (16).

By making use of the new data streams and the ensemble of five diagnostic models, we present an observation-based estimate of an average global terrestrial GPP of 123 Pg C year\(^{-1}\) during the period 1998 to 2005 (Fig. 1A). Uncertainties and preprocessing of tower CO\(_2\) flux measurements, tower representativeness, flux partitioning into GPP, uncertainties of climate and remote sensing data sets, and structural uncertainties of the diagnostic models propagate to a global uncertainty with a 95% confidence range from 102 to 135 Pg C year\(^{-1}\) or a robust estimate of standard deviation (30) of 8 Pg C year\(^{-1}\). Results from the LUE approach were higher when using National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) radiation. However, we do not show NCEP-driven results because NCEP radiation and precipitation is known to be biased (31, 32). The Miami model overestimates GPP compared to other approaches, particularly in sparsely vegetated areas with strong seasonality, such as savannahs, shrublands, and tundra (16) (table S5), because it does not account for the effect of climate-independent changes in vegetation structure (e.g., degradation) and vegetation type on GPP. Indeed, residuals of this model correlate significantly with mean annual fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (FAPAR) from remote sensing (fig. S14). Hence, being a classic model, it is shown only for comparison, but results from the Miami model were not taken into account in the following analyses.

Tropical forests assimilate 34% of the global terrestrial GPP (Table 1) and have the highest GPP per unit area (table S5). Savannas account for 26% of the global GPP and are the second most important biome in terms of global GPP. The large area of savannahs (about twice the surface area of tropical forests) explains their high contribution. Moreover, the results highlight the importance of taking into account C4 vegetation in global GPP estimates. Based on the C4 distribution (figs. S6 and S7), more than 20% of terrestrial GPP is conducted by C4 vegetation. Given that there were less than 20 site-years of flux data for C4-dominated ecosystems, our uncertainty is largest for this type of vegetation. Therefore, an expansion of observational networks should focus on tropical C4 ecosystems. Boreal forests show a clear longitudinal gradient in GPP in northern Eurasia where GPP in the boreal zone decreases toward the east, where

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>GPP (Pg C year(^{-1}))</th>
<th>GPP = 2 × NPP(^{*}) (Pg C year(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tropical forests</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate forests</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal forests</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical savannahs and grasslands</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate grasslands and shrublands</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deserts</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tundra</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croplands</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td>125.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{GPP} = 2 \times \text{NPP}\)^{*} based on integrated numbers for biomes (6, 7).

**Fig. 2.** Partial correlation in the spatial domain between GPP from Fig. 1B and either (A) CRU precipitation, (B) CRU air temperature, or (C) ECMWF ERA-Interim short-wave radiation after applying a moving 4.5° by 4.5° spatial window and subsequent median filtering. Shown are significant correlations (\(P < 0.01\)) of which the correlation coefficient is higher/lower than ± 0.2.
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**Table 1.** GPP for biomes of the world as defined by Prentice et al. (6). Combining the biome extent (fig. S17) with the spatially explicit GPP distributions by the approaches MTE1, MTE2, ANN, LUE, WUE, and KGB led to the respective median GPP per unit area separately for each biome (fig. S4). These medians were then multiplied by the biome area (6, 7) (fig. S4) to estimate GPP in column 2. The estimated GPP total of 122 Pg C year\(^{-1}\) does not equal our overall median of 123 Pg C year\(^{-1}\) because the biome area defined by fig. S17 and by (6) differ slightly. The third column shows GPP as estimated by using NPP numbers from Saugier et al. (7) under the assumption that NPP/GPP = 0.5 (6).
photosynthesis is subject to an increasingly continental climate (Fig. 1B).

The latitudinal pattern derived by the different diagnostic models falls into a quite narrow range (Fig. 1C). In contrast, there is a larger range among an ensemble of five process-oriented biosphere models (Fig. 1C); in comparison to our data-oriented range, some consistently overestimate GPP, and others underestimate tropical GPP while matching or slightly overestimating GPP in the temperate zone (fig. S26). A standard global parametrization of the process-oriented models has been applied in this study; it was not optimized against flux tower GPP because we aimed at evaluating the process-based GPP fields and their correlations to climatic variables. For comparison, we show results by an additional, completely different approach of scaling GPP from flux tower sites to the regional scale (fig. S16), where a relationship between the seasonal NEE amplitude and annual GPP is derived at flux tower sites and applied to the seasonal NEE amplitude derived through atmospheric inversion [update of (33)]. This approach tends to values at the upper end of the range of the diagnostic bottom-up approaches in northern extratropical regions but is still at the lower end of the range estimated by the process-oriented models. The differences between process-oriented and data-oriented estimates could lie in human-induced degradation of GPP by land use (34). However, other reasons are possible, including insufficient model parametrization or structural model errors that lead to an overestimation of GPP.

Partial correlation analyses between GPP and climatic variables for 4.5° by 4.5° moving windows show that spatial variation of GPP is associated with precipitation in 50 to 70% of the area of non-tundra herbaceous ecosystems (Fig. 2A and Table 2). Also, 50% of the crop production occurs in regions where photosynthesis is colimited by precipitation, stressing the importance of water availability for food security. Interestingly, GPP in the same proportion of temperate forest areas correlates positively with precipitation (Table 2). In contrast, the spatial GPP variability in only 30% of tropical and boreal forests seems to be associated positively with precipitation, but GPP of more than half of the boreal forests correlates positively with air temperature (Table 2). Therefore, the GPP of these biomes seems to be robust against a moderate climate variation in the order of magnitude of the current spatial variability of climate, given the very low probability of a decrease in air temperature in the boreal zone.

We find negative correlations of productivity with incoming short-wave radiation, in particular in savannahs, the Mediterranean, and Central Asian grasslands (Fig. 2C and tables S6 to S8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biome</th>
<th>P* controlled</th>
<th>T† controlled</th>
<th>R‡ controlled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tropical forests</td>
<td>29 (12)</td>
<td>39 (26)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate forests</td>
<td>50 (26)</td>
<td>42 (23)</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal forests</td>
<td>20 (5)</td>
<td>55 (31)</td>
<td>21 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical savannas and grasslands</td>
<td>55 (31)</td>
<td>16 (5)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate grasslands and shrublands</td>
<td>69 (41)</td>
<td>37 (18)</td>
<td>6 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deserts</td>
<td>61 (37)</td>
<td>18 (6)</td>
<td>8 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tundra</td>
<td>24 (13)</td>
<td>37 (27)</td>
<td>32 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croplands</td>
<td>51 (25)</td>
<td>28 (13)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Precipitation; †Air temperature; ‡Short-wave radiation

Table 2. Percentage of biome area for which GPP is climatically controlled, indicated by a median partial correlation coefficient higher than 0.2 (or 0.5 in brackets). Several climate grids (CRU, ECMWF ERA-Interim, and GPCP precipitation) were used to perform a partial correlation between the median GPP map (Fig. 1B) and climate variables for 4.5° by 4.5° moving windows (16). Then, the fractional area with significant (P < 0.01) partial correlation higher than 0.2 (0.5) was calculated.

These negative partial correlations may indicate an additional indirect effect of radiation or temperature on GPP by the water balance. Both climatic variables are usually associated with higher evapotranspiration rates, which will yield more negative water balances with higher temperature or radiation levels with consequent negative effects on primary productivity in these water-limited regions. This interpretation is possible notwithstanding a direct effect of temperature on vegetation by heat stress as well as increased levels of diffuse radiation associated with overall lower levels of radiation (35).

After four decades of research on the global magnitude of primary production of terrestrial vegetation (23, 36), we present an observation-based estimate of global terrestrial GPP. Although we arrive at a global GPP of similar magnitude as these earlier estimates, our results add confidence and spatial details. The large range of GPP results by process-oriented biosphere models indicates the need for further constraining CO2 uptake processes in these models. Furthermore, our spatially explicit GPP results contribute to a quantification of the climatic control of GPP. Complementing theoretical or process-oriented results (37, 38) about climatic limitations of GPP, our observation-based results now constitute empirical evidence for a large effect of water availability on primary production over 40% of the vegetated land (Fig. 3A) and up to 70% in savannahs, shrublands, grasslands, and agricultural areas (Table 2). Our findings imply a high susceptibility of these ecosystems' productivity to projected changes of precipitation over the 21st century (39), but a robustness of tropical and boreal forests. Results of current process models show a large range and a tendency to overestimate precipitation-associated GPP (Fig. 3B). Most likely, the association of GPP and climate in process-oriented models can be improved by including negative feedback mechanisms (e.g., adaptation) that might stabilize the systems. Our high spatial resolution GPP estimates, their uncertainty, and their relationship to climate drivers should be useful for evaluating and thus improving coupled climate–carbon cycle process models.
Global Convergence in the Temperature Sensitivity of Respiration at Ecosystem Level

Miguel D. Mahecha,1,2* Markus Reichstein,1 Nuno Carvalhais,1,3 Gitta Laslop,1 Holger Lange,4 Sonia I. Seneviratne,2 Rodrigo Vargas,5 Christof Ammann,6 M. Altaf Arain,7 Alessandro Cescatti,8 Ivan A. Janssens,9 Mirco Migliavacca,10 Leonardo Montagnani,11,12 Andrew D. Richardson13

The respiratory release of carbon dioxide (CO2) from the land surface is a major flux in the global carbon cycle, antipodal to photosynthetic CO2 uptake. Understanding the sensitivity of respiratory processes to temperature is central for quantifying the climate–carbon cycle feedback. We approximated the sensitivity of terrestrial ecosystem respiration to air temperature (Q10) across 60 FLUXNET sites with the use of a methodology that circumvents confounding effects. Contrary to previous findings, our results suggest that Q10 is independent of mean annual temperature, does not differ among biomes, and is confined to values around 1.4 ± 0.1. The strong relation between photosynthesis and respiration, by contrast, is highly variable among sites. The results may partly explain less pronounced climate–carbon cycle feedback than suggested by current carbon cycle climate models.

Q

uantifying the intensity of feedback mechanisms between terrestrial ecosystems and climate is a central challenge for understanding the global carbon cycle and a prerequisite for reliable future climate scenarios (1, 2). One crucial determinant of the climate–carbon cycle feedback is the temperature sensitivity of respiratory processes in terrestrial ecosystems (3, 4), which has been subject to much debate (5–10). On the one hand, empirical studies have found high sensitivities of soil respiration to temperature, with values of Q10 (here an indicator of the sensitivity of terrestrial ecosystem respiration to air temperature) well above 2 (11, 12). Dependences of Q10 values on mean temperatures (12, 13) have been attributed to the acclimatization of soil respiration (5), among other factors (13). On the other hand, global-scale models often make use of globally constant Q10 values of 2 or below to generate carbon dynamics consistent with global atmospheric CO2 growth rates (3, 14, 15). Nonetheless, several models have directly included empirical dependencies of the parameterization of respiratory processes to environmental dynamics (16–18). This inclusion is questionable, given that single-site studies have indicated that factors seasonally covarying with temperature can confound the experimental retrieval.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1184984/DC1
Materials and Methods
SDM Text
Figures S1 to S34
Tables S1 to S59
References
20 November 2009; accepted 8 June 2010
Published online 5 July 2010.
10.1126/science.1184984
Include this information when citing this paper.

1Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, 07745 Jena, Germany.
2Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Universitätstrasse 16, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland.3Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, FCT, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal.4Norsk Institutt for Skog og Landskap, N-1431 Ås, Norway.5Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.6Agroscope ART, Federal Research Station, Rockenholzstr. 191, CH-6046 Zürich, Switzerland.7McMaster Centre for Climate Change, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4L8, Canada.8European Commission, Joint Research Center, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, I-21027 Ispra, Italy.9Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2650 Wilrijk, Belgium.10Remote Sensing of Environmental Dynamics Laboratory, DISAT, University of Milano-Bicocca, 20126 Milano, Italy.11Servizo Forestal, Agenzia per l’Ambiente, Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano, 39100 Bolzano, Italy.12Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 1, 39100 Bolzano, Italy.13Harvard University Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University Herbaria, 22 Divinity Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.
*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mmahecha@bgc-jena.mpg.de
Carbon Cycle and Climate Change

As climate change accelerates, it is important to know the likely impact of climate change on the carbon cycle (see the Perspective by Reich). Gross primary production (GPP) is a measure of the amount of CO₂ removed from the atmosphere every year to fuel photosynthesis. Beer et al. (p. 834, published online 5 July) used a combination of observation and calculation to estimate that the total GPP by terrestrial plants is around 122 billion tons per year; in comparison, burning fossil fuels emits about 7 billion tons annually. Thirty-two percent of this uptake occurs in tropical forests, and precipitation controls carbon uptake in more than 40% of vegetated land. The temperature sensitivity (Q₁₀) of ecosystem respiratory processes is a key determinant of the interaction between climate and the carbon cycle. Mahecha et al. (p. 838, published online 5 July) now show that the Q₁₀ of ecosystem respiration is invariant with respect to mean annual temperature, independent of the analyzed ecosystem type, with a global mean value for Q₁₀ of 1.6. This level of temperature sensitivity suggests a less-pronounced climate sensitivity of the carbon cycle than assumed by recent climate models.
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